

COMMISSION SEVENTEENTH REGULAR SESSION Electronic Meeting 8 – 15 December 2020

THE WESTERN AND CENTRAL PACIFIC TUNA FISHERY: 2019 OVERVIEW AND STATUS OF STOCKS

WCPFC17-2020-IP02¹ 2 December 2020

SPC-OFP

¹ Steven R. Hare, Peter G. Williams, Nicholas D. Ducharme-Barth, Paul A. Hamer, William J. Hampton, Robert D. Scott, Matthew T. Vincent, and Graham M. Pilling. Oceanic Fisheries Programme Tuna Fisheries Assessment Report No. 20



The western and central Pacific tuna fishery: 2019 overview and status of stocks

Tuna Fisheries Assessment Report no. 20



The western and central Pacific tuna fishery: 2019 overview and status of stocks

Steven R. Hare, Peter G. Williams, Nicholas D. Ducharme-Barth, Paul A. Hamer, William J. Hampton, Robert D. Scott, Matthew T. Vincent, and Graham M. Pilling

Oceanic Fisheries Programme

Tuna Fisheries Assessment Report no. 20



Noumea, New Caledonia, 2020

© Pacific Community (SPC) 2020

All rights for commercial/for profit reproduction or translation, in any form, reserved. SPC authorises the partial reproduction or translation of this material for scientific, educational or research purposes, provided that SPC and the source document are properly acknowledged. Permission to reproduce the document and/or translate in whole, in any form, whether for commercial/for profit or non-profit purposes, must be requested in writing. Original SPC artwork may not be altered or separately published without permission.

Original text: English

Pacific Community Cataloging-in-publication data

Hare, Steven R.

The western and central Pacific tuna fishery: 2019 overview and status of stocks / Steven R. Hare, Peter G. Williams, Nicholas D. Ducharme-Barth, Paul A. Hamer, William J. Hampton, Robert D. Scott, Matthew T. Vincent and Graham M. Pilling

(Tuna Fisheries Assessment Report no. 20 / Pacific Community) ISSN: 1562-5206

- 1. Tuna fisheries Pacific Ocean.
- 2. Tuna populations Pacific Ocean.
- 3. Fish stock assessment Pacific Ocean.

I. Hare, Steven R. II. Williams, Peter Gordon III. Ducharme-Barth, Nicholas D. IV. Hamer, Paul A. V. Hampton, John VI. Scott, Robert D. VII. Vincent, Matthew T. VIII. Pilling, Graham IX. Title X. Pacific Community XI. Series

 $639.277 \ 830995$

AACR2

ISBN: 978-982-00-1362-9 ISSN: 1562-5206

This report should be cited as: Hare S.R., Williams P.G., Ducharme-Barth N.D., Hamer P.A., Hampton W.J., Scott R.D., Vincent M.T., Pilling G.H. The western and central Pacific tuna fishery: 2019 overview and status of stocks. Tuna Fisheries Assessment Report no. 20. Noumea, New Caledonia: Pacific Community. 49 p.

Prepared for publication at SPC's Noumea headquarters B.P. D5, 98848

Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia,

Contents

	Preface	. i
	Acknowledgements	. i
1	The western and central Pacific tuna fishery	1
2	Status of tuna stocks	2
	2.1 Skipjack tuna	. 2
	2.2 Yellowfin tuna	. 3
	2.3 Bigeye tuna	. 4
	2.4 South Pacific albacore tuna	. 6
	2.5 Summary across target tuna stocks	. 7
	2.6 Tuna tagging	. 7
3	Ecosystem and bycatch issues	7
	3.1 Catch composition	. 7
	3.2 Species of special interest	. 8
	3.3 Catch and status of billfish and sharks	. 8
	3.4 El Niño Southern Oscillation forecast	. 9
	3.5 Climate change	. 9
4	For further information	10
	4.1 Fishery	. 10
	4.2 Status of the stocks	. 10
	4.3 Ecosystem considerations	. 10
5	Tables	12
6	Figures	30

Preface

Tuna fisheries assessment reports provide current information on the tuna fisheries of the western and central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) and the fish stocks (mainly tuna) that are impacted by them. The information provided in this report is summary in nature, but a list of references (mostly accessible via the internet) is included for those seeking further details. This report is a smart PDF so if you click on a reference within the document it will take you to the figure/section; to return to the page you were on, press alt and the left arrow key.

This report focuses on the primary tuna stocks targeted by the main WCPO industrial fisheries – skipjack (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), yellowfin (*Thunnus albacares*), bigeye (*T. obesus*) and South Pacific albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*).

The report is divided into three parts: the first section provides an overview of the fishery, with emphasis on developments over the past few years; the second summarises the most recent information on the status of the stocks; and the third summarises information concerning the interaction between the tuna fisheries, other associated and dependent species and their environment. The data used in compiling the report are those which were available to the Oceanic Fisheries Programme (OFP) at the time of publication, and are subject to change as improvements continue to be made to recent and historical catch statistics from the region. The fisheries statistics presented will usually be complete through the end of the year prior to publication. However, some minor revisions to statistics occasionally may be made for recent years. The stock assessment information presented is the most recent available at the time of publication.

Inquiries regarding this report or other aspects of the work programme of the OFP should be directed to:

Deputy Director FAME (Oceanic Fisheries) Pacific Community (SPC) BP D5 98848 Noumea Cedex New Caledonia

Further information, including a French version of this report, is available at the OFP webpage.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the member countries of the Pacific Community and the fishing nations involved in the western and central Pacific tuna fishery for their cooperation in the provision of fishery data used in this report. Regional fisheries research and monitoring carried out by SPC's Oceanic Fisheries Programme are currently supported by the New Zealand, Australian and European Union Governments. We thank Claudio Castillo Jordan, Elizabeth Heagney and Tiffany Cunningham for careful reviews of the report. The cover photo was taken by Fabien Forget, aboard the F/V Gutsy Lady 4, during the Pacific Tuna Tagging programme CP13 cruise in mid-2018. © Fabien Forget. The back cover photo credits and © are: 1st row, 1 to r: Marino Wichman (SPC), Philippines BFAR (Western Visayas), Steven Hare (SPC), Steven Hare (SPC); 2nd row, 1 to r: Tony Lewis, Jacob Appelbaum (MIMRA), Steven Hare (SPC), Lauriane Escalle (SPC); 3rd row, 1 to r: Lisa Williams-Lahari (FFA Media), Francisco Blaha, Lauriane Escalle (SPC), Steven Hare (SPC); 4th row, 1 to r: Brad Climpson, William Sokimi (SPC), Bruno Leroy (SPC), Malo Hosken (SPC)

1 The western and central Pacific tuna fishery

The tuna fisheries in the western and central Pacific Ocean (WCPO), encompassed by the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission Convention Area (WCPFC-CA) (Figure 1), are diverse, ranging from small-scale, artisanal operations in the coastal waters of Pacific states, to large-scale, industrial purse seine, pole-and-line and longline operations in the exclusive economic zones (EEZs) of Pacific states and in international waters (high seas). The main species targeted by these fisheries are skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) and albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*).

The current fishery characterisation includes updates to historical data, which show that 2019 was the highest catch year in history, with catches of the four target tuna species just under 3 million tonnes (t). We expect revisions to the 2019 catch estimates in next year's report, as estimates in the most recent year are preliminary.

Annual total catch of the four main tuna species in the WCPFC-CA increased steadily during the 1980s as the purse seine fleet expanded, and remained relatively stable during most of the 1990s until a sharp increase in catch in 1998. Since then, there has been an upward trend in total tuna catch, primarily due to increases in purse seine catch, with some stabilisation since 2012 (Figure 2 and Table 1). The provisional total WCPFC-CA tuna catch for 2019 was estimated at 2,997,309t – a record catch. In 2019, the purse seine fishery accounted for an estimated 2,108,012t (70% of the total catch), a record catch for this fishery. The pole-and-line fishery landed an estimated 191,135t (6% of the catch – a drop from the highest value (415,016t), recorded in 1984, a time of much greater pole-and-line vessel participation as discussed below). The longline fishery in 2019 accounted for an estimated 279,015t (9% of the catch) – a drop from the highest value (284,849t), recorded in 2004. Troll gear accounted for <1% of the total catch (8,116t), a drop from the highest value (25,845t), recorded in 2000. The remaining 14% (411,031t) was taken by a variety of artisanal gear, mostly in eastern Indonesia, the Philippines and Vietnam, which is a slight drop from the highest value (412,680t), recorded in 2018. The WCPFC-CA tuna catch for 2019 represented 81% of the total Pacific Ocean catch (3,696,933t) and 55% of the global tuna catch (the provisional estimate for 2019 being 5,443,488t, a record global catch).

The 2019 WCPFC-CA catch of skipjack (2,045,970t – 68% of the total catch) was a record catch, and an increase of 10% from 2018 (Table 2). The WCPFC-CA yellowfin catch for 2019 (696,797t – 23% of the total catch) is around 17,000t lower than the highest value (713,773t), recorded in 2017. The WCPFC-CA bigeye catch for 2019 (135,442t – 5% of the total catch) was a drop from the highest value (181,707t), recorded in 2004, and a 10% decrease over the 2018 catch. The WCPFC-CA albacore catch for 2019 (119,100t – 4% of the total catch) was a drop from the highest value (148,051t), recorded in 2002, and a 7% increase over the 2018 catch. As there are separate assessments for South Pacific albacore and North Pacific albacore, the WCPFC-CA catch of albacore (Table 2) is further divided into two summary tables (Table 7 and Table 8). South Pacific albacore in the WCPFC-CA, assessed by SPC¹, totalled a 2019 catch of 69,301t which is 4% greater than the average of the previous five years, but 11% lower than the highest value (77,884t), recorded in 2010. The albacore tuna catch in the WCPFC-CA north of the equator was 49,696t in 2019, which is 2% greater than the average of the past five years, but less than half the highest catch of 104,233t, taken in 1976; the International Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-Like Species in the North Pacific Ocean (ISC) is responsible for conducting assessments² of albacore tuna in the North Pacific Ocean.

Several indices of annual fishing effort for the major gears employed in the commercial tuna fisheries are summarised in Table 3, Figure 3 (purse seine), Figure 4 (longline) and Figure 5 (pole-and-line). For the purse seine fleet, excluding the Indonesian, Philippine and Vietnamese domestic vessels, the number of active vessels peaked in 2014 and 2015 at 313. The percentage of purse seiners flagged to Pacific Island states has steadily increased from 0 as late as 1979 to a high of 45% (125 out of 277) in 2018. The increase in number of purse seine sets and purse seine fishing days has mirrored the rise in number of vessels, although the peak in both measures of fishing effort, sets and days, occured a few years earlier

¹ The Pacific Community, formerly Secretariat of the Pacific Community.

² The ISC North Pacific albacore assessment covers the entire North Pacific, including the waters of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Convention Area (IATTC-CA). Catch in the IATTC-CA, which is not included in the tables and figures in this report, has averaged 25% of the total North Pacific albacore catch over the past five years.

(2011–2013) at around 65,000 (suggesting improvements in efficiency). Purse seine vessels can make more than one set per day, and a day of searching (with no sets made) is counted as a fishing day.

The 2019 purse seine skipjack catch (1,708,812t - 84%) of the total skipjack catch) was 18% higher than the 2018 catch (Table 4). The 2019 purse seine catch of yellowfin tuna (349,358t) was an 8% decrease from 2018 (Table 5). The 2019 purse seine catch of bigeye tuna (46,740t) was a 28% decrease from 2018, and represented 35% of the total 2019 bigeye catch (Table 6). It is important to note that the purse seine species composition for 2019 will be revised once all observer data for 2019 have been received and processed, and the current estimate should therefore be considered preliminary.

