



**SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE  
FIFTEENTH REGULAR SESSION**

Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia  
12-20 August 2019

---

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE COMMISSION  
PART 1: INFORMATION ON FISHERIES, RESEARCH, AND STATISTICS**

---

**WCPFC-SC15-AR/CCM-01**

**AUSTRALIA**



**Australian Government**  
**Department of Agriculture**  
ABARES

# Annual report to the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission

## Part 1: Information on fisheries, research and statistics 2018 Australia

H. Patterson, P. Hobsbawn, J. Larcombe

Research by the Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences

July 2019



Scientific data were provided to the Commission in accordance with the decision relating to the provision of scientific data to the Commission on the 30th April 2019	YES
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

### **Ownership of intellectual property rights**

Unless otherwise noted, copyright (and any other intellectual property rights, if any) in this publication is owned by the Commonwealth of Australia (referred to as the Commonwealth).

### **Creative Commons licence**

All material in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence, save for content supplied by third parties, logos and the Commonwealth Coat of Arms.



Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence is a standard form licence agreement that allows you to copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this publication provided you attribute the work. A summary of the licence terms is available from [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/deed.en). The full licence terms are available from [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode).

### **Cataloguing data**

This publication (and any material sourced from it) should be attributed as: Patterson, H, Hobsbawn, P & Larcombe, J 2019, *Annual report for the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission Part 1: Information on fisheries, research and statistics 2018*, ABARES, Canberra, July. CC BY 3.0

### **Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences (ABARES)**

Postal address GPO Box 858 Canberra ACT 2601

Switchboard +61 2 6272 2010

Facsimile +61 2 6272 2001

Email [info.abares@agriculture.gov.au](mailto:info.abares@agriculture.gov.au)

Web [agriculture.gov.au/abares](http://agriculture.gov.au/abares)

Inquiries regarding the licence and any use of this document should be sent to: [copyright@agriculture.gov.au](mailto:copyright@agriculture.gov.au).

The Australian Government acting through the Department of Agriculture, represented by the Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, has exercised due care and skill in preparing and compiling the information and data in this publication. Notwithstanding, the Department of Agriculture, ABARES, its employees and advisers disclaim all liability, including for negligence and for any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying upon information or data in this publication to the maximum extent permitted by law.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to thank Rupert Summerson (ABARES) for creating the maps. The authors also acknowledge and appreciate the input of: David Mobsby (ABARES); Rob Campbell (CSIRO); Don Bromhead (AFMA); and Mat Kertesz, Jacob Tapp and Kerrie Robertson (Department of Agriculture).

# Contents

Summary	3
<b>1 Background</b>	<b>1</b>
Longline	1
Pole-and-line, purse seine and minor line	1
Recreational fishing	2
<b>2 Flag state reporting</b>	<b>3</b>
Domestic longlining catch and effort	3
Pole-and-line, purse seine and minor line catch and effort	9
Fishing patterns	12
Fleet operations	13
Species of special interest	14
Trends in size composition of retained catch	18
<b>3 Coastal state reporting</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4 Socio-economic factors</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>5 Dispatch of catch</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6 Onshore developments</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>7 Future prospects for the fishery</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>8 Status of data collection systems</b>	<b>27</b>
Logbook data collection and verification	27
Observer program	27
Port sampling program	27
Unloading/transshipment	28
Other	30
<b>9 Research activities</b>	<b>31</b>
Appendix A: Common and Scientific Names	34
Appendix B: Mandatory mitigation measures in the ETBF 2018	37
References	39

# Summary

Australian commercial fisheries for highly migratory species in the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) Convention Area are managed as part of the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery (ETBF) (a mainly longline fishery with a small minor line component) and Eastern Skipjack Fishery (a purse seine fishery). The majority of fishing occurs in the longline sector of the ETBF and as such, this is the primary focus of the annual report.

Total catches of WCPFC species of interest reported in logbooks for the ETBF decreased from 3914 t (including <1 t minor line) in 2017 to 3345 t in 2018 (including <1 t minor line). This is a decline from a peak of 8229 t in 2002. Longline fishing effort in the ETBF has fallen from a peak of 12.40 million hooks in 2003 to 7.90 million hooks in 2018. The decrease in fishing effort from 2003 levels is the result of the strength of the Australian dollar (affecting terms of trade), increased operating costs and the surrender of permits under the structural adjustment component of the Australian Government Securing Our Fishing Future package, as well as the introduction of a quota management system. Forty vessels reported longlining in the WCPFC Convention Area during 2018.

The ETBF is a multi-target species longline fishery, targeting albacore, bigeye tuna, yellowfin tuna, swordfish and striped marlin. The profitability and viability of the fishery is dependent on the suite of species, each of which fluctuate in availability in the Australian region through time. Longline logbook catches of albacore decreased from 785 t in 2017 to 695 t in 2018. Longline catches of bigeye tuna decreased slightly from 375 t in 2017 to 314 t in 2018. Longline catches of yellowfin tuna also decreased from 1491 t in 2017 to 1278 t in 2018. Longline catches of swordfish decreased from 976 t in 2017 to 820 t in 2018. Longline catches of striped marlin also decreased from 245 t in 2017 to 209 t in 2018 and longline catches of skipjack also decreased from 7.1 t in 2017 to 3.1 t in 2018.

There are no dedicated minor line (including trolling, rod-and-reel and handline) vessels in the ETBF; most minor line catches are reported by vessels (e.g. longline) on their way to and from fishing grounds. In 2018, there were no vessels actively targeting tuna and billfish species using minor line in the ETBF. The number of vessels reporting using minor line has steadily decreased in the ETBF from a peak of 52 vessels in 2001. This is partly due to the surrender of 49 per cent of permits under the structural adjustment component of the Australian Government Securing Our Fishing Future package, which was completed in 2006. There were no active vessels in the Eastern Skipjack Fishery (purse seine) in 2018.

The Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) observer program began deploying observers on domestic longliners in 2001, initially as part of a program to test the efficacy of seabird mitigation devices. From July 2003, observers were deployed more broadly across the fishery with the aim of collecting additional fishery data, including information on fishing gear and the size and species composition of catches. AFMA conducted a trial of electronic monitoring (i.e. e-monitoring; on-board, fixed-mount digital video cameras) in 2009–10 to evaluate the effectiveness of this technology for a range of fishery monitoring purposes and to conduct a cost-benefit analysis. E-monitoring of the fishery became compulsory from 1 July 2015 for vessels operating within the Australian Exclusive Economic Zone. As a minimum, 10 per cent of the hauls are reviewed and used to acquit information provided in logbooks. The total number of hooks observed from the e-monitoring system in 2018 was 853,858, which is 10.8 per cent of the hooks deployed.

The AFMA Commission agreed on the total allowable commercial catches (TACCs) for the ETBF. These apply to the 2019 season which commenced on 1 January 2019. The TACCs for the five main target species are: albacore (2500 t); bigeye tuna (1056 t); swordfish (1250 t); striped marlin (351 t); yellowfin tuna (2400 t).

# 1 Background

Australian commercial fisheries for highly migratory species in the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) Convention Area are managed as part of the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery (ETBF) (a mainly longline fishery with a small minor line component) and Eastern Skipjack Fishery (a purse seine fishery). Note that scientific and common names are provided in Appendix I.

## Longline

Japanese longliners began fishing off Australia's east coast in the late 1950s. Sporadic domestic longlining for yellowfin tuna commenced soon after in the early 1960s. The declaration of the Australian Fishing Zone (AFZ) in 1979 resulted in Japanese longliners being licensed to fish in Australian waters under bilateral agreements. In the early 1980s, longlining increased markedly after successful air freighting of fresh-chilled tuna to Japan. In the 1990s, effort expanded in the waters off northern Queensland, resulting in high catch rates of yellowfin and bigeye tuna.

In the mid-1990s, improved access to swordfish markets in the United States of America prompted many ETBF fishers to move to southern Queensland ports such as Mooloolaba to target swordfish. Japanese longliners were excluded from the AFZ from 1997. Longlining for swordfish has declined since early 2005 because of high fuel and bait costs, the introduction of a competitive total allowable catch (TAC) in 2006 (now an individual transferable quota system) and changes in the currency exchange rate.

