



COMMISSION
EIGHTH REGULAR SESSION
Guam, United States of America
26-30 March 2012

MATRIX OF TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

WCPFC8- 2011/ IP 09

Paper prepared by Secretariat

To assist with consideration of the TCC7 Outcomes and Recommendations at WCPFC8 the following matrix tables were prepared.

Table 1 is a list of outcomes and topics from the TCC7 Summary Report to WCPFC8, where there is no specific TCC7-generated recommendation to or action requested of the Commission at this session.

Table 2 lists those TCC7 recommendations, with cross-references the relevant WCPFC8 agenda item and WCPFC8 papers, where Commission action is requested or needed. Where necessary, to provide context to the recommendation as written, some introductory information has been provided, which has been paraphrased from the TCC7 report.

Proposed process for considering TCC7 Summary Report Outcomes and Recommendations:

It is proposed that:

1. The TCC Vice-Chair will present a summary of the TCC7 Report under Agenda item 8.1 (Report of the Seventh Regular Session of the Technical and Compliance Committee) with a focus on the recommendations listed in Table 1. The assessments of Cooperating Non-Member applications for 2012 will be presented by the TCC Vice-Chair under *Agenda 2.3 Cooperating Non-Member Applications*.
2. The Commission would substantively consider the TCC7 recommendations listed in Table 1 and, as appropriate, specific TCC7 outcomes listed in Table 2, and their related WCPFC8 documents, under the relevant Commission agenda item.

Table 1: Matrix of TCC7 Recommendations requesting Commission consideration and action, listed by relevant WCPFC8 agenda item

| WCPFC8 AGENDA ITEM | TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i> | SECRETARIAT COMMENTS | RELEVANT PAPERS |
|---|--|---|---|
| 2.3 Applications for Cooperating Non-Member status | <p><i>To assist TCC, a small working group provided an initial assessment of CNM applications against the requirements of CMM 09-11 (WCPFC-TCC7-2011-CNM Report Rev 1).</i></p> <p>TCC7 recommends that the Commission consider the following ten countries applications for CNM status in 2012, subject to the additional information identified by TCC7 being provided to, and accepted by, the Commission:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belize (para 345) • Democratic Peoples Republic of Korea (DPRK) (para 347) • Ecuador (para 349) • El Salvador (para 351) • Indonesia (para 353) • Mexico (para 355) • Panama (para 357) • Saint Kitts and Nevis (para 359) • Senegal (para 361) • Thailand (para 363) • Vietnam (para 365) | <p>Supplemental information has been provided by:</p> <p>Belize; Ecuador; El Salvador; and Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, and Senegal</p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011/10;</p> <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/37 (El Salvador)</p> |
| 8.2 Proposed WCPFC IUU Vessel List for 2012 | <p><i>TCC did not recommend any new vessels to be included on the WCPFC IUU list.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Senta (renamed Sun Fu Fa): TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 that Senta be removed from the WCPFC IUU List (para 67). • Fu Lien No 1: TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 that Fu Lien No 1 remain on the WCPFC IUU Vessel List for 2012 (para 70). • Neptune: TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 that Neptune remain on the WCPFC IUU List for 2012 (para 71). • Jinn Feng Tsair No. 1: TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 that pending a successful resolution of the matter between Chinese Taipei and FSM, the Jinn Feng Tsair No.1 remain on the WCPFC IUU List (para 75). • Yu Fong 168: TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 that the Yu Fong 168 remain on the WCPFC IUU List for 2012 (para 77). | | <p>WCPFC8-2011/18 Rev 1</p> |