The commercial longline fleet (excluding Vietnamese and Indonesian domestic and Japanese coastal longliners) peaked in size in 1994 at a total of 5,068 vessels (Table 2 and Figure 4). The fleet has steadily declined since then, and totalled 1,669 vessels in 2019. The percentage of longliners flagged to Pacific Island countries has steadily increased from 0 in the mid-1970s to around 30% in 2017–2019. While the number of longline vessels has declined over the history of the fishery, a more direct measure of effort – hooks fished – has shown a different trend. Total hooks fished in the WCPFC-CA varied around a level of 400 million from the mid 1970s to the late 1990s. Starting in 2001, hooks fished doubled to the 800 million level with the peak occurring in 2012 at 885 million hooks; 2019 was the second highest level on record at 838 million hooks.

The recent longline catch estimates are often uncertain and subject to revision due to delays in reporting. Nevertheless, the bigeye (72,391t) catch was on par with catches since 2010, while the yellowfin (107,656t) catch for 2019 was the third highest on record, trailing only the 1980 catch of 125,113t and 1979 catch of 108,910t.

The pole-and-line fleet has been contracting in size continuously since 1974, when the number of vessels peaked at 798, and totalled just 103 vessels in 2019 (Table 2 and Figure 5). Pole-and-line effort, measured in fishing days, has shown a similar decline, from a high of 88,567 days in 1978 to 10,805 days in 2019.

Skipjack accounts for the majority of the pole-and-line catch (89%), with yellowfin tuna (10%) making up the bulk of the remaining catch. The Japanese distant-water and offshore fleet and the Indonesian fleet account for most of the WCPFC-CA pole-and-line catch.

The 2019 troll catch in the WCPFC-CA was the highest catch since 2013, at 8,116t, most of which was albacore tuna. Skipjack and yellowfin tuna are also taken in significant quantities in tropical small-scale troll fisheries, but most of these catches are reported under "Other gears". Since 2007, New Zealand (average 2,338t catch per year) has had the most consistent effort in the South Pacific albacore troll fishery, with the United States landing a small catch (averaging 376t per year) from the South Pacific.

2 Status of tuna stocks

The sections below provide a summary of the recent developments in fisheries for each species, and the results from the most recent stock assessments. A summary of the important biological reference points for the four stocks is provided in Table 9. Bigeye and yellowfin tuna stocks were assessed in 2020, the skipjack tuna stock was assessed in 2019, and the South Pacific albacore stock was assessed in 2018. Due to uncertainty in the fisheries data for the most recent year, data from the year immediately preceding the assessment year is not included in the bigeye, yellowfin and albacore assessments. Thus, the bigeye and yellowfin tuna assessments include data through 2018, while South Pacific albacore currently includes data through 2016. Skipjack, with its shorter lifespan and importance of young fish to the fishery, includes the most recent year of data; thus the 2019 assessment included fisheries data through 2018. Information on the status of other oceanic fisheries resources (e.g. billfishes and sharks) is provided in 4.3 *Ecosystem Considerations*.

2.1 Skipjack tuna

The 2019 WCPFC-CA skipjack catch of 2,045,970t was a record catch (Figure 6 and Table 4). As in recent years, the main contributor to the overall catch of skipjack was that taken in the purse seine fishery (1,708,812t in 2019 - 84% of total skipjack catch). The next-highest proportion of the catch was by pole-and-line gear (153,869t - 8%). The longline fishery accounted for less than 1% of the total catch.

The vast majority of skipjack are taken in equatorial areas, and most of the remainder is taken in the seasonal domestic fishery off Japan (Figure 6).

The dominant size of the WCPFC-CA skipjack catch (by weight) typically ranges from 40cm to 60cm, corresponding to $1-2^+$ year-old fish (Figure 6). For pole-and-line, the fish typically range from 40cm to 55cm, while skipjack in the domestic fisheries of Indonesia and the Philippines are much smaller (20–40cm). In general, skipjack taken in unassociated (free-swimming) schools are larger than those taken in schools associated with Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs).

Stock assessment

The most recent assessment of skipjack in the WCPO was conducted in 2019, and included data from 1972 to 2018, using an eight region model (Vincent et al. 2019); readers are referred to that document for more details on model configuration and settings. The 2019 assessment included investigaton of alternative regional structures (five and eight regions), growth functions, length composition scalars, tag mixing periods, and levels of steepness in the stock-recruitment relationship. The Scientific Committee (SC) of the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) agreed to use the eight region model to describe the stock status of skipjack tuna because they considered that it better captured the biology of skipjack tuna. Stock status was determined over an uncertainty grid of 54 models where models with a steepness of 0.65 or 0.95 were down weighted by 20% and models with a length composition scalar of 50 were also down weighted by 20%, while all other models were given a weighting of 1. While estimates of fishing mortality for skipjack have increased over time, current fishing mortality rates for skipjack tuna are estimated to be about 0.45 times the level of fishing mortality associated with maximum sustainable yield (F_{MSY}) . Therefore, overfishing is not occurring (i.e. $F_{recent} < F_{MSY}$). Spawning biomass is estimated to be at 44% of the level predicted in the absence of fishing. Recent spawning biomass levels are estimated to be well above the limit reference point of 20% of the level predicted in the absence of fishing $(SB/SB_{F=0} = 0.2)$. Overall, the estimated recruitment shows an upward trend over time, but the spawning potential shows a long-term decline. Under status quo fishing conditions, where catch and effort levels are maintained at the average 2016–2018 levels, the stock is projected to have zero probability of dropping below the Limit Reference Point (LRP). A number of diagnostic plots on exploitation history, present status and future projections are shown in Figure 7.

The conclusions of the WCPFC SC at its 15^{th} Regular Session (SC15), which were presented as recommendations to the WCPFC, are outlined below.

- The grid median spawning potential depletion level is $SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0} = 0.44$ with a likely range of 0.37 to 0.53 (80th percentile). There were no individual models where $SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0} < 0.2$, which indicated a zero probability that recent spawning biomass is below the LRP.
- The grid median F_{recent}/F_{MSY} is 0.45, with a likely range of 0.34 to 0.60 (80th percentile) and no values of F_{recent}/F_{MSY} in the grid exceed 1. Therefore, there is zero probability that overfishing is occurring.
- The largest uncertainty in the structural uncertainty grid is due to the assumed tag mixing period. SC15 acknowledged that further study is warranted to investigate the uncertainty surrounding the appropriate mixing period for the tagging data.
- The spatial extent of the Japanese pole-and-line fishery has decreased over the time period and the future use of this standardised catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) index within future stock assessments is uncertain. Therefore, further study of alternative indices of abundance is warranted, such as investigation of standardising the purse seine fishery CPUE and evaluation of the feasibility of conducting fishery independent surveys.

2.2 Yellowfin tuna

The WCPC-CA yellowfin catch in 2019, of 696,797t, was lower than the highest value (713,773t), recorded in 2017 (Figure 8 and Table 5). The purse seine catch (349,358t) decreased by 8%, and the longline catch (107,656t) increased by 9%, from 2018 levels. The remainder of the yellowfin tuna catch comes from pole-and-line and troll, and the domestic fisheries in Indonesia, Vietnam and the Philippines. The purse seine catch of yellowfin tuna is typically around four times the size of the longline catch.

As with skipjack, most of the yellowfin catch is taken in equatorial areas by large purse seine vessels, and a variety of gears in the Indonesian and Philippines fisheries. The domestic surface fisheries of the Philippines and Indonesia take large numbers of small yellowfin in the range 20-50cm (Figure 8). In the purse seine fishery, greater numbers of smaller yellowfin are caught in log and FAD sets than in unassociated sets. A major proportion (by weight) of the purse seine catch is adult (> 100cm) yellowfin tuna.

Stock assessment

The most recent assessment of yellowfin tuna in the WCPO was conducted in 2020 (Vincent *et al.* 2020) and included data from 1952 to 2018. The 2020 assessment included the incorporation of an index fishery for each of the nine regions, use of additional information on yellowfin growth, and enforcement of mixing periods in the tagging data. The analysis presented the results as a structural uncertainty grid from 72 model runs and those results were equally weighted when developing management advice. Across the range of model runs in this assessment, the key factor influencing estimates of stock status was growth, with the most optimistic stock status estimates those using a growth curve estimated externally from otolith data. Models where growth was estimated from modal size progression were the most pessimistic while a third method, where growth was estimated from both conditional age-at-length and size composition data, was intermediate although closer to the otolith growth curve models. Additional axes of uncertainty in the yellowfin grid included multiple values for steepness in the stock-recruitment relationship, a range of size scalars to weight size frequency data, and an assumed mixing period of either 1 or 2 quarters for tagged fish.

Fishing mortality on both juvenile and adult fish increased has steadily since the early days of the fishery, although juvenile mortality shows signs of leveling off. Current fishing mortality rates for yellowfin tuna, however, are estimated to be below F_{MSY} in all models, which indicates that overfishing is not occurring. Spawning potential showed a long continuous decline from the 1950s to the 2000s, but appears to have leveled off since around 2010. Recruitment has been variable throughout the assessment period, but somewhat lower in the past three decades relative to the 1950s and 1960s. Recent spawning biomass levels are uniformly (72 out of 72 runs) estimated to be above the SB_{MSY} level and the LRP of 20% of the level predicted in the absence of fishing. Under status quo fishing conditions, where effort and catch levels are maintained at the average 2016–2018 levels, the stock is projected to have zero probability of dropping below the LRP. A number of diagnostic plots on exploitation history, present status and future projections are shown in Figure 9.

The conclusions of the WCPFC at its 16^{th} Regular Session (SC16), which were presented as recommendations to the WCPFC in 2020, are outlined below.

- Based on the uncertainty grid adopted by SC16, the WCPO yellowfin tuna spawning biomass is above the biomass LRP and recent F is below F_{MSY} . The stock is not experiencing overfishing (0% probability $F_{recent} > F_{MSY}$) and is not in an overfished condition (0% probability $SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0} < LRP$). Additionally, stochastic projections predict there to be no risk of breaching the LRP (0% probability $SB_{2048}/SB_{F=0} < LRP$) under average 2016–2018 fishing conditions.
- Levels of fishing mortality and depletion differ between regions, and fishery impact was highest in the tropical region (Regions 3, 4, 7 and 8 in the stock assessment model), mainly due to the purse seine fisheries in the equatorial Pacific and the "other" fisheries within the western Pacific.
- WCPFC could consider reducing fishing mortality on yellowfin, from fisheries that take juveniles, with the goal to increase maximum fishery yields and reduce any further impacts on the spawning potential for this stock in the tropical regions.
- Although the structural uncertainty grid presents a positive indication of stock status, the high level of unresolved conflict amongst the data inputs used in the assessment suggests additional caution may be appropriate when interpreting assessment outcomes to guide management decisions.
- Recommend as a precautionary approach that the fishing mortality on yellowfin tuna stock should not be increased from the level that maintains spawning biomass at 2012–2015 levels until the WCPFC can agree on an appropriate target reference point.

2.3 Bigeye tuna

The 2019 WCPFC-CA bigeye tuna catch was 135,442t, which was a drop from the highest value (181,707t), recorded in 2004. A 18,017t decrease in purse seine catch and a 3,480t increase in the longline fishery

(Figure 10 and Table 6) has had the overall effect of a decrease in total bigeye catch relative to 2018. Of the total bigeye catch in 2019, 53% was caught by longline, 35% by purse seine, and the remainder was distributed across troll, pole and line, and other gears.

The majority of the WCPFC-CA catch is taken in equatorial areas, by both purse seine and longline, but with some longline catch in sub-tropical areas (e.g. east of Japan and off the east coast of Australia) (Figure 10). In the equatorial areas, much of the longline catch is taken in the central Pacific, contiguous with the important traditional bigeye longline area in the eastern Pacific.

