WCPFC NC 14 Pacific Bluefin Tuna Assessment; 2018 Update Stock Assessment and Projections



Shuya Nakatsuka, Ph.D. ISC PBFWG





2018/9/4

WCPFC NC 14

Meeting logistics

- \circ March 5th to 12th at La Jolla, CA, USA.
- Participants: 26 scientists from
 U.S.A., Japan, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, IATTC, and SPC

Update assessment

- Up-to-date data until June 2017.
- Basically the same demographic assumption (same model construction).

Projection

- A projection for the current measures.
- \circ $\,$ Some projections under new HCR.
- Report
 - ISC 2018 Annex 14



national Scientific Committee for

Overview of 2018 assessment model

- A fully integrated model (Stock Synthesis-Version 3)
 - Length-based, age-structured (0-20+) model
 - From 1952 to 2016
- Pan-Pacific Assessment
 - No-spatially defined model (Area as Fleet approach)
- Fishery definitions
 - 19 Fisheries (Catch & Size comp (if available))
 - 3 CPUEs (TWN & JPN Longlines, JPN Troll)
- Given biological traits (Growth, Maturity, Natural mortality)
- Estimate initial conditions, population scale, recruitments, and fishery selectivity

What are updated ?

Input data were updated.

- Catch (2015-2016)
- \circ CPUE (Whole time series, -2016)

✓ TWN & JPN Longlines, JPN Troll.

- The same standardization methods with previous assessment.
- \circ Size composition

 $\checkmark 6$ purse seines, 3 longlines, 3 set-nets, 2 trolls.

✓ updated from 2014 (KOR PS, TWN LL, MEX PS)

or 2015 (the rest of the fleets).

Basically the same demographic assumptions.

 $\circ~$ Extend the last year of time varying selectivity.

Catch by country



2018/9/4







2018/9/4

Catch per Unit of Effort based abundance index



Size Composition data





- CPUE based abundance indices
- Size composition
- Likelihood profile over population scale
- **Retrospective diagnostics**
- **Assessment results**
 - SSB and Recruitment
 - F at Age
 - Kobe plot
 - \circ Impact plot

Goodness of fit to CPUEs



S5: Jpn Troll(1980-2016)



S9: Twn Longline (2000-2016)



Average fits to Size Compositions



Likelihood profiles over fixed scaling parameter

- Each component marked the lowest likelihood around at maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of Log(R₀).
 - CPUE (9.5), Size comp. (9.5), Recruitment Penalty (9.6)
 - \circ Consistency regarding the population scale estimates.



Retrospective Analysis

- ✤ No substantial pattern in recent 3-4 terminal years in the SSB estimates although those of 5 year and above might be slightly underestimated.
- Recruitment estimates are basically consistent.



Assessment results

- ✤ Base case model derived consistent results with the previous assessment.
 - SSB fluctuated over time; declined during 1996-2010, and increased since 2011.
 - Recruitments in 2015 and 2016 are lower and higher than the historical average.



Age specific fishing mortality

- $\circ~$ Substantial decrease of F is observed in ages 0–2 in 2015–2016.
- Note that stricter management measures in IATTC and WCPFC have been in place since 2015.



Stock Trajectory

	Initial rebuilding target	Second rebuilding target	1995 (recent high)	2002-2004 (reference year)	2011 (5 years ago)	2016 (latest)
Biomass (%SSB _{F=0})	$SSB_{med \ 1952-2014} = 6.7\%$	20%	10.4%	7.1%	2.1%	3.3%
fishing intensity (SPR)	6.7%	20%	5.1%	3.4%	4.9%	6.7%



Fishery impact plot

201

- Historically, the WPO coastal fisheries has had the greatest impact.
- Since about the mid-2000s, the WPO purse seine fleets targeting small PBF (age 0-1), have had the greatest impact.
- The impact of the EPO fishery was large before the mid-1980s, decreasing significantly thereafter.