| WCPFC8 AGENDA ITEM | TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i> | SECRETARIAT COMMENTS | RELEVANT PAPERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| 8.3.2 Report on Compliance Monitoring Scheme (CMS) | <p><i>Most CCMs had submitted their Part 1 and Part 2 reports in time, and 22 draft CMRs were available for TCC7.</i></p> <p>108. TCC7 agreed that for those CCMs for whom TCC7 has developed a Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report, CCMs may provide additional advice or information relating to the issues identified in the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report to the Secretariat intersessionally, including any steps taken to address identified compliance issues. The Secretariat will update the relevant section of the CCM's Draft Compliance Monitoring Report to include any such additional information, in order to enable the Commission to re-evaluate the CCM's implementation of the requirements identified in the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report and develop a final 2010 Compliance Monitoring Report at WCPFC8.</p> <p>109. TCC7 agreed that for those CCMs who submitted a 2010 Part 2 Report sufficiently after the deadline such that the Secretariat could not prepare a Draft Compliance Monitoring Report, TCC7 requests the Secretariat to prepare a Draft Compliance Monitoring Report for the CCM intersessionally, provide it to the CCM for comment, and make it available to all CCMs for review prior to WCPFC8.</p> <p>110. For those CCMs who have not submitted a 2010 Part 1 and/or Part 2 Annual Report at all, TCC7 requests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. These CCMs to provide all outstanding Annual Reports by 4 November 2011; ii. After 4 November 2011, the Secretariat to prepare a Draft Compliance Monitoring Report for the CCM using the Annual Part I and/or Part 2 Reports, if provided. If an Annual Part 1 and/or Part 2 report was not provided by 4 November 2011, TCC7 requests the Secretariat to prepare a Draft Compliance Monitoring Report using all information available. In either case, the Secretariat would provide the draft Compliance Monitoring Report to the CCM for comment, and make it available to all CCMs for review prior to WCPFC8. <p>111. TCC7 recommended that the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Report be forwarded to the Commission for consideration at WCPFC8, per paragraph 16 of CMM 2010-03.</p> | <p>9 draft CMRs were updated based on supplemental information provided after TCC7 by either the CCM or the Science Provider.</p> <p>12 new draft CMRs were developed by the Secretariat after TCC7 in accordance with paragraphs 109 and 110 of the TCC7 report.</p> <p>These documents are available on the secure side of the WCPFC website.</p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011/20; WCPFC8-2011-DP/06 (FFA);</p> <p>WCPFC-TCC7-2011-17-CMR-Provisional/01 Rev 1 (secure side of the WCPFC website)</p> |

| WCPFC8 AGENDA ITEM | TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i> | SECRETARIAT COMMENTS | RELEVANT PAPERS |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 8.3.4 Streamlined Part 2 Annual Reports | 99. TCC7 recommended that the deadline for submission by CCMs to the Commission for Part 2 Annual Reports be revised from “30 days prior to TCC” to 1 July each year. 104. TCC7 recommended the adoption of a streamlined Part 2 Annual Report template. There was strong support for implementation of a streamlined template in a web-based format subject to provision of funds for its development. | Updated version of streamlined Part 2 Annual Report template is presented for consideration. | WCPFC8-2011/22 |
| 8.4.1 Catch Attribution Study | <i>TCC7 received a consultancy report by GPA on Catch Attribution in the WCPFC, which described the range of catch attribution practices by Commission members. The report recommended the establishment of WCPFC catch attribution guidelines.</i> 249. TCC7 noted the catch attribution study (WCPFC-TCC7-2011/23) and recommended that it be referred to WCPFC8 for consideration. | | WCPFC8-2011/23 |
| 8.5.2 Draft Terms of Reference for Technical Advisory Group-ROP for approval | 202. TCC7 recommended that WCPFC8 form a Technical Advisory Group (TAG)-ROP to consider further procedures and guidelines for operation of the ROP given the requirements already specified in relevant Commission CMMs. The proposed terms of reference are given in Attachment G (of the TCC7 Report). | | WCPFC8-2011/25 |
| 8.6.2 Joint WCPFC/FFA Review of the WCPFC VMS | <i>TCC7 received the report of the consultancy study on the Joint WCPFC/FFA Review of VMS. A small working group chaired by the WCPFC Vice-Chair, Mr. Matt Hooper, developed commentary on the recommendations of the review.</i> 138. TCC7 recommends that the table in Attachment F (of TCC7 Report) be forwarded to WCPFC8 to be considered alongside the Joint WCPFC/FFA VMS Report. | | WCPFC8-2011/27; WCPFC8-2011/36 |
| 8.7 Record of Fishing Vessels- Standards, Specifications and Procedures | <i>TCC7 received a proposal for SSPs for RFV from the USA.</i> 281. TCC7 endorsed the RFV SSPs contained in WCPFC-TCC7-2011-DP/09 and recommended that they be forwarded for the consideration of WCPFC8. | | WCPFC8-2011/37 |

