



**COMMISSION**  
**FOURTEENTH REGULAR SESSION**  
Manila, Philippines  
3 – 7 December 2017

---

**SYNOPSIS OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY CCMS AS A REPLY TO  
TCC14 PROVISIONAL CMR**

---

**WCPFC15-2018-25**  
**30 November 2018**

**Paper by Secretariat**

**Purpose**

1. To provide a summary of the additional information which may be relevant to the Commissions consideration of the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report (pCMR) as recommended by TCC14.

**Overview**

2. TCC14 agreed to recommend to WCPFC15 the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report for its consideration and final assessment (*TCC14 Summary Report paragraph 96*).
3. Paragraph 33 of Conservation and Management Measure for Compliance Monitoring Scheme (CMM 2017-07) provides CCMS with an opportunity to provide additional information or advice that clearly addresses implementation issues identified in the pCMR. The deadline for CCMS to provide the additional information or advice is up to 30 days prior to the Commission meeting (on or before 9<sup>th</sup> November 2018).
4. After TCC14, the Secretariat updated the online CMR report and Flag State Investigation List to include the TCC14 agreed pCMR scores and created an online interface for CCMS to include their submissions of additional information or advice. CCMS were advised of the availability of the online interface on 11<sup>th</sup> October 2018 and a quick guide was also provided in WCPFC Circular 2018/59.
5. As at 30<sup>th</sup> November 2018, based on CCMS additional information and some updates from the Secretariat and Scientific Services Provider, there are additional information relevant to the pCMR scores as agreed at TCC14 for 14 CCMS. The additional information relates to 18 individual potential issues in the pCMR and a change of pCMR score is indicated for 18 potential issues in 2017. The additional information relates to a change of pCMR score for 17 individual Flag State Investigation related issues (2015 – 2016). The breakdown by section and by obligations of additional information received on or before 9<sup>th</sup> November 2018 is as shown in **Table 1**.

**Table 1: Summary of additional information submitted as a reply to pCMR on or before 9<sup>th</sup> November 2018**

# of CCMs to which additional information relates in Provisional CMR Issues (2017) and Flag State Investigation (2015 – 2017)	<b>14</b>
# of obligation/issue responded to with additional information in Provisional CMR Issues (2017)	<b>18</b>
# obligation/issue where a change of compliance status/score has been requested (2017)	Section (i) Catch and effort limits for target species = <b>4</b> Section (ii) Catch and effort reporting for target species = <b>4</b> Section (iii) Reporting including with respect to implementation of measures for non-target species = <b>2</b> Section (iv) Spatial and temporal closures and restrictions on the use of FADs = <b>0</b> Section (v) Authorizations to fish and RFV, Observer, VMS coverage, Transshipment and HSBI Scheme = <b>8</b> Section (vi) Provision of Scientific Data = <b>0</b> Section (vii) Submission of AR Part 2 and compliance with other reporting deadlines = <b>0</b> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Total = 18</b></p>
# of issues where a change of compliance status/score has been requested for Flag State Investigation (2015 – 2016)	<b>17</b>

6. **Annex 1** to this paper is an updated table from SPC-OFP that provides updated information related to ROP longline observer coverage – This is an updated version of Table 4 presented in WCPFC-TCC14-2018-IP04 *Status of ROP data management*.
7. It is expected that a working group will be established during WCPFC15, to consider the pCMR recommended by TCC14, to take into account any additional information relevant to the pCMR, and to prepare a draft of the final CMR for the Commissions consideration.

**Recommendation**

- |  |
|--|
| 9. WCPFC15 is invited to note the paper. |
|--|

**Annex 1**

**WCPFC-TCC14-2018-IP04**

Status of ROP data management paper Peter Williams, Icanus Tuiloma and Aurélien Panizza (SPC-OFP)