Projection

Projection model overview

- Age-structured forward projection model (*ssfuturePBF*)
 - $\circ~$ Identical model structure with the stock assessment of PBF
 - Given growth, maturity and Natural mortality which are identical with those used in the stock assessment
 - Age-specific quarterly Fishing mortality of each fleet were assumed to be past particular year in the assessment (e.g. 2002-04).
 - $\circ~$ Catch upper limit could be set to depict a management measure.
 - $\circ~$ Two recruitment scenarios (low (1980–1989) and average (1952–2016))
- Projection time period
 - $\circ~$ From 2016 to 2034
 - $\circ~$ Initial condition (2016) was based on the stock assessment result.

Uncertainty

• 300 bootstrap replicates followed by 20 recruitments resampling.

Recruitment Scenario for Projection

- Specified by WCPFC HS-02.
- Low recruitment scenario until the initial rebuilding target being achieved and average recruitment thereafter.
- Recent 10-year recruitment is more optimistic than low recruitment scenario.



Projection with the current CMMs

- The projection of Status Quo (Scenario 0) resulted in an 98% probability of achieving the initial rebuilding target.
- More optimistic result than the 2016 projection is mainly due to the relatively good recruitment of 2016 year class.



Kobe plot for the future periods ("La Jolla Plot")



 \circ No biomass-based limit or target reference points have been adopted to evaluate the overfished status for PBF. However, the PBF stock is overfished relative to the potential biomass-based reference points evaluated (SSB_{MED} and 20%SSB_{F=0}).

 No fishing intensity-based limit or target reference points have been adopted to evaluate overfishing for PBF. However, the PBF stock is subject to overfishing relative to most of potential fishing intensity-based reference points.

Conservation Information

- The projection based on the current management measures by the WCPFC and IATTC under the low recruitment scenario resulted in an estimated 98% probability of achieving the initial rebuilding target (6.7%SSB_{F=0}) by 2024. This is above the threshold (75% or above in 2024) prescribed by the WCPFC Harvest Strategy. The low recruitment scenario is more precautionary than the recent 10 years recruitment scenario.
- The estimated probability of achieving the second rebuilding target $(20\%SSB_{F=0})$ 10 years after the achievement of the initial rebuilding target or by 2034, whichever is earlier, is 96% under the recruitment scenario prescribed by WCPFC Harvest Strategy. This is above the threshold (60% or above in 2034) prescribed by the WCPFC Harvest Strategy.

Conservation Information

 It should be recognized that these projection results are strongly influenced by the inclusion of the relatively high, but uncertain recruitment estimate for 2016.

Additional projections in response to the WCPFC Harvest Strategy 2017-02

What's described in the WCPFC HS-2017-02 ?

- WCPFC Harvest Strategy (HS-2017-02)
 - Prepared by the WCPFC NC & IATTC joint WG.
 - Provides two rebuilding targets, a HCR, and an assumption for future recruitment.
 - Requested to conduct projections based on the new HCR.

Request for Projections under new HCR

- If the projection indicates that the probability of achieving the initial rebuilding target is <u>at 75% or larger</u>, ISC will be requested to provide relevant information on potential catch limit increases.
 - as long as the probability of reaching the initial rebuilding target is maintained at 70% or larger, and the probability of reaching the 2nd rebuilding target by the agreed deadline remains at least 60%.
- Recruitment assumptions for projection
 - The Initial rebuilding period (until the stock meets SSB_{med1952-2014});
 Resampling from the relatively low recruitment period (1980-1989).
 - The 2nd rebuilding period (from next year of initial rebuilding period); Resampling from the entire assessment period.

Scenarios with potential catch limit increase

Scenario 1: Approximation of the CMMs in force by the IATTC & WCPFC. (Scenario 0): Same with the scenario 1, but low recruitment continues. Scenario 2: Same catch limit with HS1, but no effort control (Constant Catch). Scenario 3: 5% increase of catch limit for all fleets from scenario 2. Scenario 4: 10% increase of catch limit for all fleets from scenario 2. Scenario 5: 15% increase of catch limit for all fleets from scenario 2.

