



**COMMISSION
FIFTEENTH REGULAR SESSION**
Honolulu, Hawaii, USA
10 – 14 December 2018

**INTERSESSIONAL ACTIVITY REPORT FROM SOUTH PACIFIC ALBACORE
ROADMAP VIRTUAL WORKING GROUP**

**WCPFC15-2018- SPalbroadmap
26 November 2018**

Paper submitted by the Chair of the SPA-VIWG

Purpose

1. To discuss development of the south Pacific albacore roadmap.

Background

2. The Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC), at its 14th meeting in Manila, Philippines, agreed to the establishment of a virtual intersessional workgroup for the development of a south Pacific albacore roadmap.
3. *The terms of reference for the workgroup is to consider management issues including:*
 - a. Elements necessary for implementation of the Harvest Strategy
 - b. An allocation process
 - c. Monitoring and reporting priorities, and addressing of gaps for all fisheries taking south Pacific albacore in the WCPO
4. The roadmap also contains four main components:
 - a. Review of the current measure
 - b. SC14 advice to WCPFC15 on technical aspects of the south Pacific albacore harvest strategy
 - c. TCC14 advice to WCPFC15 on monitoring and reporting gaps in the south Pacific albacore fishery, as well as SIDS and Territories implementation considerations
 - d. Limits - taking into account the 2018 stock assessment, recommendation an overall limit for the fishery, how it could be distributed (taking into account the interests of SIDS and Territories) and the actions required to achieve biological and economic stability in the fishery
5. There are no deliverables for the workgroup at WCPFC15, however work is ongoing and further progress is anticipated following the development of SC14 and TCC14 advice.

Developments since WCPFC14

6. New Zealand has organised two intersessional meetings of the workgroup – one teleconference in June (see agenda and meeting notes for June teleconference below) and informal meeting in the margins of SC14. New Zealand also met with FFA CCMs in October during the FFA annual meeting ahead of WCPFC.
7. The informal meetings did not result in any actions for the workgroup, instead the Chair agreed to draft two documents for workgroup and Commission discussion later this year. One paper will be a draft allocation schedule, which is included in Attachment 3 as part of the overall workplan, the second will be a paper compiling previous SC, TCC, and Commission comments on the two most recent south Pacific albacore CMMs (2010-05 and 2015-02), see WCPFC15-2018-SPalbroadmap_suppl.
8. It was also noted that a meeting in the margins of the Commission meeting could be useful but that a meeting before the Commission meeting would not work for some CCMs. Therefore, New Zealand will organise a meeting of the workgroup in the margins of the Commission meeting.
9. At the meeting with FFA CCMs, New Zealand presented a draft workplan which was revised following this meeting to address feedback received that a detailed allocation schedule was not appropriate at this time. It was discussed at this meeting that the goal of having limits and allocations for south Pacific albacore adopted in 2021, to align with the adoption of harvest control rules, as currently scheduled in the harvest strategy workplan, is ambitious and warrants further discussion with other CCMs. The allocation schedule in Attachment 3 is not the proposal of the full roadmap workgroup and New Zealand will be seeking views on this workplan from meetings held at WCPFC15.

AGENDA

South Pacific Albacore roadmap working group

Thursday, 14 June

10:00-11:00am

Dial in numbers:

USA: 1855 2938304

China: 4008190611

Chinese Taipei: 00801644172

Australia: 1800 633 281

Fiji: 008003301

Pacific (except Fiji): +6493794086

Japan: 006633864177

Conference code: 9325094360

Agenda

1. Introductions and welcome
2. Adopt Agenda
3. Goal for the call
 - a. Agree on scope and tasking for review of current measure
 - b. Discuss allocation process
 - c. Discuss next meeting and deliverables
4. Review of roadmap components
5. Review of discussion paper on recommendation for review of current measure
6. Review of discussion paper on potential south Pacific albacore allocation process
7. Next meeting/steps
8. Close

Meeting notes south Pacific albacore roadmap working group

Thursday, 14 June, 2018

Attendees: Sarah Williams (New Zealand), Valerie Post (US), Jenny Baldwin and James Lacrombe (Australia), Wataru Tanoue (Japan)

Several apologies were emailed to New Zealand ahead of the meeting. There were also technical difficulties with the phone line and both New Caledonia and French Polynesia attempted to join but were unsuccessful.

The workgroup discussed several components of the roadmap including: a review of the current measure, potential consideration for an allocation process, and technical components of the harvest strategy likely to be covered by the 2018 stock assessment to be presented at Scientific Committee. A summary of each discussion is below.