**with Updates to Japan (both fisheries/fleets); Rep. of Korea; Samoa; Tonga; Chinese Taipei (both fisheries/fleets)**

**Table 4. Provisional 2017 Longline observer coverage by CCM – based on reporting from CCMs and data submissions.**

CCM Fleet	Fishery	Metric selected for Coverage	On-board OBSERVER DATA COVERAGE (minimum required for ROP is 5%)						See NOTES
			Total estimated effort	As reported by flag state		Total estimated effort	As per data submission		
				Observer	%		Observer	%	
AUSTRALIA	Domestic	No. of Hooks	8,668,853	889,196	10.2%	8,668,853	0	0.0%	2, 17
CHINA	Ice/Fresh	Days at Sea	65,825	2,693	4.1%	65,825	2,693	4.1%	3, 10, 11, 22
	Frozen								
COOK ISLANDS	Pacific Islands	Days at Sea	3,368	256	7.6%	4,595	291	6.3%	8, 9
EUROPEAN UNION	Distant-water	No. of Trips	3	1	33.3%	3	1	33.3%	4, 10, 19
FSM	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	253	1	0.4%	253	2	0.8%	7
FIJI	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	686	205	29.9%	686	165	24.1%	8, 9, 22
FRENCH POLYNESIA	Pacific Islands	Days at Sea	14,594	860	5.9%	14,594	860	5.9%	2, 9
INDONESIA	Domestic	No. of Trips	2,500	4	0.2%	2,500	4	0.2%	2, 19, 21
	Distant-water	No. of Trips	0	-	-	0	-	-	5, 10, 24
JAPAN	Ice/Fresh, short-trip	Days fished	24,298	919	3.8%	24,298	907	3.7%	10, 18
	Frozen, long-trip	Days fished	8,371	669	8.0%	8,371	588	7.0%	10, 18
KIRIBATI	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	93	2	2.2%	93	2	2.2%	8, 9
MARSHALL ISLANDS	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	426	36	8.5%	426	36	8.5%	2, 9
NEW CALEDONIA	Pacific Islands	No. of Hooks	4,811,540	406,000	8.4%	4,811,540	406,000	8.4%	2
NEW ZEALAND	Domestic	No. of Hooks	2,104,324	330,235	15.7%	2,104,324	330,235	15.7%	2
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	70	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%	2, 9
PHILIPPINES	Distant-water	No. of Trips	-	-	-	-	-	-	1, 16
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	Distant-water	Days fished	16,777	694	4.1%	16,664	684	4.1%	10, 20, 23
SAMOA	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	135	6	4.4%	180	6	3.3%	2, 9
SOLOMON ISLANDS	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	-	-	-	-	-	-	2, 24
TONGA	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	186	16	8.6%	186	14	7.5%	2
TUVALU	Pacific Islands	No. of Trips	11	1	9.1%	11	1	9.1%	8, 12
CHINESE TAIPEI	Small longline – STILL	Days at Sea	111,240	6,414	5.8%	111,240	6,813	6.1%	10, 14
	Distant-water – DWLL	Days at Sea	20,915	2,112	10.1%	20,915	2,152	10.3%	10
USA	HAWAII/California-based	No. of Trips	985	235	23.9%	985	235	23.9%	6
	AMERICAN SAMOA	No. of Trips	7	1	14.3%	7	1	14.3%	6
VANUATU	Pacific Island-based, short trip	Days at Sea	9,412	219	2.3%	9,412	45	0.5%	9, 10, 11
	Distant-water								

## NOTES

1. The fleet breakdown, metric and reporting by CCMs is based on WCPFC11 Summary Report para 483-486 and Attachment L (Anon., 2010a). Flag CCM reporting includes information from Annual Reports - Part 1.
2. Domestic fleet with no fishing on the high seas or other EEZs and therefore no ROP trips. Observer coverage of the domestic fleet is provided in some cases nonetheless.
3. China has advised in their Annual Report Part 1 that their choice of metric is “days-at-sea”. Total estimated effort (of days at sea) is determined from available operational logbook data, raised to account for incomplete coverage (of operational logbook data provided).
4. In a communication of 28 February 2015, EU advised that they will use “NUMBER OF TRIPS” for measuring and reporting observer coverage on its flagged LL vessels for years from 2014. For 2013, they had previously advised that “*We are currently exploring options for improving observer coverage on EU LLs. Recent amendments in the ES legislation should contribute also in improving these aspects. At TCC10, EU advised that legislation has been adopted.*”
5. No information provided by the CCM for this fleet.
6. The information provided for the US fleets EXCLUDES activities in their respective EEZs, that is, the coverage rates provided are for their ROP trips only and estimated effort is for activities outside their EEZ.
7. The information provided for the FSM fleets EXCLUDES activities of their domestic fleet, that is, the coverage is for their ROP trips only.
8. Most (if not all) vessel trips (and therefore most days-at-sea) would be non-ROP trips since mostly restricted to waters of national jurisdiction. . Observer coverage is for all activities (ROP and non-ROP) of the domestic fleet.
9. Observer trip value represents the trip data provided to SPC in the absence of advice from this CCM on total number of observer trips conducted. This value may not represent the overall trips undertaken (i.e. it may be an under-estimate).
10. All vessel trips (and therefore days-at-sea) would be defined as ROP trips. “Distant-water” vessels have very long trips and since some fleets tranship at sea, the unit of coverage might more suitably be “days-at-sea” for these situations.
11. Covers both ‘fleets’ as coverage cannot be split by fleet at this stage.
12. Tuvalu advised their choice of metric was “Number of Trips”.
13. Observer coverage information (as nominated from flag state) was taken from the CCMs WCPFC Annual Report Part 1 prepared for SC14 (as per WCPFC11 Summary Report paragraphs 483 – 486).
14. Includes observer trips conducted by Coastal state observer programmes on Chinese Taipei-flagged STLL vessels.
15. This CCM did not have flagged longline vessels on the Record of Fishing Vessels in 2017.
16. No longline vessels from Philippines active in 2017.
17. Australia commenced producing observer data from their E-Monitoring system from 2015.
18. Japan provided trip-level details for 2017 observer activities including trip monitoring information. However, data at the set level have yet to be provided.
19. Observer data provided does not satisfy the ROP minimum data field standards.
20. There is evidence that additional observer trips have been conducted by coastal states, but the data have yet to be provided.
21. CCM indicated that they had charter vessels for 2016 but which are not considered under ROP trip definition.
22. Several countries have submitted observer data from their E-Monitoring system in 2016 and these data are listed under the Column labelled “E-Monitoring Trips/Sets”. Note that E-Monitoring trip data for Japan and Chinese Taipei were generated from the Palau EM Observer Programme.
23. The number of total trips for the Indonesian domestic longline fleet is not known but has been estimated based on the annual catch estimate and approximate catch per trip.
24. Has assumed that provision of 2017 observer data from Chinese Taipei is for distant-water vessels only.
25. 2017 observer data provided for the China longline fleet included some activity in the Pacific Ocean beyond the WCPFC Area; these data have been excluded in the coverage rates presented in this table.
26. Total estimated effort (days at sea = 34,163) for Korean longline fleet in 2017 has been determined from operational logbook data provided to the WCPFC.
27. No activity in 2017 by this CCMs longline fleet

---