The Securing our Fishing Future structural adjustment package (between 2005 and 2006) saw the likely exit of less-efficient vessels from the fishery. However, the number of longline vessels was already declining from a peak of 152 vessels in 1999; by 2007 only 58 longline vessels remained and in 2018 a total of 40 longline vessels were active.

Management through total allowable commercial catch (TACC) limits and individual transferable quotas (ITQs) commenced in 2011. Quota species are albacore, bigeye tuna, yellowfin tuna, swordfish and striped marlin. The level of latency in the ETBF, measured by the proportion of TACC not caught in the fishery, has varied across the key species since 2011. Yellowfin tuna, swordfish and bigeye tuna have had lower quota latency compared with albacore. Albacore is a relatively low unit value catch compared with other species targeted in the fishery and the fleet is likely to actively target the higher unit value species of the fishery over albacore. Consequently, since 2006 the composition of catch has progressively included proportionally less albacore and more yellowfin and bigeye tuna and swordfish.

## Pole-and-line, purse seine and minor line

The pole-and-line fishery expanded rapidly in the 1950s with the introduction of live-bait-and-pole techniques for southern bluefin tuna and sporadic catches of skipjack and yellowfin tuna. Pole-and-line fishing decreased in the late-1990s with little to no fishing by Australian fleets in the WCPFC Convention Area since then. The introduction of purse seining in the 1970s also increased catches. After peaking at 7000 t in the early 1990s, purse-seine effort and catches of skipjack have decreased dramatically, with zero to very low effort and catches in recent years, although there is industry interest in re-invigorating the fishery. Minor line effort has been decreasing in the fishery over time, with a peak number of vessels in 2001 (52). No dedicated minor line vessels operated in the fishery in 2018.

## Recreational fishing

Recreational and charter anglers have taken tuna and billfish off eastern Australia since the early 1900s. During the 1970s, recreational vessels capable of operating offshore became more readily available and angling for tuna and billfish grew in popularity. The continental shelf extends less than 8 nm offshore in some places along the southeast coast of Australia, allowing anglers to fish for tuna from shore at several locations. The Game Fishing Association of Australia (GFAA) was formed in 1938 and has a membership of several thousand anglers, most based on the east coast of Australia. Many gamefishers tag and release much of their catch, especially marlins.



## 2 Flag state reporting

### Domestic longlining catch and effort

Unless otherwise stated, all catch and effort levels in this report are derived from those reported in Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) logbooks. Forty vessels reported longlining in the WCPFC Convention Area during 2018, down from a peak of 180 in 1997 (Figure 1). Total longline effort decreased from 8.74 million hooks in 2017 to 7.90 million hooks in 2018 (Table 1). Overall, effort has declined from the peak effort of 12.40 million deployed in 2003. This decline is mainly the result of the strength of the Australian dollar, increased operating costs, the surrender of permits under the structural adjustment component of the Australian Government Securing Our Fishing Future package, the introduction of hook limits in 2009 and the introduction of individual transferrable quota management in 2011. The number of vessels in 2018 fishing for striped marlin south of 15°S was 37. Thirty-six vessels fished for albacore and 36 fished for swordfish south of 20°S; there was no effort for albacore north of the equator.




Figure 1. Historical annual vessel numbers for the Australian fleet, by gear (longline, purse seine, pole-and-line and other commercial methods [minor line including trolling, rod-and-reel and handline]) for the WCPFC Convention Area.


**Table 1. Annual catch and effort estimates (whole weight) for the Australian fleet, by gear and primary species, for the WCPFC Convention Area, 2014–18.**

Fishing method	Year	Effort <sup>a</sup>	Primary species (t)					
			Albacore	Bigeye	Skipjack	Yellowfin	Striped marlin	Swordfish
<b>All gears</b>	2014	-	561.3	430.3	2.2	1473.8	245.6	1030.7
	2015	-	733.4	686.9	3.5	1918.0	287.3	909.5
	2016	-	861.8	746.6	1.6	1507.7	206.5	923.0
	2017	-	785.1	374.8	7.4	1490.7	244.6	975.5
	2018	-	694.6	313.8	3.1	1278.2	208.9	819.9
<b>Longline <sup>b</sup></b>	2014	6928	561.3	430.3	2.1	1472.8	245.6	1030.7
	2015	8218	733.3	686.9	3.5	1918.0	287.3	909.5
	2016	7823	861.8	746.6	1.6	1507.7	206.5	923.0
	2017	8737	785.0	374.8	7.1	1490.7	244.6	975.5
	2018	7896	694.6	313.8	3.1	1278.2	208.9	819.7
<b>Purse seine</b>	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2017	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: **a** Longline—number of hooks (000's); purse seine—search hours. **b** Includes small catches from other commercial methods (minor line component including trolling, rod-and-reel, handline and pole-and-line). Note: Australia is currently reviewing catch estimation methods and therefore values reported here may be amended in future reports.

Total longline catches of WCPFC species of interest in the ETBF reported in logbooks decreased from 3913 t in 2017 to 3344 t in 2018. This is down from a peak of 8229 t in 2002. Historical catches for the Australian fleet in the WCPFC Convention Area, by primary species, are shown in Figure 2. Longline catches of albacore decreased from 785 t in 2017 to 695 t in 2018 (608 t caught south of 20°S). Longline catches of bigeye tuna decreased from 375 t in 2017 to 314 t in 2018. Longline catches of yellowfin tuna decreased from 1491 t in 2017 to 1278 t in 2018. Longline catches of swordfish decreased from 976 t in 2017 to 820 t in 2018 (778 t caught south of 20°S). Longline catches of striped marlin decreased from 245 t in 2017 to 209 t in 2018 (all of which was caught south of 15°S). Longline catches of skipjack decreased from 7.1 t in 2017 to 3.1 t in 2018. Annual catch distributions of the main target species by the Australian longline fleet for 2014–18 are shown in Figure 3.

a)



b)






Figure 2. Historical annual longline catch in the WCPFC Convention Area for (a) albacore, bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna, as well as striped marlin and swordfish, and (b) purse seine catch skipjack tuna.


a)




b)



c)



d)



e)




Figure 3 (a–e). Annual distributions of target species catch by the Australian longline fleet active in the WCPFC Convention Area, for 2014–18. Catches have been aggregated to five degree blocks to address issues of confidentiality and are scaled to the pie chart provided in the legend. Fishing footprint shows the total extent of waters fished at a spatial resolution of five degree square.

Annual retained catch estimates of major non-target, associated and dependent species, including sharks, by the Australian longline fleet from 2014–18 are presented in Table 2. Estimates of releases derived from logbooks are in Table 3. From July of 2015, the logbooks of the Australian longline fleet were subject to potential verification through e-monitoring coverage. This has resulted in a rise in the levels of discards reported in logbooks since 2016 in Table 3.

Since 1 January 2013, retention of oceanic whitetip sharks by all commercial vessels has been prohibited and no retention was recorded in logbooks in 2018 (Table 2) while 806 were reported as discarded in logbooks (Table 3). Of the 37 oceanic whitetips observed caught, 22 were released alive, 13 were released in an undetermined condition and 2 were dead.

Since 1 July 2014, retention of silky sharks has been prohibited and no retention was recorded in logbooks in 2018 (Table 2) while 130 were reported as discarded (Table 3). In the 2018 calendar year, no silky sharks were observed caught in the ETBF.

Since 14 September 2014 hammerhead sharks must be reported by species under CITES listings. For the purposes of this report however, we have continued to group hammerheads into a single group for consistency in data presentation. Further restrictions on shark catches in the ETBF can be found at [http://www.afma.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/170220\\_Final-2017-ETBF-Management-Arrangements-booklet.pdf](http://www.afma.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/170220_Final-2017-ETBF-Management-Arrangements-booklet.pdf)

Retention of both blue marlin and black marlin by commercial longliners has been prohibited since 1998 and no retention was recorded in logbooks in 2018, while 1333 black marlin and 1038 blue marlin were reported as discarded (Table 3). The vast majority of the catch and effort by Australian longliners has been within the AFZ, with little effort on the adjacent high seas (Table 4).