1. Summary of discussion on a review of the current south Pacific albacore CMM (2015-02) as established in the roadmap (see paragraph 264 in final summary report of [WCPFC14](#))
 - Members discussed the utility of a review of the current measure when both SC and TCC have previously completed annual reviews as required in the measure. Because of this, members saw limited benefit from discussing the documented issues with the current CMM and remarked that what would be most beneficial would be for New Zealand to collate the reports of TCC and SC on the two previous south Pacific albacore CMMs and report this to the Commission in 2018. [New Zealand will collate this information]
 - The appropriateness of using the 20 S line was discussed with workgroup members commenting on the difference in using 20S in the measure and in using 10S in the stock assessment as the geographical boundary below which longline vessels are assumed to be participating in the southern albacore fishery. No action was requested and New Zealand will add this discussion point to any further work on the Roadmap.
 - The effectiveness of the measure was the only component of the review that members felt would not be specifically addressed through the stock assessment and that such an examination would likely need to be done by SPC, New Zealand will investigate how this question may be addressed.
 - In addition to the members on the teleconference New Zealand also heard from several members that they did support a review of the current measure.
2. Allocation process
 - The workgroup considered New Zealand's idea that there may be efficiencies gained by aligning south Pacific albacore allocation discussions with the scheduled allocation decisions for tropical tuna in 2019 and 2020.
 - It was recognized among the workgroup member that it might be difficult to give south Pacific albacore sufficient attention while other allocations discussions are happening and so an alternative schedule for south Pacific albacore should be considered.

- Some members commented that that New Zealand could organise an informal meeting of the workgroup in the margins of SC to further discuss these matters, New Zealand agreed to organise a meeting.
- The workgroup considered that the Commission may wish to establish to subgroup to address allocations but that this group would require members engagement.
- The workgroup commented that starting with a discussion on allocation principles might be the best place to start at the Commission meeting this year.

3. Technical components of the harvest strategy

- The workgroup discussed a request to discuss the trend in F to examine whether or not the current limit in the CMM is working. Several members commented that they believed the new stock assessment would provide this information and therefore an additional request was not necessary. The workgroup should confirm that this information is addressed in the stock assessment and follow up with SPC if there are further questions.

COMMISSION
FIFTEENTH REGULAR SESSION

Honolulu, UNITED STATES

9-14 December 2018

SOUTH PACIFIC ALBACORE ROADMAP DRAFT WORKPLAN

26 November 2018

This workplan address the three main components of the south Pacific albacore Roadmap. The items in the Harvest Strategy and Monitoring/Reporting columns are taken from reports of the Commission or the EREMIWG. The allocations column contains only one date to align the adoption of an overall limit for the fishery with the adoption of harvest control rules. Further discussion is needed on what monitoring and reporting initiatives should be included, this will be updated following adoption of the TCC workplan at WCPFC15.

Year	Harvest Strategy	Monitoring/Reporting	Allocations
2018	<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide advice on technical aspects of harvest strategy including scientific elements of candidate harvest control rules and potential components of the management procedure. <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider the implications of candidate harvest control rules (ongoing). <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agree Target Reference Point. • Consider advice on progress towards harvest control rules (ongoing). • Provide advice to SPC on which fisheries will be used for abundance 	<p>ER/EM WG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Met around SC • Made recommendations regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Update or revisions to ER SSPs ○ E-reporting standards for HS transshipment ○ EM process <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide advice on monitoring and data gaps and priorities. <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adopt the E-Reporting Standards for transshipment declarations and transshipment notices. 	<p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroup to meet

	<p>indices, which fisheries will be controlled by the HCR(s), and how those fisheries will be controlled (catch, effort, or?).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision on Science Management Dialogue group. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider SC and TCC advice on potential data needs analysis and associated data collection and verification methods. Note the approach outline in the ER/EM concept papers. Consider advice from SC and TCC and agree to prioritising electronic monitoring in areas where independent data collection and verification is currently low. 	
2019	<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide advice on performance of candidate harvest control rules. Agree initial range of operating models for HCR evaluation. Science Management Dialogue (if approved). <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the implications of candidate harvest control rules [could include consideration of how harvest control rules can be monitored and implemented, are those system available, and do members have the capability to implement]. <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider advice on progress towards harvest control rules. 	<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TBD <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> [Update after review of TCC workplan] 	
2020	<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide advice on performance candidate harvest control rules. <p>TCC</p>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the implications of candidate harvest control rules. <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider advice on progress towards harvest control rules. 		
2021	<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide advice on performance candidate harvest control rules. <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the implications of candidate harvest control rules. <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider advice on progress towards harvest control rules. <u>Adopt harvest control rule(s).</u> 		<p>SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TBD <p>TCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TBD <p>Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adoption of overall limit for the fishery.