| WCPFC8 AGENDA ITEM | TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i> | SECRETARIAT COMMENTS | RELEVANT PAPERS |
|--|--|---|---|
| <p>8.8 (a): NZ Transshipment approval</p> <p>8.8 (b): Draft Transshipment Guidelines</p> | <p><i>New Zealand applied for a transshipment exemption under CMM 2009-06. In the past they had applied for a 1 year exemption, but at WCPFC7 it was suggested that the administrative burden could be reduced if an application were made for a 5 year exemption. The application was submitted late on 2 September 2011.</i></p> <p>387. TCC7 recommends to WCPFC8 that New Zealand be granted a one-year exemption from prohibition of at-sea transshipment under para. 26 of CMM 2009-06.</p> <p>333. TCC7 made no recommendation with regard to a review of CMM 2009-06. The Secretariat, per paragraph 37 of CMM 2009-06, prepared draft Guidelines for High Seas Transshipment from Fishing Vessels other than Purse Seine Vessels.</p> | | <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/02 (NZ)</p> <p>WCPFC-2011-IP/08</p> |
| <p>8.10 Programme of Work for the Technical and Compliance Committee in 2012-2014</p> | <p><i>The draft work plan for TCC developed by WCPFC Secretariat was discussed by TCC7. There were a range of suggested changes to the work plan, and discussion on whether the work plan should be “recommended to WCPFC8” or “adopted by TCC7”.</i></p> <p>378. TCC7 agreed to work intersessionally to finalise the TCC Work Plan for 2012-2014 for consideration by the Commission at WCPFC8.</p> | | <p>WCPFC8-2011/30; WCPFC8-2011-DP/08 (FFA)</p> |
| <p>9.3.2 CMM 2009-08 Charter Notification (due to expire in 2011, but was extended per intersessional decision)</p> | <p><i>There was general support for the charter notification scheme, and recognition that some of the catch attribution study recommendations should be considered to enhance the CMM.</i></p> <p>317. Noting the usefulness of the existing charter notification scheme, TCC7 recommends to WCPFC8 that the charter notification scheme (CMM 2009-08) be revised, taking into account the views of CCMs, and extended beyond 2011.</p> | | <p>WCPFC8-2011/33 Rev 1; WCPFC8-2011-DP/05 (FFA); WCPFC8-2011-DP/13 (USA)</p> |
| <p>10 AD HOC TASK GROUP- DATA - 10.1 Chartering State Data Access</p> | <p><i>The Commission had tasked the AHTG-Data to work intersessionally during 2011 on this matter. RMI submitted a proposal, and comments were received from EU and Chinese Taipei.</i></p> <p>252. TCC7 agreed to task the AHTG-Data with revisiting these issues in 2012 pending the outcome of discussions of the catch attribution study and the possible renewal of CMM 2009-08 by WCPFC8.</p> | <p>This item is linked to agenda item 9.3.4 (CMM 2009-08 Charter Notification).</p> | |

| WCPFC8 AGENDA ITEM | TCC7 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i> | SECRETARIAT COMMENTS | RELEVANT PAPERS |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| 11.1.1 WCPFC/IATTC Overlap Area | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Executive Director provided an update on the MOU for cross-endorsement of observers</i> • <i>TCC reviewed the report from the WCPFC/IATTC meeting in the margins of Kobe3 (WCPFC-TCC7-2011/28 Annex 2)</i> • <i>CCMs agreed that there was a critical need to resolve management issues relating to the overlap area, and discussed alternative ways for resolving management issues in the overlap area.</i> <p>268. TCC7 recommends that the WCPFC Chair send a letter inviting the IATTC Executive Director, Chair and Vice-Chair to meet with their WCPFC counterparts, possibly at WCPFC8, to progress this issue. The agenda of the meeting should include the drafting Terms of Reference for developing a cooperative management scheme for the WCPFC-IATTC overlap area.</p> | The paper by the secretariat (WCPFC8-2011/41 Rev 1) concentrates on options for managing the overlap area, for guidance from the Commission. | WCPFC8-2011/41 Rev 1 |
| 13.1 Election of Officers | 390. TCC7 recommended to WCPFC8 the nomination of Ms. Rhea Moss-Christian of FSM as the new chair of the TCC for TCC8 and TCC9. | | |
| 13.2 Venue for next meeting | <p><i>There was a request to have TCC8 a week earlier, but some conflicts with other WCPFC and other RFMO meeting commitments were noted.</i></p> <p>397. TCC7 proposed that TCC8 will be held in Pohnpei, FSM in 2012. The exact timing of the meeting will be agreed at WCPFC8.</p> | | |