## Pole-and-line, purse seine and minor line catch and effort

In 2018, there were no active purse-seine vessels in the Eastern Skipjack Fishery and no interactions with whale sharks were recorded (CMM 2012-06). Total minor line catches of WCPFC species of interest in the ETBF (including pole and line, trolling, rod-and-reel and handline) was <1 t in 2018. The only catch of target species was swordfish (0.18 t). The number of vessels reporting using minor line in the ETBF has steadily decreased from a peak of 52 vessels in 2001 to none in 2018 (using troll, rod-and-reel and handline). Minor line effort peaked in 2007 with 975 lines.

**Table 2. Annual retained catch estimates (tonnes) of major non-target, associated and dependent species, including sharks, by the Australian fleet, by gear (longliners and other methods combined), in the WCPFC Convention Area, for 2014–18.**

Group	Species	Longliners (t)					Other methods combined (t)				
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Scalefish	Escolar	3.5	12.3	9.2	10.1	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Lancetfish	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Mahi mahi	236.4	184.4	140.7	128.5	106.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Moonfish	13.1	10.6	11.6	5.1	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Ocean sunfish	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Oilfish	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Ray's bream	16.6	7.8	5.6	14.4	17.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rudderfish	31.3	24.9	42.7	30.9	32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sailfish	0.7	0.4	0.6	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Shortbill spearfish	8.0	7.0	5.8	4.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Wahoo	7.7	5.8	6.0	6.2	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>317.3</b>	<b>253.2</b>	<b>222.7</b>	<b>201.0</b>	<b>194.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Sharks	Blacktip shark	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
	Blue shark	2.9	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Bronze whaler	2.4	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Dusky shark	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Hammerhead	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Longfin mako	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Oceanic whitetip	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Porbeagle	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Shortfin mako	26.2	20.3	16.7	20.8	17.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Silky shark	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Thresher shark	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tiger shark	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Whale shark	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>36.1</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>22.6</b>	<b>17.8</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.2</b>
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>353.4</b>	<b>275.7</b>	<b>240.2</b>	<b>223.6</b>	<b>212.6</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.2</b>



**Table 3. Annual longline discard estimates (numbers) of major non-target, associated and dependent species, including sharks, by the Australian fleet in the WCPFC Convention Area, for 2014–18.**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>
Scalefish	Black marlin	1044	1421	1149	1170	1333
	Blue marlin	776	1442	1425	1201	1038
	Escolar	76	405	1364	1342	1192
	Lancetfish	10,160	11,420	18,136	24,452	17,287
	Mahi mahi	559	935	655	931	864
	Moonfish	9	8	18	9	7
	Ocean sunfish	658	1505	1551	2401	2834
	Oilfish	2	10	9	47	41
	Ray's bream	46	144	258	340	201
	Rudderfish	928	1026	2378	2690	1767
	Sailfish	33	60	125	79	24
	Shortbill spearfish	27	62	101	168	115
	Wahoo	43	62	63	73	55
	Subtotal		14,361	18,500	27,232	34,903
Sharks	Blacktip sharks	1	4	0	1	0
	Blue shark	5385	9167	12,538	16,859	13,816
	Bronze whaler	411	755	1491	4143	3477
	Dusky shark	496	1283	786	1847	1648
	Hammerhead	88	211	237	487	476
	Longfin mako	7	5	6	18	6
	Oceanic whitetip	604	1143	1220	1359	806
	Porbeagle	2	7	8	124	4
	Shortfin mako	305	1066	1261	1448	1309
	Silky shark	202	514	136	395	130
	Thresher shark	283	596	556	649	664
	Tiger shark	151	375	630	726	749
	Whale shark	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal		7935	15,126	18,869	28,056	23,085
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>22,260</b>	<b>33,626</b>	<b>46,101</b>	<b>62,959</b>	<b>49,843</b>

**Table 4. Catch and effort by Australian longliners, by primary species, within the AFZ and on the high seas, 2014–18. Proportions of catch and effort within the AFZ versus the high seas are provided in parentheses.**

	Year	Effort (‘000 hooks)	Primary species catch (t)					
			Albacore	Bigeye	Skipjack	Yellowfin	Striped marlin	Swordfish
<b>Within AFZ</b>	2014	6857	554.6	407.0	2.1	1461.2	243.4	1012.4
		(99.0%)	(98.8%)	(98.9%)	(100.0%)	(99.4%)	(99.1%)	(98.2%)
	2015	8197	730.1	674.8	3.4	1915.5	286.1	906.8
		(99.8%)	(99.6%)	(99.7%)	(98.9%)	(99.9%)	(99.6%)	(99.7%)
	2016	7691	855.9	742.4	1.6	1480.7	203.1	894.3
		(98.3%)	(99.3%)	(99.4%)	(100.0%)	(99.3%)	(98.3%)	(96.9%)
<b>High seas</b>	2014	71	6.8	4.5	0	8.6	2.2	18.3
		(1.0%)	(1.2%)	(1.1%)	(0.0%)	(0.6%)	(0.9%)	(1.8%)
	2015	20	3.2	1.7	0	2.4	1.2	2.6
		(0.2%)	(0.4%)	(0.3%)	(1.1%)	(0.1%)	(0.4%)	(0.3%)
	2016	132	5.9	4.3	0	10.0	3.4	28.7
		(1.7%)	(0.7%)	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.7%)	(1.7%)	(3.1%)
<b>High seas</b>	2017	73	0.6	1.3	0	5.4	3.8	14.8
		(0.8%)	(0.1%)	(0.4%)	(0.0%)	(0.4%)	(1.5%)	(1.5%)
	2018	113	2.0	2.6	0	4.3	6.8	15.1
		(1.4%)	(0.3%)	(0.8%)	(0.0%)	(0.3%)	(3.3%)	(1.8%)

## Fishing patterns

Fishing patterns vary with target species, location and season. The management area of the ETBF extends from Cape York, at the northern tip of Queensland, to the border between Victoria and South Australia, including waters around Tasmania (Figure 4). In the WCPFC Convention Area, skipjack tuna are fished from southern New South Wales to north-eastern Tasmania.




Figure 4. Longline effort distribution in the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery (2018). Fishing footprint shows the total extent of waters fished at a spatial resolution of one degree square.

## Fleet operations

Domestic longlining vessels are mostly 15–25 m long and use monofilament gear (Table 5). Vessels usually conduct one longline operation per day, or night, depending on the target species. A typical longline set will comprise about 1400+ hooks. Fishers commonly operate around 150 days per year. Most trips are between 2 and 15 days and typically range from 40–300 nm from port with effort mostly concentrated on the edge of the continental shelf (seaward of 200 m depth) but extending much further eastward off Mooloolaba (Figure 4). The catch is gilled and gutted (depending on species) and stored on ice, in ice slurry or in refrigerated brine.

Historically, most purse-seiners were 20–25 m long, but several were 40–45 m. Most poling vessels were 15–20 m long. Purse seine and pole-and-line fishers often use satellite thermal imagery and spotters in aircraft to locate schools of fish. Most minor line catches are reported by vessels (e.g. longline) on their way to and from fishing grounds.