			WPO			EPO*3		Catch limit Increase		
Scenario #		Catch limit				Catch lim	it			
	Fishing	Japan*2		Korea	Taiwan	Commercial	- Snorta	WPO	EPO	
	mortanty [*] 1	Small	Large	Small Large	Large	Small Large	- sports	Small Large	Small Large	
0^{*4}	F	4,007	4,882	718	1,700	3,300	-	0%	0%	
1	F	4,007	4,882	718	1,700	3,300	-	0%	0%	
2	F x 2.0	4,007	4,882	718	1,700	3,300	-	0%	0%	
3	F x 2.0	4,207	5,126	754	1,785	3,465	-	5%	5%	
4	F x 2.0	4,408	5,370	790	1,870	3,630	-	10%	10%	
5	F x 2.0	4,608	5,614	826	1,955	3,795	-	15%	15%	

Results of the projections

Soonariaa	Catch limit	Probability of achieving				
Scenarios	increase	Initial rebuilding target	2 nd rebuilding target			
Scenario 0	-	98%	3%			
Scenario 1	-	99%	96%			
Scenario 2	-	96%	96%			
Scenario 3	5%	91%	93%			
Scenario 4	10%	83%	90%			
Scenario 5	15%	74%	85%			

	Catab Part	4 1		Initial rebuilding targe	et	Second rebuild		
Scenario # 	Catch lim	t Increase	The year expected to	Probability of	Probability of SSB is	The year expected to	Probability of achiving the	Median SSB
	WPO	EPO	acmeve the target with $>60\%$	achiving the target at	Delow the target at 2024 under the low	achieve the target		at 2034
	Small Large	Small Large	probability 2024		recruitment	with >60% probability	target at 2034	
0^{*1}	0%	0%	2020	98%	2%	N/A	3%	74,789
1	0%	0%	2020	99%	2%	2028	96%	263,465
2	0%	0%	2021	96%	4%	2028	96%	264,118
3	5%	5%	2021	91%	8%	2029	93%	248,295
4	10%	10%	2021	83%	15%	2029	90%	231,466
5	15%	15%	2021	74%	24%	2030	85%	255,085

Results of the projections for catch limit increase

 All of the scenarios provided were confirmed to achieve the rebuilding targets with the probability prescribed in the WCPFC Harvest Strategy.



\circ Investigating the possible effect if the increase of catch

limit was differentiated between small and large fish.

		EPO			Catab limit Inanaga							
S aarran ⁴ a #	C	atch li	mit		Catch limit			Catch minit increase				
Scenario #	Japan	Korea		Taiwan	Commercial		Sporta	WPO		EP	EPO	
	Small Large	Small	Large	Large	Small	Large	sports	Small	Large	Small	Large	
6	4,207 5,858	528	258	2,040	1,733	1,980	-	5%	20%	5%	20%	
7	4,207 5,858	528	258	2,040	1,815	1,815	-	5%	20%	10%	10%	
8	4,408 5,370	553	237	1,870	1,733	1,980	-	10%	10%	5%	20%	
9	4,207 6,591	528	291	2,295	1,733	2,228	-	5%	35%	5%	35%	
10	4,207 6,591	528	291	2,295	1,898	1,898	-	5%	35%	15%	15%	
11	4,608 5,614	578	248	1,955	1,733	2,228	-	15%	15%	5%	35%	
12	4,408 5,858	553	258	2,040	1,815	1,980	-	10%	20%	10%	20%	
13	4,408 5,858	553	258	2,040	1,898	1,898	-	10%	20%	15%	15%	
14	4,608 5,614	578	248	1,955	1,815	1,980	-	15%	15%	10%	20%	
15	4,408 6,347	553	280	2,210	1,815	2,145	-	10%	30%	10%	30%	
16	4,408 6,347	553	280	2,210	1,898	1,898	-	10%	30%	15%	15%	
17	4,608 5,614	578	248	1,955	1,815	2,145	-	15%	15%	10%	30%	

Why did we do that?