As with skipjack and yellowfin tuna, the domestic surface fisheries of the Philippines and Indonesia take large numbers of small bigeye in the range of 20–50cm. In addition, large numbers of 25–75cm bigeye are taken in purse seine fishing on FADs (Figure 10) which, along with the fisheries of the Philippines and Indonesia, account for the bulk of the catch by number. The longline fishery, which lands bigeye mostly above 100cm, accounts for most of the catch by weight in the WCPFC-CA. This contrasts with large yellowfin tuna, which (in addition to the longline gear) are also taken in significant amounts from unassociated schools in the purse seine fishery and in the Philippines handline fishery. Large bigeye are very rarely taken in the WCPO purse seine fishery, and only a relatively small amount comes from the handline fishery in the Philippines. Bigeye sampled in the longline fishery are predominantly adult fish, with a mean size of approximately 130cm with most between 80 and 160cm.

Stock assessment

The most recent assessment of bigeye tuna in the WCPO was conducted in 2020 (Ducharme-Barth *et al.* 2020), and included data from 1952 to 2018. This assessment utilised only the new growth estimates first introduced in the 2017 assessment (McKechnie *et al.* 2017) but also incorporated additional age-at-length information from tag recaptures and implemented the Richards growth model. Additionally, only the 10°N spatial structure was considered; an "index fishery" approach with utilisation of spatiotemporal model standardised CPUE indices was implemented for the nine regions, and updates were incorporated for tag data models, purse seine catch estimates, size composition data, and biological parameters for the length-weight relationship and reproductive potential. Management advice was formulated from the results of an uncertainty grid of 24 models that addressed several key model uncertainties. The most influential factor contributing to uncertainty around estimated stock status was the level of data weighting given to the size-frequency data. Assessment outcomes became increasingly optimistic as greater weight was placed on the size-frequency data. Additional model uncertainties addressed in the grid included natural mortality and steepness in the stock-recruitment relationship.

Fishing mortality is estimated to have increased over time, particularly on juveniles over the last two decades, although juvenile mortality shows signs of leveling off. Current fishing mortality rates for bigeye tuna, however, are estimated to be below F_{MSY} in 21 of the 24 models in the grid, which indicates that overfishing is likely not occurring. Spawning potential showed a long continuous decline from the 1950s to the 2000s, but appears to have leveled off since around 2010. Recruitment has been variable throughout the assessment period, but somewhat higher in the past two decades relative to the 1950s and 1960s. Recent spawning biomass levels are uniformly (24 out of 24 runs) estimated to be above both the SB_{MSY} level and the LRP of 20% of the level predicted in the absence of fishing. Under status quo fishing conditions, where effort and catch levels are maintained at the average 2016–2018 levels and relatively positive recent (2007–2016) recruitment patterns continue, the stock is projected to have zero probability of dropping below the LRP. A number of diagnostic plots on exploitation history, present status and future projections are shown in Figure 11.

The conclusions of WCPFC SC16, which were based on placing equal weight on all 24 model runs, were presented as recommendations to the WCPFC, and are outlined below.

- The median catch in the last year of the assessment (2018) was 159,288t which was greater than the median MSY (140,720t).
- Based on the uncertainty grid, WCPO bigeye tuna spawning biomass is above the biomass LRP and F_{recent} is very likely below F_{MSY} .
- It was concluded that the stock is not overfished (0% probability $SB/SB_{F=0} < LRP$) and likely not experiencing overfishing (87.5% probability $F_{recent} < F_{MSY}$).

- Levels of fishing mortality and depletion differ among regions, and that fishery impact was higher in the tropical regions (Regions 3, 4, 7 and 8 in the stock assessment model), with particularly high fishing mortality on juvenile bigeye tuna in these regions. There is also evidence that the overall stock status is buffered with biomass kept at a more elevated level overall by low exploitation in the temperate regions (1, 2, 6 and 9).
- Based on these results, it was recommended as a precautionary approach that the fishing mortality on bigeye tuna stock should not be increased from the level that maintains spawning biomass at 2012–2015 levels until the WCPFC can agree on an appropriate target reference point.

2.4 South Pacific albacore tuna

The total South Pacific albacore catch in 2019 (69,987t) represented a drop from the highest value (75,135t), recorded in 2017 (Figure 12 and Table 7). Longline fishing has accounted for most of the catch of this stock (81% in the 1990s, but 96% in the most recent 10 years). The troll catch, covering a season spanning November to April, has generally been in the range of 3,000–8,000t, however it has averaged 2,674t over the past five years. Note that the albacore assessment presented here is for the albacore stock that occurs south of the equator within the WCPFC-CA and the catch data is presented in Table 7. We also provide the catch data for the albacore stock north of the equator (Table 8, thus the tables together total the numbers in Table 2); the northern albacore stock is presently assessed by the ISC (ALBWG, 2020).

The longline catch is widely distributed across the South Pacific (Figure 12), with the largest catches from the western region. Much of the increase in catch is attributed to that taken by vessels fishing north of latitude 20°S. The Pacific Island domestic longline fleet catch is restricted to latitudes $10^{\circ}-25^{\circ}S$. Troll catch is distributed in New Zealand's coastal waters, mainly off the South Island, and along the sub-tropical convergence zone (STCZ). In the past, less than 20% of the overall South Pacific albacore catch was taken east of $150^{\circ}W$ but, in the most recent five years, this has increased to over 25%.

The longline fishery takes mainly larger adult albacore, mostly in the narrow size range of 90–105cm, and the troll fishery takes juvenile fish in the range of 45–80cm. Juvenile albacore also occasionally appear in the longline catch in more southern latitudes.

Stock assessment

The most recent stock assessment for South Pacific albacore tuna was undertaken in 2018 (Tremblay-Boyer *et al.* 2018), and was based on data from 1960 to 2016. This analysis presented the results from a structural uncertainty grid based on 72 model runs for developing management advice. All plausible combinations of the most important axes of uncertainty were included with equal weighting in the grid.

The assessment indicates that fishing mortality has generally been increasing over time, with F_{recent} (2012–2015 average) estimated to be 0.2 times the fishing mortality that will support the MSY. Across the grid F_{recent}/F_{MSY} ranged from 0.06–0.53. This indicates that overfishing is not occurring (Figure 13). Spawning biomass levels are above both the level that will support the MSY ($SB_{recent}/SB_{MSY} = 3.3$ for the diagnostic case and range 1.45–10.74 across the grid) and the adopted LRP of $0.2SB_{F=0}$ ($SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0} = 0.52$ for the median and range 0.32–0.72 across the grid) indicating that the stock is not overfished. Under status quo fishing conditions, where catch levels are maintained at recent 2019 levels, the stock is projected to have a probability of dropping below the LRP as early as 2020 and this increases to a level of >30% by 2048. A number of diagnostic plots on exploitation history, present status and future projections are shown in Figure 13.

The SC also considered an index of economic conditions in the South Pacific albacore fishery (Williams and Reid 2018). This index, which integrates fishing costs, catch rates and fish prices, estimates a strong declining trend in economic conditions, reaching an historical low in 2013. While the economic conditions remain relatively poor, there was a slight recovery in 2017 due to high CPUE for South Pacific albacore.

The conclusions of the WCPFC SC at its 14^{th} Regular Session (SC14), which were based on 72 model runs, were presented as recommendations to the WCPFC, and are outlined below.

- The median spawning biomass depletion level $(SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0})$ was 0.52 with an upper and lower bound of 0.37 to 0.63 respectively.
- There was a 0% probability that the recent spawning biomass had breached the adopted LRP.

- The median fishing impact (F_{recent}/F_{MSY}) was 0.2 with a 0% probability that recent fishing mortality was above F_{MSY} .
- For several years, SC has noted that any increases in catch or effort in sub-tropical longline fisheries are likely to lead to declines in catch rates in some regions (10°S–30°S), especially for longline catch of adult albacore, with associated impacts on vessel profitability.
- The assessment results show that, while the stock has exhibited a long-term decline, the stock is not in an overfished state and overfishing is not taking place.

2.5 Summary across target tuna stocks

To summarise the most recent stock assessments for the four target tuna stocks, stock status for all four species are plotted together on a single Majuro plot, along with grid model uncertainties (Figure 14). All four are considered to be in a healthy, sustainable status as none are considered to be overfished. Yellowfin, skipjack and albacore are estimated to have a 0% probability of currently experiencing overfishing, while bigeye is estimated to have a 12.5% probability. To place these results in context, a summary of stock status for these same four stocks assessed in other ocean basins by the three other tuna Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) are illustrated in Figure 14. As most of the other tuna RFMOs report stock status relative to MSY-based reference points (i.e., SB/SB_{MSY} and F/F_{MSY}), we based the WCPFC status on the same criteria.

2.6 Tuna tagging

Large-scale tagging experiments are required to provide the level of information (fishery exploitation rates and population size) that is necessary to enable stock assessments of tropical tunas in the WCPO. Tagging data have the potential to provide significant information of relevance to stock assessment, either by way of stand-alone analyses or, preferably, through their integration with other data directly in the stock assessment model. Tuna tagging has been a core activity of the Oceanic Fisheries Programme over the last 30 years, with tagging campaigns occurring in the 1970s, 1990s and, most recently, since 2006. This most recent campaign has now tagged and released 452,489 tuna in the equatorial WCPO, including over 1,800 archival tag releases, with 81,591 reported recaptures (Figure 15). A summary of tag releases and recoveries is provided in Table 10.

3 Ecosystem and bycatch issues

3.1 Catch composition

The tuna fisheries of the WCPO principally target four main tuna species: skipjack; yellowfin; bigeye; and albacore tuna. However, the fisheries also catch a range of other species in association with these. Some of the associated species (bycatch) are of commercial value (by-products), while many others are discarded. There are also incidents of the capture of species of ecological and/or social significance, including marine mammals, sea birds, sea turtles and some species of shark (e.g. whale sharks).

The information concerning the catch composition of the main tuna fisheries in the WCPO comes largely from the various observer programmes operating in the region. Overall, catch (in weight) from unassociated and associated purse seine sets are dominated by tuna species (99.7% and 97.9%, respectively), with anchored FAD sets having a slightly higher bycatch rate (99.5% tuna) than drifting FADs (Figure 16). Historically, associated sets have accounted for the majority of bycatch of finfish and shark species, although there is some variation from year to year due to changes in the proportions of sets by association type (Peatman *et al.* 2018).

Species composition of the catch has also been estimated for three main longline fisheries operating in the WCPO: the western tropical Pacific (WTP) shallow-setting longline fishery; the WTP deep-setting longline fishery; and the western South Pacific (WSP) albacore fishery. While estimates are uncertain due to the low level of observer coverage, some general conclusions are possible. The main tuna species account for 60.9%, 79.8% and 67.4% of the total catch (by weight) of the shallow-set, deepset and albacore target longline fisheries respectively (Figure 17). The WTP shallow-set fishery has a higher proportion of non-tuna species in the catch, principally shark and billfish species, while mahi mahi (*Coryphaena*)

hippurus) and opah (*Lampris guttatus*) represent a significant component of the WSP albacore longline catch. There are also considerable differences in the species composition of the billfish catch in the longline fisheries as follows: the WTP shallow and WSP albacore fisheries catch a higher proportion of surface-orientated species than does the WTP deep-setting fishery. Blue sharks (*Prionace glauca*) are the most common shark in the deep set fishery (Figure 17).

3.2 Species of special interest

A range of conservation and management measures have been introduced by WCPFC to reduce impacts of fisheries on species of special interest, including whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*), silky shark (*Carcharhinus falciformis*) and oceanic whitetip shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*), sea turtles, whales and seabirds. Spatially and temporally disaggregated summaries of observer bycatch data are publicly available, including observed longline and purse seine effort and interaction rates for species of special interest.

There are limited interactions between the purse seine fishery and protected species, such as whale sharks and manta rays (*Mobula birostris*) (Figure 16). Historically, some vessels deliberately set around whale sharks associated with tuna schools, but this practice has been prohibited since 2014 in the WCPO. In a very small percentage of cases of free school sets, a whale shark is encountered; in these instances, the whale shark was not seen before the set was made. Observed interaction rates between the purse seine fishery and sea turtles are low (< 1 interaction per 100 sets), and interactions with seabirds are very rare.