**Table 5. Number of Australian vessels, by gear and size category, active in the WCPFC Convention Area, targeting WCPFC species, for 2014 to 2018. Gross registered tonnes (GRT) is the unit for vessel size.**

Year	Longline				Purse seine	Pole-and-line	Troll	Total
	≤50	51-200	201-500	Subtotal	≤500	0-50	Unknown	
	Vessel size (GRT)							
2014	18	21	0	40	0	1	0	41
2015	16	23	0	39	0	0	0	39
2016	14	23	0	37	0	0	0	37
2017	16	23	0	39	0	0	2	41
2018	16	23	1	40	0	0	0	40

## Species of special interest

Australia implements a mandatory reporting scheme for fisheries interactions with protected species, which includes species of special interest. Interactions with these species are recorded by fishers in their logbooks and are reported to AFMA. These interactions are then forwarded to the Department of the Environment and Energy on a quarterly basis. A summary of these interactions, from logbooks, is presented in Table 6. Life status of the animal involved in the interaction is also recorded. In 2018, interactions were recorded with 36 green turtles (27 alive and 9 dead), 68 leatherback turtles (63 alive, 4 dead and 1 in unknown condition), 18 loggerhead turtles (14 alive, 3 dead and 1 in unknown condition), 5 hawksbill turtles (4 alive and 1 dead), 7 Olive Ridley turtles (all alive), 22 unidentified turtles (16 alive and 6 dead), 4 black-browed albatrosses (1 alive and 3 dead), 6 wandering albatross (2 alive and 4 dead), 56 unidentified albatrosses (15 alive and 41 dead), 17 unidentified shearwaters (3 alive and 14 dead), 1 sooty shearwater (dead), 1 short-tailed shearwater (dead), 4 Australian gannet (all dead), 3 unidentified birds (all dead), 7 short-finned pilot whales (5 alive and 2 dead), 1 long-finned pilot whale (alive), 1 humpback whale (alive), 5 unidentified seals (all alive) and 4 unidentified dolphins (3 alive and 1 dead). From July of 2015, the logbooks of the Australian longline fleet were subject to potential verification through electronic monitoring. This has resulted in an increase in the reporting level of interactions with species of special interest since 2016 (Table 6).

Observed captures are reported in Table 7. In 2018, there were 25 observed captures of sea turtles (17 alive, 4 dead and 4 of unknown life status); 2 captures of whales (both alive); 1 capture of a dolphin (alive); and 14 captures of seabirds (6 alive, 7 dead and 1 of unknown life status).

## Sea turtles

The Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery requires the use of large circle hooks in Australia's shallow-set pelagic longline fisheries targeting swordfish, consistent with CMM2008-03. Mitigation requirements in the ETBF for sea turtles are reported in Appendix II.

## Seabirds and marine mammals

Australia has extensive mitigation measure requirements for seabirds in the ETBF which are shown in Appendix II. More specific seabird interaction information, including the observed capture rate and captures by species and area for 2018, is presented in Table 8 and Table 9. Table 10 provides the proportion of mitigation measures used.

**Table 6. Interactions with species of special interest recorded in logbooks for the Australian longline fleet in the WCPFC Convention Area, 2014–18. Interactions not identified to species level are noted as unspecified (unspec).**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Common name</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>
Seabirds	Black-browed albatross	1	8	5	3	4
	Shy albatross	1	0	0	0	0
	Wandering albatross	0	0	1	1	6
	Albatrosses (unspec)	0	6	20	33	56
	Sooty shearwater	0	0	0	1	1
	Short-tailed shearwater	0	0	0	0	1
	Flesh-footed shearwater	0	1	2	2	0
	Shearwaters (unspec)	0	0	0	6	17
	Australian gannet	0	0	1	0	4
	Cormorants	0	0	0	1	0
	Birds (unspec)	0	2	1	0	3
	Subtotal		2	17	30	47
Turtles	Green turtle	7	6	39	96	36
	Hawksbill turtle	0	2	2	2	5
	Leatherback turtle	4	13	32	52	68
	Loggerhead turtle	2	3	9	26	18
	Flatback turtle	0	0	1	0	0
	Pacific (Olive) Ridely turtle	0	0	0	5	7
	Turtles (unspec)	1	6	17	18	22
Subtotal		14	30	100	199	156
Mammals	Melon-headed whale	0	1	0	4	0
	Baleen whales	0	1	0	0	0
	Toothed whales	0	0	2	0	0
	Short-finned pilot whale	0	3	5	6	7
	Long-finned pilot whale	0	0	1	4	1
	False killer whale	0	0	0	1	0
	Humpback whale	0	0	0	0	1
	Whales (unspec)	0	2	1	6	0
	Common dolphin	0	4	0	0	0
	Bottlenose dolphin	0	0	0	1	0
	Dolphin (unspec)	0	0	3	9	4
	Australian fur seal	0	0	1	0	0
	Seals (unspec)	0	0	0	2	5
	Dugong	0	0	0	1	0
Subtotal		0	11	13	34	18
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>16</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>266</b>

**Table 7. Observed captures of species of special interest for the Australian longline fleet in the WCPFC Convention Area, 2014–18. Interactions not identified to species level are noted as unspecified (unspec).**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Common name</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>
Seabirds	Black-browed albatross	2	0	1	0	0
	Shy albatross	0	4	0	0	0
	Albatrosses (other)	0	0	1	2	9
	Cape petrel	0	0	0	0	4
	Great crested tern	0	0	0	0	0
	Flesh-footed shearwater	0	0	1	0	0
	Wilson’s storm petrel	0	1	0	0	0
	Petrels Prions and Shearwaters	0	0	0	0	0
	Birds (unspec)	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>
Turtles	Green turtle	1	2	0	2	0
	Hawksbill turtle	0	0	0	0	0
	Leatherback turtle	1	3	2	8	11
	Loggerhead turtle	2	0	0	0	0
	Pacific (Olive) Ridley	0	1	0	0	0
	Turtles (unspec)	0	1	0	6	14
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25</b>	
Mammals	Dolphin (unspec)	0	0	0	1	1
	Long-finned pilot whale	0	0	0	0	0
	Short-finned pilot whale	1	0	0	0	0
	Whales (unspec)	0	0	0	4	2
	Australian fur seal	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>42</b>	

CMM 2011-03 regarding the intentional setting of purse-seine gear on cetaceans entered into force on 1 January 2013. Such setting practices are prohibited in Australian purse-seine fisheries since the introduction of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. There were no reported interactions with cetaceans in purse-seine fisheries in 2018.

Table 8a and 8b. Effort and observed seabird captures by fishing year for the ETBF south of 30°S (a) and for 23°N – 30°S (b) . No seabird captures have been observed north of 23°N as there was no effort. For each year, the table gives number of longline vessels, total number of hooks (000's), number of observed hooks (000's), observer coverage (percentage of hooks that were observed), number of observed captures and the capture rate (captures per thousand hooks). Mitigation methods provided in Appendix II.

a) South of 30°S

Year	Fishing effort (000's hooks)				Observed seabird captures	
	Number of vessels	Number of hooks	Observed hooks	% hooks observed	Capture number	Capture rate
2014	26	1567	49	3.1	2	0.041
2015	32	1807	87	4.8	4	0.046
2016	31	2471	249	10.1	2	0.008
2017	32	2183	204	9.4	2	0.010
2018	37	3084	351	11.4	8	0.023

b) 23°N – 30°S

Year	Fishing effort (000's hooks)				Observed seabird captures	
	Number of vessels	Number of hooks	Observed hooks	% hooks observed	Capture number	Capture rate
2014	34	5357	146	2.7	0	0.0
2015	31	6413	396	6.2	1	0.003
2016	30	5351	432	8.1	1	0.002
2017	35	6557	685	10.4	0	0.0
2018	31	4814	503	10.4	6	0.012

Table 9. Number of observed seabird captures in the ETBF, 2018, by species and area.