Table 2. TCC7 Outcomes for consideration by the Commission under Agenda item 8.1 and other agenda items, as appropriate

| TOPIC | TCC7 Summary Report Recommendations | Relevant Papers |
|--|--|--|
| 3.3 Special Requirements of Developing States 3.3.1 CCM Reports on the Implementation of Article 30 of the Convention | 342. TCC7 noted the reports provided by the Cook Islands on behalf of FFA, the EU, the USA, Japan, Chinese Taipei, New Zealand and Australia. | |
| 5. COST RECOVERY REVIEW - 5.1 Cost Optimisation of Commission Operations | <i>TCC7 received a progress report on work by consultants for WCPFC8 on optimization of costs of Commission operations. TCC7 was invited to provide comments in advance of WCPFC8 for early consideration by the consultants.</i> | WCPFC8-2011/13 Rev 1 |
| 6.3 KOBE 3 Workshop Recommendations on Science and Bycatch | 288. TCC7 notes that the recommendations of the Kobe 3 Bycatch Mitigation Workshop will be considered at WCPFC8 | WCPFC8-2011/15 |
| 8.3.3 Report on Compliance Against Measures Not Covered in CMS | 118. TCC7 acknowledged the usefulness of compliance reporting under the format presented in WCPFC-TCC7-WP/17a and recommended that preparation of reports in this format by the Secretariat continue. CCMs were encouraged to carefully review the information contained in the report and provide any corrections to the Secretariat. | WCPFC8-2011/21 (Rev 2) |
| 8.5.1 Annual Regional Observer Programme Report | <i>TCC7 received the annual report of the ROP prepared by the Secretariat and discussed a broad range of matters raised in the paper and by Members. Audits of all ROP programmes are expected to be completed by June 2012.</i> 221. TCC7 notes that the ROP audits provide an opportunity for review of each CCM's plan to achieve the required level of observer coverage. | WCPFC8-2011/24 |
| 8.6.1 Annual Report of the Vessel Monitoring System | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>TCC considered the Annual Report on the VMS and discussed a number of matters highlighted by the Secretariat</i> • <i>Some CCMs suggested further information that the Secretariat could include in the VMS Annual Report, which would assist with reviewing and considering aspects of CMM 2007-02 and the SSPs.</i> <p>The Executive Director advised that the Secretariat will conduct a review of its data integrity and security standards and procedures and post the results of this review prior to WCPFC8 (para 163).</p> | WCPFC8-2011/26; WCPFC8-2011-IP/07 (SEC) |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 8.6.3 VMS Template Agreement | <p>168. TCC7 reviewed the draft VMS Template Agreement prepared by the Secretariat. Members worked throughout the meeting to reach agreement, but were unable to finalize the VMS Template Agreement.</p> <p>TCC7 recommended that the USA continue to work with CCMs intersessionally and requests that CCMs provide it with comments on the current draft of the Template Agreement (WCPFC-TCC7-2011-DP/18) by 5 November 2011. The USA will then prepare a revised version of the VMS Template Agreement, based on comments received, for consideration by the Commission at WCPFC8.</p> | WCPFC8-2011-DP/30 (USA); WCPFC8-2011-DP/10 (FFA), WCPFC8-2011-DP/40 (RMI) |
| 8.6.4 VMS ALC/MTU Template Checklist for Auditing Units | <p>158. TCC7 directed the Secretariat to prepare an ALC/MTU audit template and to include a field for ALC/MTU audits in the Part 2 Annual Report template.</p> | WCPFC8-2011/28 Rev 1 |
| 8.6.5 VMS SSP Bracketed text | <p>After some discussion at TCC7, majority and minority views could not be unified and text removing the brackets on the manual reporting requirements in the VMS SSPs could not be agreed. (para 224)</p> <p>226. Noting the importance of the issue, TCC7 encouraged CCMs to work intersessionally to bring a proposal to WCPFC8 regarding bracketed text in the SSPs.</p> | |
| 8.9 Kobe 3 outcomes: IUU Listings and Capacity | <p>277. TCC7 agreed to task the Secretariat with compiling IUU listing procedures from other RFMOs, and developing a matrix of similarities and differences in these procedures, as a basis for further discussions on IUU Vessel List harmonization at TCC8.</p> | WCPFC8-2011/29 |
| 9.2.1 Port State Measures | <p><i>TCC7 discussed the EU proposal for a CMM for Port State Measures. Some CCMs proposed that a gap analysis would ensure PSM are harmonised with existing CMMs, and are designed to enhance, and not duplicate, existing CMMs.</i></p> <p>244. TCC7 reiterated that PSM is a priority issue for the Commission and expressed a strong desire to continue working toward development of a CMM on this topic. CCMs were encouraged to provide any further comments to the EU by 7 November 2011 with a view to further consideration of the issue at WCPFC8.</p> | WCPFC8-2011-DP/07 (FFA); WCPFC8-2011-DP/26 (EU) and WCPFC8-2011-DP/27 (EU) |