Interactions with seabirds and marine mammals are very low in all three longline fisheries (although the probability of detecting rare events with low observer coverage means that the estimates of very low interaction rates are very uncertain). Catch of five species of marine turtles has been observed in the equatorial longline fishery, although the observed encounter rate was particularly low, and most of the turtles caught were alive at the time of release.

3.3 Catch and status of billfish and sharks

In addition to the main tuna species, annual catch estimates for the WCPO in 2019 are available for the main species of billfish (swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) [16,830t], blue marlin (*Makaira nigricans*) [15,856t], striped marlin (*Kajikia audax*) [3,637t] and (*Istiompax indica*) black marlin [1,748t]). For all of these species current catch is around the average for the past decade. Catch of associated species cannot be accurately quantified using logsheet data, but estimates should be possible in the future when longline observer coverage increases. (See Peatman *et al.* (2018) for more details.) Observer coverage is already sufficiently high to estimate catch of bycatch species for large-scale purse seiners operating in equatorial and tropic waters.

The status of silky and oceanic whitetip sharks is of concern as assessments have shown that stocks are subject to overfishing and, in the case of oceanic whitetip, severely overfished. A WCPFC ban on the use of either shark lines or wire traces in longline sets is in place, which is hoped will reduce the catch of silky and oceanic whitetip sharks. Over the past several years stock assessments have been undertaken for several billfish and shark species, in addition to the main tuna species. The SC recommendations to the WCPFC are broadly outlined below.

- Stabilise stock size or catch/ensure no increase in fishing pressure
 - Southwest Pacific swordfish
 - Pacific blue marlin
- Reduce catch and/or rebuild the stock and/or reduce effort and/or enhance data collection efforts
 - Pacific bluefin tuna
 - Southwest Pacific striped marlin
 - Western and central north Pacific striped marlin
 - Blue shark
 - Silky shark
 - Oceanic whitetip shark

Two shark (oceanic whitetip and sillky) and two billfish (Southwest Pacific striped marlin and Southwest Pacific swordfish) species have been assessed by SPC staff in recent years (Figure 18). Stock status for these species is based on the Kobe plot, where overfished status is judged relative to spawning stock size at MSY^3 . There is considerable uncertainty in the estimates of F/F_{MSY} and SB/SB_{MSY} for all four species. Based on the assessment model grid medians, Southwest Pacific striped marlin and oceanic whitetip are likely in an overfished state, while overfishing is likely occurring for silky shark as well as oceanic whitetip.

3.4 El Niño Southern Oscillation forecast

One of the major factors influencing the distribution of tuna species, perhaps mostly notably for skipjack, is the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Lehodey *et al.* 1997). The two extremes of the oscillation, El Niño and La Niña, result in very different distributions of purse seine fishing effort (Figure 19). At the time this report went to press, a medium-strength La Niña event was in progress and forecast to continue across the Pacific from November 2020 to June 2021. Typically, this results in a pooling of warm water in the western Pacific, a relative decrease in sea surface temperature in the eastern Pacific, and a concentration of skipjack in the western Pacific, although we note that every ENSO event differs in its magnitude, range and impact.

3.5 Climate change

The Spatial Ecosystem And Population Dynamics (SEAPODYM, Lehodey *et al.* 2014) modelling framework was used to investigate how climate change could affect the distribution and abundance of skipjack, yellowfin, bigeye tuna and South Pacific albacore, at the Pacific basin scale, and within the EEZs of Pacific Island countries (Senina *et al.* 2018). The analysis formed two parts, firstly, a model parameterisation phase over the historical period (1980–2010) using an analysis of historic ocean conditions, and then projections of an ensemble of simulations to explore key sources of uncertainty in climate models. Second, five different atmospheric forcing datasets from Earth System models projected under the ("business as usual") Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Regional Concentration Pathways 8.5 (RCP8.5) emissions scenario were used to drive physical-biogeochemical models through the 21^{st} century. Additional scenarios were included to explore uncertainty associated with future primary production and dissolved oxygen concentration, as well as possible adaptation through phenotypic plasticity of these tuna species to warmer spawning grounds. The impact of ocean acidification was also included for yellowfin tuna based on results from laboratory experiments.

The historical simulations (Figure 20) reflect key features of the ecology and behaviour of the four tuna species and match the total historical catch in terms of both weight and size frequency distributions. The projections show an eastern shift in the biomass of skipjack and yellowfin tuna over time, with a large and increasing uncertainty for the second half of the century, especially for skipjack tuna. The impact is weaker for bigeye tuna and albacore, which predicts a wider and warmer range of favorable spawning habitat. For albacore, a strong sensitivity to sub-surface oxygen conditions resulted in a very wide range of projected stock sizes. Historical fishing pressure was estimated to have reduced the adult stocks of all four tuna species by 30–55% by the end of 2010. The effects of fishing on biomass strongly outweighed the decreases attributed to climate change in the short- to medium-term. Thus, fishing pressure is expected to be the dominant driver of tuna population status until the mid-century. The projected changes in abundance and redistribution of these tuna associated with climate change could have significant implications for the economic development of Pacific Island countries and territories, and the management of tuna resources, at basin scale. In particular, larger proportions of the catch of each species is increasingly expected to be made in international waters.

³ Because the WCPFC has not agreed upon LRPs for billfish or shark, the Kobe plot, rather than the depletion-based Majuro plot, is the default.

4 For further information⁴

4.1 **Fishery**

Peatman, T. et al. 2019. Better purse seine catch composition estimates: recent progress and future work plan for Project 60. WCPFC-SC15-2019/ST-WP-02.

Williams, P. 2020. Estimates of annual catches in the WCPFC Statistical Area. WCPFC-SC16-ST-IP-01.

Williams, P. and T. Ruaia 2020. Overview of tuna fisheries in the western and central Pacific Ocean, including economic conditions - 2019. WCPFC-SC16-2020/GN-IP-01.

4.2 Status of the stocks

Common Oceans (ABNJ) Tuna Project. 2018. Pacific-wide Silky Shark (*Carcharhinus Falciformis*) Stock Status Assessment. WCPFC-SC14-2018/SA-WP-08.

Ducharme-Barth, N. et al. 2019. Stock assessment of SW Pacific striped marlin in the WCPO. WCPFC-SC15-2019/SA-WP-07.

Ducharme-Barth, N. et al. 2020. Stock assessment of bigeye tuna in the western and central Pacific Ocean. WCPFC-SC16-2020/SA-WP-03 Rev3.

Farley J. et al. 2018. Project 35: Update on age and growth of bigeye tuna in the WCPO WCPFC Project 81, Rev 1. WCPFC-SC14-2018/SA-WP-01.

ISC ALBWG. 2020. Stock assessment of albacore tuna in the North Pacific Ocean in 2020. WCPFC-SC16-2020/SA-WP-05.

ISC Shark Working Group. 2017. Stock Assessment and Future Projections of Blue Shark in the North Pacific Ocean through 2015. WCPFC-SC13-2017/SA-WP-10.

Takeuchi et al. 2017. Stock assessment of swordfish in the SW Pacific. WCPFC-SC13-2017/SA-WP-13.

Tremblay-Boyer, L. et al. 2018. Stock assessment of South Pacific albacore tuna. WCPFC-SC14-2018/SA-WP-05 Rev2.

Tremblay-Boyer, L. et al. 2019. Stock assessment for oceanic whitetip shark in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. WCPFC-SC15-2019/SA-WP-06.

Vincent, M. et al. 2018. Incorporation of updated growth information within the 2017 WCPO bigeye stock assessment grid, and examination of the sensitivity of estimates to alternative model spatial structures. WCPFC-SC14-2018/SA-WP-03.

Vincent, M. et al. 2019. Stock assessment of skipjack tuna in the western and central Pacific Ocean. WCPFC-SC15-2020/SA-WP-05 Rev2.

Vincent, M. et al. 2020. Stock assessment of yellowfin tuna in the western and central Pacific Ocean. WCPFC-SC16-2020/SA-WP-04 Rev3.

4.3 Ecosystem considerations

Allain V., et al. 2015. Monitoring the pelagic ecosystem effects of different levels of fishing effort on the western Pacific Ocean warm pool. Secretariat of the Pacific Community, New Caledonia. https://oceanfish. spc.int/publications/doc_details/1376-monitoring-the-pelagic-ecosystem-effects-final?lang= en.

Allain, V., et al. 2012. Interaction between Coastal and Oceanic Ecosystems of the Western and Central Pacific Ocean through Predator-Prey Relationship Studies. PLoS ONE. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036701.

All WCPFC documents can be obtained by visiting the WCPFC website (www.wcpfc.int); hyperlinks are provided for documents listed herein.

Bromhead, D., et al. 2014. Ocean acidification impacts on tropical tuna populations. Deep Sea Research II. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.03.019.

Evans, K., et al. 2014. When 1+1 can be >2: uncertainties compound when simulating climate, fisheries and marine ecosystems. Deep Sea Research II. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.04.006.

Farley JH., et al. 2014. Maturity Ogives for South Pacific Albacore Tuna That Account for Spatial and Seasonal Variation in the Distributions of Mature and Immature Fish. PlosONE https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083017.

Lehodey, P. et al. 1997. El Niño Southern Oscillation and tuna in the western Pacific. Nature 389: 715-718.

Lehodey, P., et al. 2014. Projected impacts of climate change on south Pacific albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*). Deep Sea Research II. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.10.028.

Lehodey, P., et al. 2014. Project 62: SEAPODYM applications in WCPO. WCPFC-SC10-2014-EB-WP-02.

Lehodey P., et al. 2012. Modelling the impact of climate change on Pacific skipjack tuna population and fisheries. Climatic Change, 119:95-109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0595-1.

Leroy, B., et al. 2012. A critique of the ecosystem impacts of drifting and anchored FADs use by purse seine tuna fisheries in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. Aquatic Living Resources. https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2012033.

Macdonald, J., et al. 2013. Insights into mixing and movement of South Pacific albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) derived from trace elements in otoliths. Fisheries Research, 148:56-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2013.08.004.

Menkes C., et al. 2014. Seasonal Oceanography from Physics to Micronekton in the South-West Pacific. Deep Sea Research II. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.10.026.

Nicol, S., et al. 2014. Oceanographic characterization of the Pacific Ocean and potential impact of climate variability on tuna stocks and their fisheries. Secretariat of the Pacific Community, New Caledonia. ISBN:978-982-00-0737-6.

Nicol, S., et al. 2013. An ocean observation system for monitoring the affects of climate change on the ecology and sustainability of pelagic fisheries in the Pacific Ocean. Climatic Change. 119: 113-145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0598-y.

Peatman, T and G. Pilling. 2016. Monte Carlo simulation modelling of purse seine catches of silky and oceanic whitetip sharks. WCPFC-SC12-EB-WP-03.

Peatman, T. et al. 2018. Summary of purse seine fishery bycatch at a regional scale, 2003-2017. WCPFC-SC14-ST-IP-04.

Peatman, T. and Nicol, S. 2020. Updated longline bycatch estimates in the WCPO. WCPFC-SC16-ST-IP-11.

Senina, I. et al. 2018. Impact of climate change on tropical Pacific tuna and their fisheries in Pacific Islands waters and high seas areas. WCPFC-SC14-2018/EB-WP-01.

Senina, I. et al. 2019. Integrating tagging and fisheries data into a spatial population dynamics model to improve its predictive skills. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2018-0470.

Tremblay-Boyer, L. and Brouwer, S. 2016. Review of available information on non-key shark species including mobulids and Fisheries interactions. WCPFC-SC12-EB-WP-08.

Williams, AJ., et al. 2014. Vertical behavior and diet of albacore tuna (*Thunnus alalunga*) vary with latitude in the South Pacific Ocean. Deep Sea Research II. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.03.010i.

Young, JW., et al. 2014. The trophodynamics of marine top predators: Current knowledge, recent advances and challenges. Deep Sea Research II. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.05.015.