Species	South of 30°S	North of 23°N	23°N–30°S	Total Captures
Albatrosses (other)	7	0	2	9
Petrels Prions and Shearwaters	0	0	4	4
Birds (unspec)	1	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14</b>

**Table 10. Proportion of mitigation types used by the fleet 2014 to 2018.**

Combination of mitigation measures	Proportion of observed effort using mitigation measures				
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
TL + WB	0.88	0.78	0.88	0.90	0.85
TL + WB + NS	0.12	0.22	0.12	0.10	0.15
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>


Note: Proportions were derived from the AFMA observer database and, from July 2015 onwards, from electronic monitoring data. TL = tori line; NS = Night setting; WB = weighted branch lines

## Trends in size composition of retained catch


The size composition (based on processed weights) of yellowfin tuna shows both seasonal and inter-annual variation with the 8-quarter running mean remaining between 31–36 kg during the decade from 1997 to 2006 before decreasing to be below 30 kg between 2007 and 2009. This mean then increased to around 36 kg during the first quarter of 2012 and remained near this value until increasing to around 39–40kg by the end of 2016 and throughout 2017 and 2018. The 8-quarter running mean processed weight of bigeye tuna decreased from around 39 kg in the late 1990s to around 28 kg in 2004 then increased to 32 kg in late 2006 before decreasing again to 27 kg by late 2007. It increased again to around 34 kg by 2010 and remained stable around this weight until 2013, after which it decreased to around 29 kg during 2015 then increased again to around 33 kg by the end of 2016 and to around 38kg by the end of 2017 and throughout 2018. The running mean processed weight of swordfish shows a steady decline from around 53 kg in the late 1990s to around 40 kg in late 2007, but since then has shown an increasing trend reaching around 46 kg by the start of 2015 and 53kg by the end of 2016 and throughout 2017 and 2018. The running mean processed weight of striped marlin increased from around 62 kg during the first quarter of 2000 and remained within the range 63-66kg between 2001 and 2009. It increased to 68 kg during the last quarter of 2010 and since then has steadily decreased to around 62 kg by the end of 2016 and throughout 2017 before increasing slightly to 63kg by the end of 2018. The 8-quarter running mean whole weight of albacore has varied between 14–17 kg between 1999 and 2014 with a low of around 14 kg in 2004 and a high of around 17 kg in 2006. A high mean weight of around 17 kg was also observed in 2015, increasing to around 18kg throughout 2016, 2017 and 2018.




a)




b)



c)



d)



e)




Figure 5 (a–e). Time series of quarterly mean, 8 month running mean, lower 5th and upper 95th percentiles processed weights of a) albacore, b) bigeye tuna, c) yellowfin tuna, d) striped marlin and e) swordfish sampled across the entire ETBF based on the data collected from the port sampling program.

### 3 Coastal state reporting

There are currently no foreign fishing vessels licensed to operate in the AFZ. Japanese longliners were licensed to operate in the eastern AFZ from the late 1950s until November 1997.

## 4 Socio-economic factors

Total catch volume in the ETBF was 13 per cent higher in 2017–18 compared with 2016–17. This was largely the result of a 60 per cent increase in catch volume yellowfin tuna following a below average yellowfin tuna catch volume in 2016–17. Partially offsetting the increase in yellowfin tuna catch in 2017–18 was a significant decline in bigeye tuna catch which was the lowest volume since 1995–96.

Gross value of production in the ETBF increased by 8 per cent in 2017–18 to \$38.4 million. The increase in production value was the result of an increase in the value of yellowfin tuna catch more than offsetting significant declines in the value of albacore and bigeye tuna catch value. In 2017–18 yellowfin tuna remained the most valuable species caught in the ETBF at a production value of \$18.8 million followed by broadbill swordfish (\$9.2 million). The value of bigeye tuna declined by 41 per cent to \$4.3 million reflecting the significant fall in catch volume.

## 5 Dispatch of catch

The value of Australian exports of albacore, bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna (the three key species of tuna caught in the WCPFC) declined by 5 per cent in 2017–18 to \$15.5 million. This was largely the result of a halving of bigeye tuna export value. Bigeye tuna is typically more export oriented than yellowfin tuna and the significant reduction in 2017–18 catch likely resulted in export volume of bigeye tuna falling by 48 per cent. In contrast, the export value of yellowfin tuna increased by 58 per cent to \$9.2 million reflecting higher catch. Contributing to lower export earnings was a decline in unit export values for albacore, bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna. The major export markets for these tuna products were the United States (accounting for 62 per cent of export value) and Japan (25 per cent).

Swordfish is typically the second most valuable species group landed in the ETBF after yellowfin tuna. The volume of swordfish exports remained largely unchanged at 498 tonnes, however the average unit export price declined by 10 per cent resulting in total export value falling by 10 per cent to \$6.8 million. The United States was the main market for Australian swordfish exports in 2017–18 accounting for 88 per cent of export value up from 84 per cent in 2016–17.

## 6 Onshore developments

Nil

# 7 Future prospects for the fishery

Commercial operators view the Australian skipjack fisheries as an important development opportunity because significant catching capacity exists in Port Lincoln, South Australia. Currently, catches are low as a result of variability in the availability of skipjack tuna in the AFZ, variable participation levels, low profit margins and the closure of the Port Lincoln cannery; however, there is room for development in this fishery.

The AFMA Commission agreed on the total allowable commercial catches (TACCs) for the ETBF. These apply to the 2019 season which commenced on 1 January 2019. The TACCs for the five main target species are: albacore (2500 t); bigeye tuna (1056 t); swordfish (1250 t); striped marlin (351 t); yellowfin tuna (2400 t).



# 8 Status of data collection systems

## Logbook data collection and verification

AFMA introduced a logbook for domestic longliners in 1986. The logbook has been revised on several occasions. The latest (AL06—Australian Pelagic Longline Daily Fishing Log) was introduced in 2007; vessels began submitting AL06 logbooks in November 2007. Return of logbooks by Australian longliners improved when, in 1995, it became a condition of fishing permits and has been close to 100 per cent in recent years. Logbooks have also been introduced for the skipjack tuna purse-seine fisheries; PS01—Australian Purse Seine Daily Fishing Log was distributed in July 2002 with the first skipjack tuna catch recorded in this logbook in December 2003. Weights from catch disposal records are verified; weights recorded on logbooks are an estimate only. From 1 July 2015 logbooks have been verified through e-monitoring. The fishery is moving from predominantly paper logbook reporting to electronic logbook reporting. The Australian tuna longline fishery will be moving to electronic-based logbooks by the end of 2019.

## Observer program

AFMA observers were deployed on domestic longliners from 2001 to 2015. From July 2003 to 2015, observers were deployed more broadly across the fishery with more general duties, such as the collection of data on fishing gear and the size and species composition of catches.

AFMA implemented a trial of e-monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of this technology for a range of fishery monitoring purposes and to conduct a cost-benefit analysis in 2009–10 (Piasente et al. 2012). E-monitoring became compulsory for all ETBF longline vessels from 1 July 2015. E-monitoring replaces human observers in the ETBF for all in-zone observer requirements, although the government maintains the right to place human observers on board vessels if there is a need to do so. At least 10 per cent of the video footage from all hauls is reviewed to verify the accuracy of logbooks which are required to be completed for 100 per cent of shots. This review rate may be increased in some cases. In 2018, the coverage rate was 10.8 per cent (Table 11). During the reporting period, Australian vessels operated principally in Australian waters with occasional forays onto the high seas. Australia's national observer program is accredited under the WCPFC ROP.

**Table 11. Summary of longline observer coverage (by hooks) for 2018.**

CCM Fleet	Fishery	No. of Hooks			Days fished			Days at sea			No. of trips			See notes
		Total est.	Obs.	%	Total est.	Obs.	%	Total est.	Obs.	%	Total est.	Obs.	%	
Australia	Domestic	7.90 million	853,858	10.8										Nil

## Port sampling program

The collection of individual processed fish weights from processors receiving longline caught fish from the ETBF commenced in mid-1997. The program mainly focuses on the five principal target species in the fishery (yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna, albacore, swordfish and striped marlin), though data on a range of other species have also been collected. During the period from July 1997 to December 2018 over 1.96 million individual fish weights pertaining to the five main

target species have been collected. Coverage rates (per cent of landed fish sampled) for the target species are generally high, and for the 5-year period between 2014 and 2018 have averaged around 81 percent for yellowfin tuna, 93 percent for bigeye tuna, 92 percent for swordfish and 87 percent for striped marlin, while for albacore 11 percent of landed fish have been individually sampled (Table 12). Individual fish weights for another 261,085 fish from 47 non-target species have also been collected. Bulk weights for albacore sampled in batches (covering on average 70 percent of the catch between 2014 and 2018) and some other species are also collected. Where both the total batched weight and the number of fish have been recorded, these bulk weights cover 1,091,371 fish for 31 species (including 708,699 albacore).