| 9.2.3 Prohibition of Purse Seine Fishing Associated with Whale Sharks and Cetaceans | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Australia updated progress on developing proposed CMMs for WCPFC8</i> • <i>Best Practice guidelines for safe release of encircled animals are currently being progressed by the SC – EBM Theme Convenor, Mr Jone Amoe.</i> <p>306. TCC7 noted Australia’s proposal on whale sharks and cetaceans and encouraged CCMs to participate in the electronic discussion group being led by Mr Jone Amoe of Fiji with a view to presenting a fully considered proposal to WCPFC8.</p> | WCPFC8-2011-IP/01 (Rev 1) WCPFC8-2011-DP/15 (AUS) WCPFC8-2011-DP/16 (JAPAN) WCPFC8-2011-DP/17 (JAPAN) |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| 9.2.5 Implementation of an EEZ Entry and Exit Notification Scheme | <p><i>France introduced WCPFC7-TCC7-2011-DP/15 Rev 3 which presents a revised version of a proposal presented to WCPFC7 calling for implementation of an EEZ entry and exit notification scheme.</i></p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/19 (France)</p> |
| 9.2.6 Catch Documentation Scheme | <p><i>TCC7 received two proposals:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>TORs for the CDS working group, provided by PNG as coordinator of the intersessional CDS WG,</i> • <i>An EU proposal for a WCPFC CDS</i> <p>235. TCC7 noted the ongoing efforts of CCMs to agree a TOR for a working group on CDS but acknowledged that further inter-sessional consultation will be required to forward an agreed TOR to WCPFC8 for endorsement.</p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/04 (FFA); WCPFC8-2011-DP/28 (EU) and WCPFC8-2011-DP/29 (EU)</p> |
| 9.3 Review of CMMs | <p><i>TCC7 made no recommendation with regard to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>annual review of CMM 07-04 seabird mitigation measures (para 308)</i> • <i>annual review of CMM 08-03 sea turtle mitigation measures (para 309)</i> • <i>Swordfish CMM 09-03, noting there are no new stock assessment results (para 313)</i> • <i>North Pacific striped marlin CMM 10-01, noting that no new stock assessment results are currently available but noted that this will be completed in 2012 prior to SC8. Review of the North Pacific Striped Marlin measure (CMM 2010-01) should be undertaken once that stock assessment becomes available. (para 321)</i> <p><i>TCC7 received first report on Eastern High Seas Pocket Special Management Area (CMM 10-02), covering first two months since implementation (July 1 2011). TCC7 considered expansion of SMA to high seas within 100nm of EEZ boundaries of coastal States (CMM 2010-02 para 9).</i></p> <p>173. TCC7 noted there is no clear consensus on expansion of the Eastern High Seas Pocket Special Management Area to the high seas within 100 miles from the EEZ boundaries of coastal states.</p> <p><i>CMM 2008-08 on High Seas Boarding and Inspection requires members to report annually to the Commission on their activities and any possible violations observed. TCC7 received reports from: Members whose inspectors and/or inspection vessels had conducted boardings and inspections during 2010, and Members whose vessels had been boarded and inspected.</i></p> <p>332. TCC7 noted the reports by CCMs on HSB&I activities carried out under CMM 2006-08.</p> | |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| 9.3.3 CMM 2010-06 IUU Vessel List (para 15 and 25) | <p><i>Tonga provided a paper (TCC7-2010-DP/16 Rev1) in response to WCPFC5 and WCPFC7 directives.</i></p> <p>86. TCC7 agreed to establish an inter-sessional process to be led by the U.S. to produce draft guidelines on application of paragraphs 15 (c) and 25(e) to be further discussed by the Commission.</p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/21 (Kingdom of Tonga)</p> |
| 9.3.4 CMM 2010-03 Compliance Monitoring Scheme (Enhanced Compliance Monitoring Scheme and Refining CMM 2010-03) | <p><i>Australia presented TCC7-2011-DP/08 proposing a phased response to non-compliance.</i></p> <p>123. TCC7 requested that any further comments on the enhanced CMS be forwarded to Australia to allow for inter-sessional refinement of the proposal to be considered by WCPFC8.</p> <p><i>TCC7 reviewed CMM 2010-03 and discussed extending the measure (due to expire in 2011, but was extended per intersessional decision)) and possible refinements based on its trial operation in 2011.</i></p> <p>128. TCC7 requested that CCMs provide comments on refining CMM 2010-03 to Australia by 5 November 2011 so that Australia can prepare a proposal for consideration by WCPFC8.</p> | <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/34 WCPFC8-2011-DP/32</p> <p>WCPFC8-2011-DP/33</p> |