5 Tables

Table 1: Catch (metric tonnes) by gear for the western and central Pacific region, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	129,874	98,956	5,224	0	31,195	265,249
1961	123,330	150,709	14,540	0	$34,\!536$	323,115
1962	128,804	166,141	18,875	0	34,947	348,767
1963	122,703	125,048	11,934	0	36,795	296,480
1964	102,481	167,181	29,012	0	$41,\!334$	340,008
1965	103.955	176,112	8,621	0	41,727	330,415
1966	$145,\!278$	241,730	16.913	0	46,993	450,914
1967	128,047	$205,\!255$	14,508	5	52,006	399,821
1968	120.136	183.954	15.143	14	52.327	371.574
1969	122,806	208,748	9,483	0	57,703	398,740
1970	141.360	230.142	16,222	50	69.633	457,407
1971	143.625	241.506	24.511	0	68.925	478.567
1972	161.533	242.745	29.031	268	87.209	520.786
1973	166.399	330.841	36.269	484	103.281	637.274
1974	145,192	370.499	29.548	898	109.578	655.715
1975	164.049	279.663	27.685	646	111.669	583.712
1976	198.013	382.627	40.770	25	104.582	726.017
1977	218,413	345.257	53,491	621	136.322	754.104
1978	212.059	407,482	52.040	1.686	131.084	804.351
1979	211.221	344.799	90.102	814	124.684	771.620
1980	230.625	398,498	116.754	1.489	89,969	837.335
1981	191.732	348.917	158.558	2.118	107.884	809.209
1982	179.575	316.457	255.491	2.552	107.990	862.065
1983	175,498	342.287	442,154	949	109.378	1.070.266
1984	162.111	415.016	462.275	3.124	118.478	1.161.004
1985	177.722	287.892	409.536	3.468	136.812	1.015.430
1986	169.129	360.864	474.837	2.284	146.873	1.153.987
1987	179,966	294.879	543,979	2.350	131.849	1.153.023
1988	200.774	327.997	608,998	4.671	151,193	1.293.633
1989	170.876	311.981	664.658	8.687	165.164	1.321.366
1990	188.842	247.104	795.528	7.219	203.508	1.442.201
1991	160.889	290.006	1.006.764	8.004	203.129	1.668.792
1992	199.688	259.762	975,738	6.844	163.536	1.605.568
1993	195.377	293.014	846.115	4.612	145.262	1.484.380
1994	221.367	262.721	971.566	7.493	162.850	1.625.997
1995	217.417	298.301	927.490	23.585	168.062	1.634.855
1996	215.466	301.279	896,443	17.807	208.032	1.639.027
1997	226.375	298,666	959,216	18,732	178.199	1,681,188
1998	$251,\!197$	$323,\!645$	1,257,392	19.099	213,779	2,065,112
1999	219,024	$338,\!480$	1.068.959	13,476	211,900	1,851,839
2000	248,474	319,854	1,143,294	25,845	$235,\!670$	1.973.137
2001	264.340	272,483	1.118.919	17,329	211,934	1,885,005
2002	281,627	286,202	1,265,454	16,129	222,513	2,071,925
2003	261,636	303.905	1,258,226	19,875	250.944	2,094.586
2004	284,849	322.179	1,354,241	23,445	290,666	2,275,380
2005	250,693	266.735	1,479.328	13,293	228,562	2,238.611
2006	255,650	257,594	1,512,944	10,098	$255,\!646$	2,291,932
2007	245,130	284,661	$1,\!655,\!498$	9,249	304,526	2,499,064
2008	247,675	269,551	1,709,351	11,740	$312,\!905$	2,551,222
2009	280,374	$264,\!350$	1,785,789	9,898	$277,\!286$	$2,\!617,\!697$
2010	$275,\!135$	$270,\!123$	1,703,133	11,320	260,010	2,519,721

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
2011	261,756	$275,\!070$	$1,\!550,\!492$	11,973	239,331	2,338,622
2012	$275,\!053$	242,960	$1,\!844,\!078$	14,018	298,991	$2,\!675,\!100$
2013	$242,\!834$	229,560	$1,\!897,\!359$	$9,\!484$	$313,\!059$	$2,\!692,\!296$
2014	$264,\!683$	$206,\!939$	$2,\!059,\!006$	$6,\!677$	347,784	$2,\!885,\!089$
2015	$271,\!113$	$214,\!041$	1,752,755	$7,\!552$	396,702	$2,\!642,\!163$
2016	240,729	$198,\!398$	$1,\!850,\!479$	7,206	411,414	2,708,226
2017	$246,\!325$	171,062	$1,\!831,\!891$	$7,\!978$	$331,\!806$	$2,\!589,\!062$
2018	$257,\!247$	231,555	1,902,340	7,462	$412,\!680$	$2,\!811,\!284$
2019	279,015	191,135	$2,\!108,\!012$	8,116	411,031	$2,\!997,\!309$

Table 1: (continued)

Table 2: Catch (metric tonnes) by species for the four main tuna species taken in the western and central Pacific region, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Albacore	Bigeye	Skipjack	Yellowfin	Total
1960	56,619	45,025	89,938	73,667	265,249
1961	51.561	39.380	156.736	75.438	323.115
1962	46.331	36.868	181.624	83,944	348.767
1963	53 675	44.346	122703	75 756	296 480
1964	50 545	32 391	182 918	74,154	340,008
1965	70,226	31 333	155,221	73 635	330415
1966	75,220	31,000 33,187	249514	03.000	450 914
1067	80 202	36,101	243,514	68 032	200 821
1907	64 912	20,749	204,037 105,027	08,952	399,021 271 574
1908	04,213 72,106	30,420 26 022	195,027	81,908 87.974	371,374
1909	72,100	30,033	203,327	01,214	398,740
1970	(4,350	41,689	242,261	99,107	457,407
1971	100,737	44,144	228,632	105,054	478,567
1972	109,655	57,151	237,856	116,124	520,786
1973	131,149	48,853	328,823	128,449	637,274
1974	115,162	52,757	356,498	131,298	655,715
1975	$84,\!651$	69,269	288,824	140,968	583,712
1976	$132,\!947$	82,742	$357,\!629$	$152,\!699$	726,017
1977	83,171	$83,\!388$	$403,\!079$	$184,\!466$	$754,\!104$
1978	111,161	66,226	450,083	$176,\!881$	$804,\!351$
1979	86,007	$73,\!568$	$412,\!548$	$199,\!497$	$771,\!620$
1980	$95,\!156$	$72,\!301$	$451,\!805$	$218,\!073$	$837,\!335$
1981	88,095	$64,\!348$	433,322	$223,\!444$	809,209
1982	89,496	$73,\!149$	470,705	228,715	862,065
1983	$65,\!988$	$79,\!470$	638,797	286,011	1,070,266
1984	$74,\!540$	86,637	716,941	282,886	1,161,004
1985	77.060	87.595	561,292	289,483	1.015.430
1986	71,757	93,066	713,338	275,826	1,153,987
1987	63.645	110.987	653,893	324.498	1.153.023
1988	67.948	107.005	806.864	311.816	1.293.633
1989	73 533	107,000	768 567	371 865	1,321,366
1990	63 872	127162	836 704	414 463	1,442,201
1991	58,322	$115\ 274$	1 047 969	$447\ 227$	1,112,201 1 668 792
1992	74,452	138530	946 799	441,221 445,787	1,000,102 1,605,568
1003	77.406	100,000 116 151	880 312	401 491	1,000,000 1,484,380
1004	06.461	120,101	060.041	401,421	1,404,500 1,625,007
1994	50,401 01.750	111 080	900,941 008,720	433,010	1,025,997 1,634,855
1995	91,750	106.000	1 020 649	433,290 411,220	1,034,833 1,620,027
1990	91,140 112,000	100,000	1,030,048	411,239	1,039,027
1997	112,900	150,000	949,001 1 070 715	400,102	1,001,100
1998	112,400 121,000	152,512	1,272,710	327,020	2,005,112
1999	131,060	152,748	1,093,492	474,533	1,851,839
2000	101,672	148,108	1,224,246	499,111	1,973,137
2001	121,561	139,166	1,127,520	496,758	1,885,005
2002	148,051	157,879	1,288,776	477,219	2,071,925
2003	123,239	146,705	1,272,039	552,603	2,094,586
2004	122,399	181,707	1,385,190	586,084	2,275,380
2005	105,366	$151,\!662$	$1,\!436,\!605$	544,978	2,238,611
2006	$105,\!254$	$157,\!082$	$1,\!493,\!739$	$535,\!857$	$2,\!291,\!932$
2007	$126,\!857$	$154,\!043$	$1,\!666,\!272$	$551,\!892$	$2,\!499,\!064$
2008	105,029	$165{,}545$	$1,\!646,\!588$	$634,\!060$	$2,\!551,\!222$
2009	$135,\!622$	$158,\!431$	1,764,294	$559,\!350$	$2,\!617,\!697$
2010	125,781	$141,\!568$	$1,\!680,\!533$	$571,\!839$	$2,\!519,\!721$
2011	115,766	$162,\!923$	$1,\!524,\!890$	$535,\!043$	$2,\!338,\!622$
2012	$143,\!792$	$165,\!203$	1,739,439	$626,\!666$	$2,\!675,\!100$
2013	$138,\!397$	$153,\!882$	$1,\!826,\!981$	$573,\!036$	$2,\!692,\!296$

Year Albacore Bigeye Skipjack Yellowfin Total 2014 121,720 $164,\!545$ 1,978,927 $619,\!897$ 2,885,089 2015 $117,\!470$ $145,\!314$ 1,779,730 $599,\!649$ $2,\!642,\!163$ 2016 101,245 666,288 $151,\!163$ 1,789,5302,708,226 2017 $1,\!620,\!235$ 713,7732,589,062 $125,\!157$ $129,\!897$ 2018 $110,\!915$ $149,\!181$ $1,\!846,\!344$ $704,\!844$ $2,\!811,\!284$ 2019 119,100 135,442 2,045,970 696,797 2,997,309

Table 2: (continued)

Table 3: Several indices of fishing effort for the three main gears used in commercial fishing of tuna in the western and central Pacific region, 1960–2019. For vessels, the abbreviations are: DPI – domestic (Pacific Island); DNPI – domestic (non-Pacific Island), DWFN – distant water fishing nation. Longline effort (Mhks) is millions of hooks. Effort totals exclude the following: Japan coastal, Indonesia, Philippine and Vietnam domestic purse seine vessels; Vietnam and Indonesia domestic longline vessels; Japanese coastal and Indonesian domestic vessels for pole-and-line.