**Table 12. Estimated annual coverage of operational catch and effort (logbooks), observer data (per cent hooks) and port sampling (per cent coverage rate for the five main target species of individual fish weights collected from processors receiving longline caught fish in the ETBF) for the Australian fleet, active in the WCPFC Convention Area, 2014–18.**

Gear	Year	Operational catch & effort coverage	Observer coverage	Port sampling coverage					
				YFT	BET	ALB	SWO	STM	SKJ
Longline <sup>a</sup>	2014	100	2.8	80	91	15	90	80	0
	2015	100	5.8 <sup>b</sup>	83	94	17	91	85	0
	2016	100	8.7	84	95	13	95	91	0
	2017	100	10.2	88	92	8	87	90	0
	2018	100	10.8	72	91	3	96	91	0
Purse seine	2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2017	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>a</sup> includes fish taken by minor line

<sup>b</sup> as 2015 was a transition year to e-monitoring, the observer rate was derived from both human observers and e-monitoring. All coverage after 2015 will be calculated from e-monitoring.

Abbreviations: yellowfin tuna (YFT), bigeye tuna (BET), albacore (ALB), striped marlin (STM), swordfish (SWO) and skipjack (SKJ)

Sources: Robert Campbell (CSIRO) and AFMA observer database

## Unloading/transshipment

Catch disposal records are the formal method for monitoring unloads, and were implemented in the ETBF in January 2006 (Table 13). Catch disposal records are completed by both the fisher and licensed fish receiver at the point of unload to obtain accurate data on fish numbers and verified weight by species. Skippers tend to under-estimate the weights reported in logbooks for most species, so the catch disposal record data have been reported in domestic official statistics since 2007. Compliance checks are conducted on unloads as part of a risk based compliance programme. Weight estimates are also derived from the size-monitoring program, and are likely to be more accurate than logbook data for that part of the time series.

**Table 13. Annual catch estimates (converted whole weights) for the ETBF for 2014–18 derived from catch disposal records. Estimates are in tonnes.**

Year	Albacore	Yellowfin	Bigeye	Striped marlin	Swordfish	Other	Total
2014	736.9	1685.3	489.9	273.5	1183.1	862.5	5231.2
2015	949.0	2177.0	785.1	347.4	1149.9	1039.6	6448.0
2016	1101.9	1765.1	871.6	244.0	1161.8	1150.9	6295.3
2017	992.2	1714.5	449.6	287.9	1179.9	1042.2	5666.3
2018	889.1	1516.5	367.5	245.6	1026.9	1447.0	5492.6

Four transshipment activities were undertaken in 2018 all of which were in exceptional circumstances relating to breakdowns (Tables 14 and 15).

**Table 14: Transshipment quantities by weight for Australian longline vessels in 2018 within the WCPFC Convention Area.**

	Location	Transhipped within Convention Area (CA)?	Caught within Convention Area (CA)?	Product Form? *	Fishing gear	Species	Tonnes
Offloaded	Port	Within CA	Within CA	GG	longline	YFT	0.36
	Port	Within CA	Within CA	-	longline	BET	-
	Port	Within CA	Within CA	W	longline	ALB	0.01
	Port	Within CA	Within CA	-	longline	BBL	-
	Port	Within CA	Within CA	HG	longline	STM	0.17
	At Sea, In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	GG	longline	YFT	1.54
	At Sea, In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	GG	longline	BET	0.65
	At Sea, In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	W	longline	ALB	0.01
	At Sea, In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	HG	longline	BBL	0.05
	At Sea, In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	HG	longline	STM	0.07

\*Product forms: GG = Gilled and Guttaged; HG = Headed and Guttaged; W =Whole.

**Table 15: Number of transshipment for Australian longline vessels in 2018.**

		Transhipped within Convention Area (CA)?	Caught within Convention Area (CA)?	Fishing gear	Number of Transshipments
Offloaded	Port	Within CA	Within CA	Longline	1
	In Zone	Within CA	Within CA	longline	3

## Other

AFMA introduced the compulsory requirement for all Commonwealth endorsed fishing vessels to be fitted with Integrated Computer Vessel Monitoring Systems (ICVMS) in 2007. For 2018 there was a 96.5 per cent compliance rate of all Commonwealth nominated vessels that had a fully operational and functioning unit. Compliance with ICVMS requirements has increased markedly since mid-2008. AFMA uses the ICVMS to assist in planning inspections and operations, to assist the observer program in deploying scientific observers and to actively monitor compliance with closed areas.

A range of data is also collected via individual research projects (see the Research Activities section for more information).

# 9 Research activities

The Australian Government and the fishing industry allocate considerable funds to fishery research and monitoring each year. In addition to the logbook and observer programmes, key areas of research over the last twelve years and ongoing research include:

## Biological research projects

- Reproductive dynamics of swordfish in the domestic longline fishery off eastern Australia (Young & Drake 2002)
- Age and growth of bigeye tuna from the eastern and western AFZ (Farley et al. 2003)
- Age and growth of swordfish from Australian waters (Young & Drake 2004)
- Population biology and habitat preferences of striped marlin in eastern Australia (Keller & Davie 2009)
- Population biology of albacore tuna in the Australian region (Farley et al. 2012)
- Spatial dynamics of swordfish in the south Pacific Ocean (Evans et al. 2012)
- Defining regional connections in southwest Pacific swordfish (Wilcox 2012)
- Determination of swordfish growth and maturity relevant to the southwest Pacific stock (Farley et al. 2016)
- Age, growth and maturity of bigeye tuna in the western and central Pacific Ocean (CSIRO, July 2016–June 2018)
- Yellowfin tuna age and growth in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (CSIRO, January 2018–December 2019)

## Assessment-related research projects

- Dynamics of the interactions of the fishery and swordfish on seamounts off eastern Australia (Campbell & Hobday 2003)
- Migration and habitat preferences of bigeye tuna on the east coast of Australia (Gunn et al. 2005)
- Stock assessment of striped marlin in the south-western Pacific Ocean (Langley et al. 2006)
- Developing harvest strategies for the ETBF (AFMA 2007)
- Developing robust stock-status indicators (Basson & Dowling 2008)
- Updating the stock assessment of swordfish in the south Pacific Ocean (Kolody et al. 2008)
- Determining the depths fished and the effective longline effort targeted at various species in the ETBF (Campbell & Young 2010)

- Integrated evaluation of management strategies for tropical multi-species long-line fisheries (Kolody et al. 2010)
- Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery size monitoring program (Williams et al. ongoing)
- Integrated analysis and assessment supporting implementation of the management and harvest strategy framework within the ETBF (Campbell 2011)
- Predicting the impact of hook decrementation on the distribution of fishing effort in the ETBF (Wilcox et al. 2011)
- Analysis of recreational fishing catch and effort data to support the striped marlin stock assessment (Ghosn et al. 2012)
- Standardisation of commercial catch and effort data to support the stock assessment of striped marlin (Campbell 2012)
- Changes in fishing strategies in the ETBF in response to the introduction of quota management (Preece et al. 2012)
- Development of an approach to harvest strategy management of internationally managed multi-species fisheries (Hillary et al. 2016)
- Developing innovative approaches to improve CPUE standardisation for Australia's multi-species longline fisheries (Campbell et al. 2017)
- Data management, assessment and implementation of harvest strategies for Australia's tropical tuna fisheries (Campbell 2017)
- Determination of the spatial dynamics and movement rates of the principal target species within the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery and connectivity with the broader western and central Pacific Ocean - beyond tagging (CSIRO, July 2016 – June 2019)
- Where have all the yellowfin tuna gone? Investigating the disjunct between commercial and recreational catches off NSW (Pepperell Research & Consulting Pty Ltd/CSIRO, July 2017–September 2019)
- Data management, assessment and implementation of harvest strategies for Australia's tropical tuna fisheries (CSIRO, August 2017–October 2020)
- ETBF swordfish and striped marlin harvest strategy revision (CSIRO, November 2018–April 2020)