• The most of catch in number were occupied by ages 0-1 fish.



Difference of the Impact of fishery by catch at age

Same weight of catch has different impact on the stock by age.

- 1 ton of catch = 500 of age-0 (2 kg of body weight) = 20 of age-3 (49 kg).
- Catching a high number of small fish can have a greater impact on future spawning stock biomass than catching the same weight of large fish.



Results of the different increment fraction scenarios

 All of the examined scenarios were confirmed to achieve the initial and second rebuilding targets given the recruitment assumption.

	Initial rebuilding target							Second rebu																										
Scenario #	Catch limit Increase WPO EPO Small Large Small Large		EPO Small Large		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		EPO		it Increase EPO		EPO		The year expected to achieve the target with >60%	Probability of achiving the	Probability of SSB is below the target at 2024	The year expected to achieve the target with	Probability of achiving the	Median SSB (mt) at 2034
					probability	target at 2024	under the low recruitment	>60% probability	target at 2034	at 2034																								
6	5%	20%	5%	20%	2021	94%	6%	2028	95%	255,672																								
7	5%	20%	10%	10%	2021	94%	6%	2028	95%	248,911																								
8	10%	10%	5%	20%	2021	92%	9%	2029	94%	214,278																								
9	5%	35%	5%	35%	2021	93%	9%	2029	94%	246,153																								
10	5%	35%	15%	15%	2021	93%	9%	2029	94%	247,409																								
11	15%	15%	5%	35%	2021	84%	16%	2029	91%	233,055																								
12	10%	20%	10%	20%	2021	89%	11%	2029	93%	243,491																								
13	10%	20%	15%	15%	2021	89%	11%	2029	93%	243,223																								
14	15%	15%	10%	20%	2021	85%	16%	2029	91%	234,203																								
15	10%	30%	10%	30%	2021	87%	14%	2029	92%	237,742																								
16	10%	30%	15%	15%	2021	88%	13%	2029	92%	238,957																								
17	15%	15%	10%	30%	2021	84%	17%	2029	90%	232,769																								

Results

- All of the examined scenarios were confirmed to achieve the initial and second rebuilding targets given the recruitment assumption.
- The results showed that the measures protecting small fish are more effective than those protecting large fish for rebuilding.

Scenario #_ _	Ca	tch lim	it Increa	ise	Probab achie	oility of eving	Probability of SSB	Expected	
	WI	90	EPO		initial	second	target at 2024 under	annual catch in 2024 (ton)	
	Small	Small I argo		Iarge	rebuilding	rebuilding	the low recruitment		
	Sman Large		Sinan Large		target	target			
5	15%	15%	15	5%	74%	85%	24%	16,641	
12	10%	20%	10%	20%	89%	93%	11%	16,841	

Summary for the projections under new HCR

- In accordance with WCPFC HS 02, ISC conducted additional projections with various combination of the increase of catch limit.
- All of the examined scenarios were confirmed to achieve the initial and second rebuilding targets given the recruitment assumption.
- The projection results also show that the measures protecting small fish are more effective than those protecting large fish to rebuild the stock.

- Next assessment (benchmark assessment) is scheduled in 2020.
- In 2019, indices will be monitored and plan for 2020 assessment will be discussed.
- No assessment is scheduled in 2019 as no "drastic drop of recruitment" (WCPFC HS 02) is detected.

1st ISC Pacific Bluefin tuna MSE Workshop



30–31 May 2018 Yokohama, Japan

- 72 participants: fishery managers, stakeholders, NGOs, and scientists
- Talks and discussions:

To learn about and understand the MSE process (Dinardo); Requirements to implement an MSE (Nakatsuka); Recent progress by ALBWG and other RFMOs (Holmes);

- First step for PBF MSE
- $\circ~$ Results will be presented for NC-IATTC Joint Meeting in Sept .

Thank you