		Purse	e seine			Lo	ngline		Pole-and-line			
	Ve	essels	Eff	ort		Vessel	s	Effort		Vessels		Effort
Year	DPI	DWFN	Days	Sets	DPI	DNPI	DWFN	Mhks	Japan	DPI	DNPI	Days
1960	0	0	0	0	0	881	1845	241.7	0	0	0	0
1961	0	0	0	0	0	730	1937	268.2	0	0	0	0
1962	0	0	0	0	0	695	1848	253.0	0	0	0	0
1963	0	0	0	0	0	806	1911	266.0	0	0	0	0
1964	0	0	0	0	0	641	1821	207.5	0	0	0	0
1965	0	0	0	0	0	726	1752	253.4	0	0	0	0
1966	0	0	0	0	0	175	1861	299.1	0	0	0	0
1967	0	0	8	13	0	173	1831	308.5	0	0	0	0
1968	0	0	51	77	0	253	1845	296.3	0	0	0	0
1969	0	4	17	22	0	918	1739	289.6	0	0	0	0
1970	0	6	99	120	0	1743	1658	284.6	0	0	0	0
1971	0	6	1939	2654	0	1794	1684	316.2	0	0	0	0
1972	0	7	2465	3433	0	1862	1609	333.5	554	56	0	54754
1973	0	6	2657	3591	2	2232	1650	352.7	650	66	0	65381
1974	0	10	1942	2337	0	1986	1786	408.0	716	82	0	66810
1975	0	12	2197	2629	0	2147	1763	327.8	696	81	0	66314
1976	0	18	2534	3159	2	2174	1847	353.6	653	89	9	74787
1977	0	15	2253	2721	2	2125	1821	368.9	662	100	20	88567
1978	0	19	2491	2994	2	2358	1871	330.8	645	100	14	83754
1979	0	27	3639	4463	2	2505	1868	421.8	625	98	10	79590
1980	1	33	3798	4961	2	2743	1913	451.8	572	160	9	79191
1981	1	42	7763	8114	2	2645	1871	500.0	548	168	18	80060
1982	1	73	11770	11560	3	2641	1592	435.7	475	108	23	68126
1983	8	118	18993	16062	4	2527	1437	345.5	434	91	16	58692
1984	6	120	25083	21471	5	2563	1445	385.9	396	98	8	59279
1985	6	110	20819	18418	6	2872	1437	438.1	356	98	0	53866
1986	5	113	20805	18160	3	2795	1445	330.3	330	97	5	51413
1987	5	116	24329	19823	4	3179	1415	372.1	314	112	5	48305
1988	8	132	24261	19441	5	2844	1393	445.5	277	102	18	42862
1989	5	152	27111	22115	9	2695	1405	392.9	269	105	15	43480
1990	13	176	30060	23081	16	2283	1410	390.0	255	166	20	42075
1991	15	184	37153	31093	27	1965	1455	385.2	242	154	19	32256
1992	17	193	40825	30618	59	3173	1396	400.6	216	163	13	32447
1993	15	183	42751	31219	113	3241	1570	398.8	203	138	19	32113
1994	22	176	38091	29254	158	3223	1687	451.5	185	137	23	31233
1995	21	163	37015	28526	217	2984	1624	463.6	174	145	33	31229
1996	20	158	37758	29971	259	2599	1428	389.6	165	139	33	29449
1997	31	158	39328	30681	349	3194	1231	413.6	163	108	26	33060
1998	32	164	36532	31750	415	3089	1223	466.6	163	102	16	33995
1999	40	164	38521	27260	405	3075	1151	543.4	163	103	16	33600
2000	52	174	37790	30754	422	1426	1089	533.7	160	83	15	28622
2001	46	161	37977	30398	490	2312	1118	702.7	155	75	11	25809
2002	55	158	41777	33415	463	2245	1149	726.0	151	70	11	27327
2003	59	152	44031	33646	482	1622	1139	725.6	144	69	9	22759
2004	78	147	47264	35340	476	1515	910	718.7	127	67	9	22122
2005	86	142	49123	40486	475	1473	763	649.7	128	60	11	22122
2006	76	148	45095	36280	433	1313	639	641.5	113	65	6	18424
2007	83	162	48256	39430	458	1163	518	716.5	106	58	5	18413

ſ												
		Purse	seine			Lo	ngline			Pole-a	ind-line	
	V	essels	Eff	ort		Vessels E		Effort	Vessels			Effort
Year	DPI	DWFN	Days	Sets	DPI	DNPI	DWFN	Mhks	Japan	DPI	DNPI	Days
2008	80	175	52363	44849	432	1147	604	734.7	98	50	3	16887
2009	80	187	52946	47191	401	1148	589	765.8	96	48	6	16001
2010	87	196	55067	54372	509	1165	632	772.2	95	50	2	16153
2011	94	191	65971	60814	608	1131	660	819.5	91	56	2	14833
2012	100	191	61671	64896	540	630	645	885.5	87	54	1	15241
2013	104	199	63047	65330	380	738	744	722.3	80	49	2	13786
2014	109	204	60658	65318	540	724	656	737.9	80	47	0	11348
2015	118	195	49429	55501	538	820	705	766.4	76	47	0	12817
2016	138	160	50640	53682	373	783	701	689.5	76	45	0	14464
2017	136	152	54269	57773	547	709	633	705.0	80	46	0	13169
2018	132	145	50887	57524	609	706	631	726.2	69	40	0	13768
2019	138	152	52835	61740	452	592	625	837.8	66	37	0	10805

Table 3: (continued)

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	0	70,428	3,728	0	15,782	89,938
1961	0	127,011	$11,\!693$	0	18,032	156,736
1962	4	$152,\!387$	$11,\!674$	0	$17,\!559$	$181,\!624$
1963	0	94,757	9,592	0	$18,\!354$	122,703
1964	5	$137,\!106$	25,006	0	$20,\!801$	182,918
1965	11	129,933	$4,\!657$	0	$20,\!620$	155,221
1966	52	$215,\!600$	10,949	0	$22,\!913$	$249{,}514$
1967	124	$168,\!846$	10,937	0	$24,\!930$	$204,\!837$
1968	83	$162,\!379$	$7,\!636$	0	$24,\!929$	195,027
1969	130	$168,\!084$	5,043	0	$30,\!070$	$203,\!327$
1970	$1,\!608$	$197,\!873$	7,565	0	$35,\!215$	242,261
1971	1,475	180,945	13,783	0	$32,\!429$	$228,\!632$
1972	1,544	$172,\!827$	$18,\!117$	0	45,368	$237,\!856$
1973	1,861	$253,\!217$	$19,\!310$	0	$54,\!435$	$328,\!823$
1974	2,124	289,202	$11,\!150$	0	$54,\!022$	$356,\!498$
1975	1,919	$218,\!271$	$13,\!615$	0	$55,\!019$	$288,\!824$
1976	2,096	$276{,}582$	$22,\!844$	0	$56,\!107$	$357,\!629$
1977	$3,\!127$	$294{,}641$	34,071	0	$71,\!240$	$403,\!079$
1978	3,233	$331,\!401$	34,220	0	$81,\!229$	450,083
1979	$2,\!179$	$285,\!859$	58,368	0	66,142	$412,\!548$
1980	632	$333,\!597$	79,280	12	$38,\!284$	$451,\!805$
1981	756	296,065	92,260	17	$44,\!224$	$433,\!322$
1982	972	264,726	156,905	64	48,038	470,705
1983	2,144	$298,\!928$	288,065	154	49,506	$638,\!797$
1984	870	366,811	300,852	284	$48,\!124$	$716,\!941$
1985	1,108	$238,\!932$	$267,\!346$	146	53,760	$561,\!292$
1986	$1,\!439$	$322,\!665$	324,269	219	64,746	$713,\!338$
1987	2,329	$252,\!142$	340,720	168	$58,\!534$	$653,\!893$
1988	1,937	$295,\!325$	$451,\!025$	299	$58,\!278$	$806,\!864$
1989	2,507	$275,\!088$	432,291	244	$58,\!437$	$768,\!567$
1990	363	211,573	530,009	176	$94,\!583$	$836{,}704$
1991	885	259,778	$695{,}581$	148	$91,\!577$	1,047,969
1992	432	218,765	$636{,}545$	168	90,889	946,799
1993	573	$255,\!152$	$555,\!530$	175	$77,\!882$	889,312
1994	379	$209,\!636$	673,734	228	76,964	960,941
1995	598	247,744	659,746	12,298	$78,\!343$	998,729
1996	3,935	$242,\!486$	678,478	6,514	99,235	1,030,648
1997	4,070	$236,\!999$	613,004	9,218	86,260	$949,\!551$
1998	5,030	266,772	890,911	8,316	$101,\!686$	1,272,715
1999	4,208	$255,\!330$	727,716	$5,\!660$	100,578	1,093,492
2000	4,559	264,407	824,702	15,005	$115,\!573$	$1,\!224,\!246$
2001	5,059	212,668	797,842	7,536	104,415	1,127,520
2002	3,450	207,488	963,666	6,796	107,376	1,288,776
2003	3,824	238,179	903,760	9,721	116,555	1,272,039
2004	4,051	249,936	977,884	15,118	138,201	1,385,190
2005	1,084	216,715	1,073,418	6,302	139,086	1,436,605
2006	1,528	208,731	1,121,843	3,987	157,650	1,493,739
2007	1,175	213,010	1,257,872	3,598	190,617	1,666,272
2008	803	218,570	1,224,453	4,572	198,190	1,646,588
2009	1,220	201,323	1,387,437	4,252	170,062	1,764,294
2010	1,192	223,409	1,292,424	4,705	158,803	1,680,533
2011	1,124	206,843	1,163,066	4,214	149,643	1,524,890
2012	2,004	170,538	1,378,708	6,235	181,954	1,739,439
2013	1,254	169,025	1,461,837	3,223	$191,\!642$	1,826,981

Table 4: Skipjack tuna catch (metric tonnes) by gear type for the western and central Pacific region, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
2014	1,879	148,684	1,609,784	1,567	217,013	1,978,927
2015	1,879	$151,\!317$	$1,\!380,\!255$	1,776	244,503	1,779,730
2016	$5,\!642$	$156,\!603$	$1,\!375,\!647$	1,918	249,720	1,789,530
2017	2,571	123,013	$1,\!273,\!543$	2,251	$218,\!857$	1,620,235
2018	4,162	183,267	$1,\!452,\!866$	1,945	204,104	1,846,344
2019	5,470	$153,\!869$	1,708,812	1,918	175,901	2,045,970

Table 4: (continued)

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	55,020	1,872	1,438	0	$15,\!337$	$73,\!667$
1961	$53,\!166$	$3,\!259$	2,777	0	$16,\!236$	$75,\!438$
1962	$55,\!547$	4,225	6,975	0	$17,\!197$	$83,\!944$
1963	$53,\!185$	2,071	2,277	0	$18,\!223$	75,756
1964	$45,\!247$	5,074	$3,\!647$	0	$20,\!186$	$74,\!154$
1965	$45,\!493$	$3,\!434$	3,752	0	20,956	$73,\!635$
1966	$61,\!654$	$2,\!192$	$5,\!844$	0	$23,\!409$	$93,\!099$
1967	36,083	$3,\!125$	$3,\!421$	0	26,303	68,932
1968	46,070	2,706	7,047	0	26,085	81,908
1969	$51,\!627$	5,166	3,869	0	$26,\!612$	87,274
1970	55,806	4,606	7,762	0	30,933	99,107
1971	57,766	5,248	9,146	0	32,894	$105,\!054$
1972	61,175	7,465	9,978	0	37,506	116,124
1973	62,291	7,458	14,872	0	43,828	$128,\!449$
1974	58,116	6,582	$17,\!159$	0	49,441	$131,\!298$
1975	69,462	7,801	12,676	0	51,029	140,968
1976	77,570	17,186	15,177	0	42,766	$152,\!699$
1977	94,414	15,257	16,725	0	58,070	184,466
1978	110,202	12,767	14,511	0	39,401	176,881
1979	108,910	11,638	29,384	0	49,565	199.497
1980	125.113	15.142	34,383	9	43.426	218.073
1981	97.114	22.044	56.294	16	47.976	223,444
1982	86.149	17.123	82.589	54^{-3}	42.800	228.715
1983	90.259	17.184	130.361	51	48.156	286.011
1984	76.988	17.633	133.986	67	54.212	282.886
1985	79,973	22.717	123.395	69	63.329	289.483
1986	68,999	17.970	123.428	62	65.367	275.826
1987	75.407	19.044	170.053	48	59.946	324.498
1988	88 855	20,566	130 741	76	71 578	311 816
1989	73,306	22 133	200,939	73	75 414	371 865
1990	79,300	20,769	227,478	68	86 848	414 463
1991	63512	19 182	267,566	51	96 916	$447\ 227$
1992	77,739	23.043	282.781	98	62.126	445.787
1993	72.055	20.486	248.286	141	60.453	401.421
1994	82 184	20,100 21.378	258 136	101	76877	438 676
1995	88,306	23,209	238,250	2570	80,961	433 296
1996	91.887	30,551	187734	$\frac{2}{636}$	98 431	$411\ 239$
1997	81.065	22.845	289 679	2,838	83 755	480 182
1998	81.077	22,810 27,506	313 618	$\frac{2,800}{2,806}$	102.613	527620
1999	71 023	26,787	271,501	$\frac{2,000}{3,162}$	102,010 102,060	$474\ 533$
2000	96 908	26,957	262,238	3,343	102,000 109.665	499 111
2000	95,569	20,301 24.443	202,200 274,972	3,040	98.058	496,758
2001 2002	95,644	24,443	249.082	3,110 3,172	105188	430,730 477,910
2002	95,044 95,712	24,100	306 828	3,172 3 101	100,100 122.658	552 603
2003	104.066	24,504	300,828	2,101 2,706	122,000	586 084
2004	87 417	30,040 27.007	344 884	2,100	109,400 82 169	544 078
2005	85.016	21,001	344,004	2,500 2,607	01,102	525 857
2000	89 516	20,000 96 570	222,449 222,419	2,007	106 540	551 802
2001	02,010 84 000	20,070	000,412 117 506	2,004 2,002	106,540	634 060
2008	04,200	22,100	417,000 221 650	2,303 3,007	101.274	550 250
2009	99,070 08 500	20,910	350 889	3,027 3,611	06 710	571 820
2010 2011	90,020 07 779	20,112	002,000 313 006	3,011	83 KOO	525 042
2011 2012	91,110 07 666	30,030 34 705	313,090 206 071	3,002 3,095	102 200	000,040 696 666
2012	01,000 77 946	04,700 01.004	390,971 260 E71	0,900 0 460	100,009 100 795	020,000 579.096
2010	(1,340	21,924	302,571	2,400	108,735	013,030