## **Ecological research projects**

- Ecological risk assessment for the effects of fishing (Webb et al. 2007)
- Rapid quantitative assessment (Zhou et al. 2007)
- Determining the ecological impacts of longline fishing in the ETBF (Young et al. 2009)
- Revised ecological risk assessment for the effects of fishing (CSIRO, ongoing)

- Investigation of oceanographic and environmental factors impacting on the ETBF (CSRIO, July 2017–May 2020)

## **Bycatch research projects**

- A review of byproduct interactions and economics in Australia's tuna and billfish fisheries (Bromhead et al. 2005)
- Marine turtle mitigation in Australia's pelagic longline fishery (Robins et al. 2007)
- The effects of bycatch mitigation measures, such as circle hooks and wire leaders, on target and non-target catches (Ward et al. 2008)
- Effect of line shooters on the sink rates of pelagic longlines and the effect on seabird interactions (Robertson et al. 2010a)
- Factors affecting the sink rates of baited hooks and the impact on seabird interactions in pelagic longline fisheries (Robertson & van den Hoff 2010; Robertson et al. 2010b; Robertson & Candy 2013)
- Branch line weighting regimes to reduce the incidental catch of seabirds in pelagic longline fisheries (Robertson et al. 2013)
- The effects of propeller turbulence on sink rates of baited hooks (Robertson & Candy 2014)
- Development of an underwater bait setting system (Robertson et al. 2015)

# Appendix A: Common and Scientific Names

Common names	Scientific names
Albacore	<i>Thunnus alalunga</i>
Albatrosses (other)	<i>Diomedeidae</i> spp.
Australian fur seal	<i>Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus</i>
Australian sea lion	<i>Neophoca cinerea</i>
Bigeye tuna	<i>Thunnus obesus</i>
Black marlin	<i>Makaira indica</i>
Black-browed albatross	<i>Thalassarche melanophrys</i>
Blacktip sharks	<i>Carcharhinus</i> spp.
Blue marlin	<i>Makaira mazara</i>
Blue shark	<i>Prionace glauca</i>
Bronze whaler shark	<i>Carcharhinus brachyurus</i>
Buller's albatross	<i>Thalassarche bulleri</i>
Cape petrel	<i>Daption capense</i>
Common dolphin	<i>Delphinus delphis</i>
Dusky shark	<i>Carcharhinus obscurus</i>
Escolar	<i>Lepidocybium flavobrunneum</i>
False killer whale	<i>Pseudorca crassidens</i>
Flatback turtle	<i>Natator depressa</i>
Flesh-footed shearwater	<i>Puffinus carneipes</i>
Great skua	<i>Catharacta skua</i>
Great-winged petrel	<i>Pterodroma macroptera</i>
Green turtle	<i>Chelonia mydas</i>
Grey-headed albatross	<i>Thalassarche chrysostoma</i>
Hammerhead shark	<i>Sphyrna</i> spp.
Hawksbill turtle	<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>
Humpback whale	<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>



---

Lancetfish	<i>Alepisaurus</i> sp.
Leatherback turtle	<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>
Loggerhead turtle	<i>Carretta carretta</i>
Mahi mahi	<i>Coryphaena hippurus</i>
Melon-headed whale	<i>Peponcephala electra</i>
Moonfish (opah)	<i>Lampris guttatus</i>
New Zealand fur seal	<i>Arctocephalus fosteri</i>
Northern bluefin tuna	<i>Thunnus orientalis</i>
Ocean sunfish	<i>Mola mola</i>
Oceanic whitetip shark	<i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>
Oilfish	<i>Ruvettus pretiosus</i>
Pacific (olive) ridley turtle	<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>
Petrels, prions and shearwaters	<i>Procellariidae</i> spp.
Ray's bream	<i>Brama brama</i>
Rudderfish	<i>Centrolophus niger</i>
Sailfish	<i>Istiophorus platypterus</i>
Scalloped hammerhead	<i>Sphyrna lewini</i>
Shortbill spearfish	<i>Tetrapturus angustirostris</i>
Shortfin mako	<i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i>
Short-finned pilot whale	<i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i>
Short-tailed shearwater	<i>Puffinus tenuirostris</i>
Shy albatross	<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>
Silky shark	<i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i>
Skipjack tuna	<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>
Smooth hammerhead	<i>Sphyrna zygaena</i>
Sooty shearwater	<i>Puffinus griseus</i>
Southern royal albatross	<i>Diomedea epomophora</i>
Striped marlin	<i>Kajikia audax</i>
Swordfish	<i>Xiphias gladius</i>

---

---

Thresher shark	<i>Alopias vulpinus</i>
Tiger shark	<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i>
Wahoo	<i>Acanthocybium solandri</i>
Wandering albatross	<i>Diomedea exulans</i>
Wedge-tailed shearwater	<i>Puffinus pacificus</i>
Westland petrel	<i>Procellaria westlandica</i>
Whale shark	<i>Rhincodon typus</i>
Yellowfin tuna	<i>Thunnus albacares</i>
Yellow-nosed albatross	<i>Thalassarche chlororhynchos</i>

---

# Appendix B: Mandatory mitigation measures in the ETBF 2018

*(Source: AFMA website:*

[https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019\\_etbf\\_management\\_arrangements\\_booklet - revision.pdf](https://www.afma.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019_etbf_management_arrangements_booklet_revision.pdf)

## **Seabirds**

### **At all times you must:**

- Carry one or more assembled tori lines on board
- Not discharge offal while setting

### **When you are fishing south of 25°S you must:**

- Deploy a tori line before commencing a shot when fishing between the hours of nautical dawn and nautical dusk
- A tori line if not required to be deployed when performing fishing operations between the hours of nautical dusk and nautical dawn
- Use only non-frozen bait
- Weight longlines with either a minimum of:
  - 60 g swivels at a distance of no more than 3.5 m from each hook ; or
  - 98 g swivels at a distance of no more than 4 m from each hook; or
  - 40 g weights immediately adjacent to the hook, or at no more than 0.5 m from the hook, with dead, non-frozen baits attached to the hooks or
  - 'hook shielding device' with a cap and weighing at least 38 g may be deployed directly at the hook as an alternative.

### **Your tori line must be:**

- At least 100 m long
- Set up from a position on the boat that allows it to stay above the water for at least 90 m from the stern
- Have streamer attached at a maximum interval of 3.5 m
  - Streamers should be maintained, ensuring that their lengths are as close to the water as possible.
- Have a drogue at the end of the line to give sufficient drag to meet the 90 m aerial coverage criteria.

If you are fishing south of 40°S AFMA may require you to implement additional seabird mitigation measures as this is an area in which higher than average numbers of seabird interactions are possible.

## **Sea turtles**

### **Circle hooks**

Large circle hooks must be used.

### **De-hooking device**

At all times you must carry on board a minimum of one de-hooking device, with the following specifications:

- The device must enable the hook to be secured and the barb shielded so that the barb does not re-engage with the fish while the hook is being removed
- The device must be blunt with all edges rounded
- Where more than one size of hook is to be carried, a de-hooking device (or devices) must be carried that can be used with all hooks on the boat; and
- The shaft of the device must be a minimum of 1.5 metres in length.

### **Line cutting device**

At all times you must carry on board a minimum of one line cutting device. The line cutting device must be constructed and used in accordance with the following specifications:

- The device must be constructed to allow the line to be cut as close to the hook as possible
- The blade of the device must be enclosed in a blunt rounded (arc-shaped) cover with the hook exposed on the inside of the arc; and
- The shaft of the device must be a minimum of 1.5 metres in length.