Table 5: Yellowfin tuna catch (metric tonnes) by gear type for the western and central Pacific region, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year Longline Pole-and-line Purse seine Troll Other Total 2014 100,375 24,082 $378,\!139$ $2,\!195$ 115,106 619,897 $599,\!649$ 2015 $104,\!375$ 35,719 $320,\!171$ 2,729 $136,\!655$ 2,803 2016 91,870 23,387 666,288 $408,\!578$ 139,650 86,227 713,773 2017 $24,\!929$ 2,617101,178 $498,\!822$ $381,\!693$ 201897,727 $26,\!215$ $2,\!589$ 196,620 $704,\!844$ 2019 107,656 17,813 349,358 2,550219,420 696,797

Table 5: (continued)

Table 6: Bigeye tuna catch (metric tonnes) by gear type for the western and central Pacific region, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	43,467	1,500	58	0	0	45,025
1961	$37,\!517$	1,800	63	0	0	39,380
1962	$35,\!895$	800	173	0	0	$36,\!868$
1963	$42,\!540$	1,800	6	0	0	44,346
1964	30,989	$1,\!143$	231	0	28	32,391
1965	$29,\!848$	1,254	201	0	30	31,333
1966	$31,\!984$	$1,\!108$	9	0	86	$33,\!187$
1967	$33,\!632$	2,803	61	0	253	36,749
1968	27,757	2,272	193	0	204	30,426
1969	$32,\!571$	$3,\!350$	50	0	62	36,033
1970	$34,\!965$	$3,\!178$	578	0	2,968	$41,\!689$
1971	$38,\!359$	1,862	680	0	$3,\!243$	$44,\!144$
1972	$51,\!040$	1,762	659	0	$3,\!690$	$57,\!151$
1973	42,412	1,258	734	0	$4,\!449$	48,853
1974	$45,\!653$	1,039	1,078	0	4,987	52,757
1975	$61,\!488$	$1,\!334$	1,235	0	5,212	69,269
1976	$73,\!325$	$3,\!423$	$1,\!640$	0	$4,\!354$	82,742
1977	72,083	3,325	2,026	0	$5,\!954$	$83,\!388$
1978	$56,\!364$	$3,\!337$	$2,\!194$	0	4,331	66,226
1979	$63,\!837$	$2,\!540$	2,225	0	4,966	$73,\!568$
1980	$62,\!537$	2,916	2,762	0	4,086	72,301
1981	$46,\!590$	3,382	9,752	0	$4,\!624$	$64,\!348$
1982	$48,\!578$	4,993	$15,\!436$	0	4,142	$73,\!149$
1983	46,311	5,077	$23,\!378$	0	4,704	$79,\!470$
1984	52,976	4,557	$24,\!057$	0	$5,\!047$	$86,\!637$
1985	$58,\!629$	5,529	17,262	0	$6,\!175$	$87,\!595$
1986	$56,\!989$	4,133	$25,\!598$	0	$6,\!346$	93,066
1987	$68,\!832$	$4,\!602$	32,001	0	$5,\!552$	110,987
1988	$68,\!288$	$5,\!890$	26,024	0	$6,\!803$	$107,\!005$
1989	64,916	6,131	$28,\!907$	0	$7,\!447$	$107,\!401$
1990	77,009	$5,\!985$	36,046	0	$8,\!122$	127,162
1991	$61,\!033$	$3,\!929$	40,965	0	9,347	$115,\!274$
1992	$75,\!966$	$4,\!055$	$52,\!308$	0	6,201	$138,\!530$
1993	66,566	4,505	39,410	0	$5,\!670$	$116,\!151$
1994	$79,\!175$	$5,\!251$	$37,\!670$	0	$7,\!823$	129,919
1995	$68,\!125$	6,228	28,317	145	8,265	$111,\!080$
1996	$58,\!054$	$7,\!940$	$29,\!650$	432	9,924	106,000
1997	$68,\!597$	6,563	55,465	412	7,518	$138,\!555$
1998	85,048	$6,\!405$	$51,\!309$	507	9,043	152,312
1999	$74,\!959$	$5,\!856$	$62,\!870$	316	8,747	152,748
2000	76,924	$6,\!838$	$53,\!946$	397	10,003	$148,\!108$
2001	$78,\!690$	$5,\!905$	45,131	408	9,032	139,166
2002	92,381	6,109	49,403	713	9,273	$157,\!879$
2003	83,016	$5,\!296$	47,011	142	$11,\!240$	146,705
2004	99,709	9,238	59,968	232	12,560	181,707
2005	$78,\!892$	6,851	$60,\!176$	220	5,523	$151,\!662$
2006	$83,\!592$	9,781	57,288	157	6,264	157,082
2007	$81,\!113$	7,296	$58,\!532$	187	6,915	$154,\!043$
2008	83,428	9,204	$66,\!487$	212	6,214	$165,\!545$
2009	80,507	$7,\!916$	$64,\!617$	175	$5,\!216$	$158,\!431$
2010	72,721	7,027	$57,\!496$	275	4,049	$141,\!568$
2011	$77,\!567$	$5,\!655$	$73,\!850$	251	$5,\!600$	$162,\!923$
2012	$83,\!971$	$3,\!934$	64,206	273	$12,\!819$	$165,\!203$
2013	$65,\!637$	5,009	70,963	271	12,002	153,882

Year Longline Pole-and-line Purse seine Troll Other Total 201475,434 4,71469,074 31215,011 164,545 73,397 2015 $5,\!687$ $51,\!257$ 20414,769 $145,\!314$ 63,077 3,933 62,565201 $21,\!387$ 151,16320162017 $2,\!215$ $58,\!265$ $11,\!107$ $129,\!897$ $58,\!126$ 184201868,911 $4,\!143$ 64,757135 $11,\!235$ 149,181 2019 72,391 1,496 46,740 14314,672 135,442

Table 6: (continued)

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	18,750	0	0	0	0	18,750
1961	19,979	0	0	0	0	$19,\!979$
1962	24,492	0	0	0	0	$24,\!492$
1963	$16,\!827$	0	0	0	0	$16,\!827$
1964	$13,\!058$	0	0	0	0	$13,\!058$
1965	18,057	0	0	0	0	$18,\!057$
1966	31,786	0	0	0	0	31,786
1967	$35,\!292$	0	0	5	0	$35,\!297$
1968	$27,\!332$	0	0	14	0	$27,\!346$
1969	24,024	0	0	0	0	$24,\!024$
1970	$33,\!285$	100	0	50	0	$33,\!435$
1971	$34,\!116$	100	0	0	0	$34,\!216$
1972	$33,\!079$	100	0	268	0	$33,\!447$
1973	44,734	100	0	484	0	$45,\!318$
1974	26,279	100	0	898	0	$27,\!277$
1975	18,498	100	0	646	0	$19,\!244$
1976	28,024	100	0	25	0	$28,\!149$
1977	32,979	100	0	621	0	33,700
1978	29,944	100	0	$1,\!686$	0	31,730
1979	24,180	100	0	814	0	$25,\!094$
1980	29,072	100	0	$1,\!468$	0	$30,\!640$
1981	30,265	0	0	$2,\!085$	5	$32,\!355$
1982	$27,\!499$	0	0	$2,\!434$	6	$29,\!939$
1983	$23,\!559$	0	0	744	7	$24,\!310$
1984	$18,\!541$	0	0	2,773	8	$21,\!322$
1985	$23,\!413$	0	0	$3,\!253$	9	$26,\!675$
1986	28,765	0	0	2,003	10	30,778
1987	19,750	0	0	$2,\!134$	11	$21,\!895$
1988	$27,\!617$	0	0	4,061	12	$31,\!690$
1989	$17,\!887$	0	0	8,135	13	26,035
1990	$17,\!671$	245	0	6,740	112	24,768
1991	20,303	14	0	$7,\!570$	95	$27,\!982$
1992	28,069	11	0	$6,\!343$	65	$34,\!488$
1993	$27,\!229$	62	0	4,061	70	31,422
1994	$31,\!673$	65	0	6,929	89	38,756
1995	26,036	139	0	$7,\!481$	104	33,760
1996	24,301	30	0	$7,\!274$	156	31,761
1997	31,449	9	0	4,530	133	36,121
1998	41,732	9	0	$6,\!113$	85	47,939
1999	28,788	38	0	$3,\!194$	74	32,094
2000	34,440	80	0	$6,\!104$	139	40,763
2001	54,018	19	0	$5,\!047$	199	$59,\!283$
2002	$63,\!598$	7	0	4,517	150	68,272
2003	52,098	5	0	5,984	130	58,217
2004	49,960	6	0	4,551	123	$54,\!640$
2005	$53,\!917$	12	0	3,520	137	$57,\!586$
2006	55,923	23	0	2,751	188	58,885
2007	52,847	17	0	2,061	60	54,985
2008	54,200	12	0	3,503	160	$57,\!875$
2009	72,813	21	0	2,031	211	75,076
2010	$75,\!135$	14	0	2,139	190	77,478
2011	55,075	21	0	3,258	233	58,587
2012	71,264	26	0	2,962	248	74,500
2013	$70,\!592$	26	0	$3,\!226$	248	$74,\!092$

Table 7: Albacore tuna catch (metric tonnes) by gear type for the western and central Pacific region, south of the equator, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
2014	60,531	26	0	2,403	248	63,208
2015	60,142	24	0	$2,\!602$	263	$63,\!031$
2016	$56,\!119$	33	10	2,135	333	$58,\!630$
2017	$74,\!583$	12	10	2,764	199	$77,\!568$
2018	$64,\!612$	16	17	2,715	380	67,740
2019	66,253	43	2	$3,\!426$	263	69,987

Table 7: (continued)

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
1960	12,637	$25,\!156$	0	0	76	37,869
1961	$12,\!668$	$18,\!639$	7	0	268	31,582
1962	12,866	8,729	53	0	191	21,839
1963	10,151	26,420	59	0	218	36,848
1964	13,182	23,858	128	0	319	$37,\!487$
1965	10,546	41,491	11	0	121	52,169
1966	19.802	22.830	111	0	585	43,328
1967	22.916	30.481	89	0	520	54,006
1968	18.895	16.597	267	0	1.109	36.868
1969	14.454	32.148	521	0	959	48.082
1970	15.696	24.385	317	0	517	40.915
1971	11.909	53,351	902	0	359	66.521
1972	14.695	60.591	277	Ő	645	76.208
1973	15.101	68.808	1.353	Ő	569	85.831
1974	13.020	73.576	161	Ő	1.128	87.885
1975	12 682	52 157	159	Ő	409	65407
1976	16 998	85,336	1 109	0	1 355	104798
1977	15,810	31 934	669	Ő	1,000 1.058	49 471
1978	12 316	59 877	1 115	0	6,000	79.431
1070	12,010 19.115	44 662	1,110	0	4 011	60 013
1080	12,110 13.971	44,002	320	0	4,011	64 516
1081	15,271 17,007	40,740 97 496	02 <i>0</i> 959	0	11.055	55,740
1082	16,277	21,420	202 561	0	13,000	50 557
1982	10,077	29,013 21.008	350	0	13,004 7.005	11 678
1985	10,220 10,727	21,090	3 380	0	11.087	52 210
1984	12,737	20,013 20,714	0,000 1,522	0	12 520	50,219
1965	14,099 12.027	20,714	1,000	0	10,009	30,383 40.070
1980	12,937	10,090	1,042	0	7 806	40,979
1987	13,049 14.077	19,091	1,200	0 025	14 599	41,701
1900	14,077	0,210	1,200	200 005	14,022 02.052	30,238 47 408
1969	12,200	0,029	2,021	200 005	20,000	47,498
1990	14,499	8,952 7,102	1,995	200 005	15,845	39,104
1991	10,100 17,490	1,105	2,032	200 005	0,194 4 955	30,340 20.064
1992	17,482	13,888	4,104	230 225	4,200	39,904 46.074
1993	28,954	12,809	2,889	230 225	1,187	40,074
1994	27,950	20,391	2,020	233	1,097	57,705
1995	34,332	20,981	1,177	1,091	389	57,990
1990	37,289	20,272	1 000	901	280	59,379 76,770
1997	41,194	32,250	1,068	1,734	533 959	10,119
1998	38,310	22,953	1,554	1,357	352	04,520
1999	40,046	50,469	6,872	1,144	441	98,972
2000	35,643	21,572	2,408	996	290	60,909
2001	31,004	29,448	974	622	230	62,278
2002	26,556	48,465	3,303	931	526	79,781
2003	26,986	36,121	627	927	361	65,022
2004	27,063	32,359	7,200	838	299	67,759
2005	29,383	16,150	850	743	654	47,780
2006	29,593	15,406	364	596	412	46,371
2007	$27,\!480$	37,768	$5,\!682$	549	394	71,873
2008	25,044	19,060	825	550	$1,\!675$	47,154
2009	26,462	31,172	2,076	413	423	60,546
2010	27,564	19,561	330	590	258	48,303
2011	30,213	25,713	480	449	326	57,181
2012	$30,\!148$	33,757	$4,\!193$	613	581	$69,\!292$
2013	28.005	33,576	1.988	304	432	64.305

Table 8: Albacore tuna catch (metric tonnes) by gear type for the western and central Pacific region, north of the equator, 1960 to 2019. Note: Data for 2019 are preliminary.

Year	Longline	Pole-and-line	Purse seine	Troll	Other	Total
2014	26,464	29,433	2,009	200	406	58,512
2015	31,320	21,294	1,072	241	512	54,439
2016	24,018	$14,\!442$	$3,\!679$	149	324	42,612
2017	24,818	20,893	1,251	162	465	47,589
2018	21,838	17,914	3,001	78	341	43,172
2019	27,247	17,914	3,098	79	775	49,113

Table 8: (continued)

Table 9: BRPs and stock status from the latest stock assessments (assessment year shown in parentheses) for South Pacific albacore, bigeye, skipjack, and yellowfin tunas. All biomasses are in metric tonnes. SB_{recent} is the average spawning biomass over the last 4 years of the assessment; $SB_{F=0}$ is the average spawning potential (over the recent 10-year period) predicted to occur in the absence of fishing; MSY is the maximum sustainable yield based on recent patterns of fishing; F_{recent}/F_{MSY} is the ratio of recent (using a window one year earlier than for SB) fishing mortality to that which will support the MSY; $SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0}$ Spawning potential in the recent time period relative to that predicted to occur in the absence of fishing.

BRP	Albacore (2018)	Bigeye (2020)	Skipjack (2019)	Yellowfin (2020)
SB_{recent}	240,569	590,311	2,576,701	$1,\!994,\!655$
$SB_{F=0}$	$462,\!633$	$1,\!353,\!367$	$6,\!299,\!363$	$3,\!603,\!980$
MSY	98,080	140,720	$2,\!294,\!024$	1,091,200
F_{recent}/F_{MSY}	0.2	0.72	0.45	0.36
$SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0}$	0.52	0.41	0.44	0.58

Table 10: Total of bigeye, skipjack, and yellowfin tuna tagged during the three major tropical tuna tagging projects in the western and central Pacific region. Note: Separate EEZ results are provided for any region with more than 10,000 releases in any single programme; SSAP = Skipjack Survey and Assessment Programme (1977–1981); RTTP = Regional Tuna Tagging Programme (1989–1992); PTTP = Pacific Tuna Tagging Programme (2006–2019).

	PTTP		R	RTTP		SSAP		
EEZ	Releases	Recoveries	Releases	Recoveries	Releases	Recoveries		
FJ		9	5,197	528	28,980	2,659		
\mathbf{FM}	32,744	2,879	11,711	1,779	8,791	330		
ID	40,416	$6,\!627$	13,740	2,653		37		
IW	$19,\!648$	4,245						
KI	40,642	5,043	14,754	851	5,212	449		
NZ	2,863	9		2	15,020	1,000		
\mathbf{PG}		1	44,502	$3,\!677$	9,079	1,077		
\mathbf{PF}	218,465	31,089		1	29,693	128		
\mathbf{PW}	14,367	276	7,495	142	8,663	114		
SB	$78,\!235$	13,960	15,226	2,372	7,870	597		
Other	$5,\!109$	$17,\!453$	39,042	6,925	48,976	1,077		
TOTAL	$452,\!489$	81,591	$151,\!667$	$18,\!930$	162,284	7,468		

Figures



Figure 1: The WCPO, the eastern Pacific Ocean and the WCPFC-CA boundary. Note: WCPFC-CA is outlined in dark blue. Pacific nation EEZs are outlined in grey and archipelagic waters are shaded turquoise.



Figure 2: Catch (metric tonnes) by gear (top) and species (bottom) for the western and central Pacific region, 1960–2019. Note: data for 2019 are preliminary.



Purse seine catch and effort plots

Figure 3: Time series of catch (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution of catch (middle), and indices of fishing effort, in fleet sizes and number of sets and days (bottom), for the purse seine fishery in the WCPO.



Longline catch and effort plots

Figure 4: Time series of catch (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution of catch (middle), and indices of fishing effort, in fleet sizes and number of hooks fished (bottom), for the longline fishery in the WCPO.



Pole-and-line catch and effort plots

Figure 5: Time series of catch (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution of catch (middle), and indices of fishing effort in fleet sizes and number of days (bottom), for the pole-and-line fishery in the WCPO. Note that vessel numbers and fishing days are not available prior to 1972.

Skipjack catch data



Figure 6: Time series (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution and assessment regions (middle), and size composition (average for last five years; bottom) of skipjack tuna catch by gear for the WCPO.



Skipjack diagnostic plots

Figure 7: Estimated spawning biomass (top left), recruitment (top right), fishing mortality (middle left) from the diagnostic case; stock status displayed using a Majuro Plot, the large blue point is the diagnostic model and the other points indicate the runs in the sensitivity grid of 54 models (middle right), estimated level of depletion across the grid (bottom left), and 30-year projected depletion based on status quo (2016–2018 catch/effort levels) fishing (bottom right).





Figure 8: Time series (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution and assessment regions (middle), and size composition (average for last five years, bottom) of yellowfin tuna catch by gear for the WCPO.



Yellowfin diagnostic plots

Figure 9: Estimated spawning biomass (top left), recruitment (top right), fishing mortality (middle left) from the diagnostic case; stock status displayed using a Majuro Plot, the large blue point is the diagnostic model and the other points indicate the runs in the sensitivity grid of 72 models (middle right), estimated level of depletion across the grid (bottom left), and 30-year projected depletion based on status quo (2016–2018 catch/effort levels) fishing (bottom right).





Figure 10: Time series (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution and assessment regions (middle), and size composition (average for last five years; bottom) of bigeye tuna catch by gear for the WCPO.

Bigeye diagnostic plots



Figure 11: Estimated spawning biomass (top left), recruitment (top right), fishing mortality (middle left) from the diagnostic case; stock status displayed using a Majuro Plot, the large blue point is the diagnostic model and the other points indicate the runs in the sensitivity grid of 24 models (middle right), estimated level of depletion across the grid (bottom left), and 30-year projected depletion, under the "recent recruitment" (2007–2016) assumption, based on status quo (2016–2018 catch/effort levels) fishing (bottom right).





Figure 12: Time series (top), recent (2015–2019) spatial distribution and assessment regions (middle), and size composition (average for last five years, bottom) of South Pacific albacore tuna catch by gear for the WCPO south of the Equator.



Albacore diagnostic plots

Figure 13: Estimated spawning biomass (top left), recruitment (top right), fishing mortality (middle left) from the diagnostic case; stock status displayed using a Majuro Plot, the large blue point is the diagnostic model and the other points indicate the runs in the sensitivity grid of 72 models (middle right), estimated level of depletion across the grid (bottom left), and 30-year projected depletion based on status quo (2019 catch levels) fishing (bottom right). The depletion target reference point is shown as a green line in the bottom plots.



Figure 14: Majuro plot stock status summary for the four WCPO target tuna stocks (top) and a comparison of stock status for the same four tuna species in the other major ocean basins (bottom). In the Majuro plot, the grid median value is shown as a large dot, the ellipses closely approximate the distribution of values from grid models. Readers are referred to the individual species plots in earlier sections for more precise information on stock status from individual models in the uncertainty grid. The stock status comparison across basins is based on spawning biomass and fishing mortality relative to their MSY values. Data are current as of October 2020 and stock status assessments were obtained directly from documents produced by the responsible tuna RFMO. Catch is average catch over the five most recent years available. The "Unknown/Uncertain" classification was used when the reliability of the reference points was stated to be uncertain or unreliable. Note that North Pacific albacore is co-managed in the Pacific by both WCPFC and the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) and is, therefore, included for both organisations with the catch levels reflecting the split between the two convention areas.





Figure 15: Tag releases (top) and recaptures (bottom) by species from the recent Pacific Tuna Tagging Programme (PTTP). Release and recovery locations have been aggregated to a $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ grid resolution for visual clarity.



Figure 16: Catch composition of the various categories of purse seine fisheries operating in the WCPO based on observer data from the last five years' data. Note: Species comprising less than 0.01% of the catch are summed in the "other" category.



Figure 17: Catch composition of the various categories of longline fisheries operating in the WCPO based on observer data from the last five years' data.



Figure 18: Kobe plot stock status summary for four species of billfishes and sharks assessed at SPC over the past decade and for which stock status has been determined. Note that this plot differs from that presented for the target tuna (the "Majuro" plot), because the WCPFC has not yet decided on LRPs for these species and therefore MSY-based reference points are used as a default.





The CFS.v2 ensemble mean (black dashed line) predicts La Niña will continue through spring 2021.



Figure 19: Illustration of difference in purse seine effort distribution between a strong El Niño (top) and strong La Niña event (middle). A medium strength La Niña event (overall negative sea surface temperature anomaly and westward extension of the "cold tongue" into the western Pacific) is forecasted to occur between the months of December 2020 and June 2021 (source: https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov, forecast date: 16 November 2020).



Figure 20: Envelope of predictions computed from simulation ensembles under IPCC RCP8.5 scenario for the WCPO. The change in total biomass is presented with the average (dotted line) and its envelope bounded by the 5% and 95% quantile values of the simulation ensembles. The percentage values represent the change in the mean biomass across runs in the 1990–2010 time window compared with 2090–2100. Modified from Senina *et al.* (2018).



Pacific Community

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm BP\ D5;\ 98848\ Noumea\ CEDEX} \\ +687\ 26.20.00 \\ {\rm ofp@spc.int} \\ {\rm www.spc.int/oceanfish} \end{array}$