# References

- AFMA 2007, *Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery Harvest Strategy Framework*, Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- AFMA 2009, *Sea Turtle Mitigation Plan*. Australian Fisheries Management Authority Canberra.
- Basson, M & Dowling, NA 2008, *Development of a robust suite of stock status indicators for the Southern and Western and the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fisheries*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Hobart.
- Bromhead, D, Ackerman, J, Graham, S, Wight, M, Wise, B & Findlay, J 2005, *Byproduct: catch, economics and co-occurrence in Australia's pelagic longline fisheries*, Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.
- Campbell, RA 2011, *Integrated analysis and assessment supporting implementation of the management and harvest strategy framework within the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery - Compilation of Related Project Papers*, Final report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- Campbell, R 2012, *Aggregate and size-based standardised CPUE indicators for longline target species caught in the south-west Pacific*, WCPFC-SC8-2012/SA-IP-13, Scientific Committee, Eighth Regular Session, 7–15 August 2012, Korea.
- Campbell, R 2017, *Data management, assessment and implementation of the harvest strategies for Australia's tropical tuna fisheries - Compilation of Related Project Papers*, Final report for project R2014/0822 to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- Campbell, R & Hobday, A 2003, *Swordfish-environment-seamount-fishery interactions off eastern Australia*, Report to AFMA, Canberra.
- Campbell, R & Young, J 2010, *Determination of effective longline effort in the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Rep. No. 2005/005, Hobart.
- Campbell, RA, Zhou, S, Hoyle, SD, Hillary, R, Haddon, M & Hall, S 2017, *Developing innovative approaches to improve CPUE standardisation for Australia's multispecies pelagic longline fisheries*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Rep. No. 2014-021, Canberra.
- Evans, K, Kolody, D, Abascal, F, Holdsworth, J & Maru, P 2012, *Spatial dynamics of swordfish in the south Pacific Ocean inferred from tagging experiments*, working paper WCPFC-SC8-2012, Scientific Committee Eighth Regular Session, 7–15 August 2012, Korea.
- Farley, J, Clear, N, Leroy, B, Davis, T and McPherson, G 2003, *Age and growth of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) from the eastern and western AFZ*, CSIRO Marine Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2000/100, Hobart.
- Farley, JH, Williams, AJ, Davies, CR, Clear, NP, Eveson, JP, Hoyle, S & Nicol, SJ 2012, *Population biology of albacore tuna in the Australian region*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2009/012, Hobart.

- Farley, JH, Clear, NP, Kolody, D, Krusic-Golub, K, Eveson, P & Young, J 2016, *Determination of swordfish growth and maturity relevant to the southwest Pacific stock*, Final report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Project R-2014/ 821, Canberra.
- Ghosn, D, Collins, D, Baiada, C and Steffe, A 2012, *Catch per unit effort and size composition of striped marlin caught by recreational fisheries in southeast Australian waters*, WCPFC-SC8-2012/SA-IP-7, Scientific Committee, Eighth Regular Session, 7–15 August 2012, Korea.
- Gunn, J, Hampton, J & Evans, K 2005, *Migration and habitat preferences of bigeye tuna, Thunnus obesus, on the east coast of Australia—a project using archival and conventional tags to determine key uncertainties in the species stock structure, movement dynamics and CPUE trends*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 1999/109, Hobart.
- Hillary, R, Preece, A, Kolody, D, Evans, K, Davies, C 2016, *Development of an approach to harvest strategy management of internationally managed multi-species fisheries*, Final report for project R2013/203 to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.
- Keller, KR & Davie, P 2009, *Population biology and habitat preferences of striped marlin, Kajikia audax, in the southwest Pacific Ocean*, report for New South Wales Fisheries and the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- Kolody, D, Campbell, R & Davies, N 2008, *A MULTIFAN-CL assessment of south-west Pacific Swordfish*, working paper WCPFC-SC4-2008/SA-WP-6, Scientific Committee Fourth Regular Session 11–22 August 2008, Papua New Guinea.
- Kolody, DS, Preece, AL, Davies, CR, Hartog, JR & Dowling, NA 2010, *Integrated evaluation of management strategies for tropical multispecies long-line fisheries*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2007/017, Hobart.
- Langley, A, Molony, B, Bromhead, D, Yokawa, K & Wise, B 2006, *Stock assessment of striped marlin (Tetrapturus audax) in the south west Pacific Ocean*, working paper WCPFC-SC2-2006/SA WP-6, Scientific Committee Second Regular Session 7–18 August 2006, Philippines.
- Park, T 2007, *NSW Gamefish tournament monitoring – Angling Research Tournament Monitoring Program*, Fisheries Final Report Series, 94, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Cronulla Fisheries Research Centre, Cronulla, NSW, Australia.
- Piasente, M, Stanley, B, Timmiss, T, McElderry, H, Pria, M, & Dyas, M 2012, *Electronic onboard monitoring pilot project for the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery*, FRDC Project 2009/048, Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- Preece, A, Campbell, R & Hillary, R 2012, *Investigation of possible changes in fishing strategies under quota management and implication for the ETBF harvest strategy*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority, Canberra.
- Robertson, G & van den Hoff, J 2010, *Static water sink rate trials to improve understanding of sink rates estimated at sea*, Third meeting of the Seabird Bycatch Working Group, SBWG-3 Doc 31, Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels, 8–9 April 2010, Mara del Plata, Argentina.

Robertson, G, Candy, SG & Wienecke, B 2010a 'Effect of line shooter and mainline tension on the sink rates of pelagic longlines and implications for seabird interactions', *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems*, vol. 20, pp. 419–427.

Robertson, G, Candy, SG, Wienecke, B & Lawton, K 2010b, 'Experimental determinations of factors affecting the sink rates of baited hooks to minimize seabird mortality in pelagic longline fisheries', *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems*, vol. 20, pp. 632–643.

Robertson, G, Candy, SG & Hall, S 2013, 'New branch line weighting regimes to reduce the risk of seabird mortality in pelagic longline fisheries without affecting fish catch', *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems*, DOI: 10.1002/aqc.2346.

Robertson, G & Candy, SG 2014, 'Does propeller turbulence affect the sink rate of baited hooks and their availability to seabirds in pelagic longline fisheries?', *Aquatic Conservation: Marine Freshwater Ecosystems* vol. 24, pp. 179-191.

Robertson, G, Ashworth, Phillip, Ashworth, Peter, Carlyle I & Candy SG 2015, 'The development and operational testing of an underwater bait setting system to prevent the mortality of albatrosses and petrels in pelagic longline fisheries', *Open Journal of Marine Science* vol. 5, pp. 1-12.

Robins, CM, Bradshaw, EJ & Kreutz, DC 2007, *Marine turtle mitigation in Australia's pelagic longline fisheries*, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Final Report 2003/013, Canberra.

Ward, P, Epe, S, Kreutz, D, Lawrence, E, Robins, C & Sands, A 2008, *Implementation of bycatch mitigation measures in Australia's pelagic longline fisheries: the effects of circle hooks on target and non-target catches*, Final Report to the Natural Heritage Trust, Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.

Webb, H, Hobday, A, Dowdney, J, Bulman, C, Sporcic, M, Smith, T, Stobutzki, I, Fuller, M & Furlani, D 2007, *Ecological risk assessment for the effects of fishing: Eastern Tuna & Billfish Fishery: longline sub-fishery*, report for the AFMA, Canberra.

Wilcox, C 2012, *Defining regional connections in southwestern Pacific broadbill swordfish*, FRDC 2007/036, draft final report, Canberra.

Wilcox, C, Dowling, N & Pascoe, S 2011, *Predicting the impact of hook decrementation on the distribution of fishing effort in the ETBF*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2008/028, Hobart.

Young, J & Drake, A 2002, *Reproductive dynamics of broadbill swordfish (Xiphias gladius) in the domestic longline fishery off eastern Australia*, FRDC 1999/108 Final Report.

Young, J and Drake, A 2004, *Age and growth of broadbill swordfish from Australian waters*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2001/014, Hobart.

Young, JW, Lansdell, MJ, Hobday, AJ, Dambacher, JM, Griffiths, SP, Cooper, S, Kloser, R, Nichols, PD & Revill, A 2009, *Determining ecological effects of longline fishing in the Eastern Tuna and Billfish Fishery*, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Project 2004/063, Hobart.

Zhou, S, Smith, T & Fuller, M 2007, *Rapid quantitative risk assessment for fish species in selected Commonwealth fisheries*, report to the AFMA, Canberra.