



**COMMISSION  
EIGHTH REGULAR SESSION**  
Koror, PALAU  
5-9 December 2011

---

**UPDATED STREAMLINING OF PART 2 ANNUAL REPORT**

---

**WCPFC8- 2011/22  
4 November 2011**

**Paper prepared by Secretariat**

**ISSUE:**

1. WCPFC7 directed the Secretariat to streamline the Part 2 Annual Report template. WCPFC-TCC7-2011/19 was presented to TCC7 and contained two different report template versions. TCC7 gave support for a web-based reporting format (Version 1) pending the necessary budget allocation and agreed that final comments on the template format from CCMs would be provided to the Secretariat for WCPFC8.
2. Intersessional inputs from some CCMs proposed significant editing of Version 1. Such changes would include expansion of the streamlined version for all CMMs and reporting requirements in the first year to establish an 'electronic' base year report for each CCM in year one. The following years would then allow for tailored report templates based on the answers provided in year one. This will require further intersessional work up to the proposed new reporting date for Part 2 Annual Reports of 1 July 2012.
3. Attachment A to this paper contains an updated Version 1 of the proposed web-based Streamlined Part 2 Annual Report, and includes minor editorial comments on Version 1 from some CCMs on the TCC7 presented format. The Streamlined Part 2 Annual Report is based on a few key principles:
  - a. CCMs are required and expected to report to the Commission in accordance with the CMMs at the times noted in the CMMs;
  - b. If CCMs have reported according to point 'a' then it is incumbent upon the Secretariat to be able to access that information and not necessary for CCMs to report it a second time via the Part 2 Annual Report;
  - c. Reporting requirements that provide no positive inputs to measuring compliance should not be in the Part 2 Annual Report.

4. The Streamlined Part 2 Annual Report will require programming costs and is linked to the Compliance Monitoring Scheme updates to electronic format using the Secretariat's Information Management System (IMS). The funds for this exercise are included in the TCC budget requirements for 2012.

**DECISIONS:**

5. WCPFC8 is invited to consider and approve:
- the web-based Part 2 Annual Report concept with intersessional work to accommodate the points made in Paragraph 2;
  - the budget allocation to commence design of the new electronic reporting format in 2012;
  - the new reporting date requirements for submission of Part 2 Annual Reports as 1 July each year.

*The following is a proposed revised Part 2 reporting template and would be similar to the format developed for web-based reporting through the Secretariat’s Information Management System (IMS).*

**2.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES**

**2005-03: NORTH PACIFIC ALBACORE (SIDS exemptions)**

<b>Paragraph 2: No increase in current (2002-2004) fishing effort by boats fishing for NP Albacore north of the Equator.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did any of CCM’s flagged vessels fish for NP Albacore north of the Equator?			
If yes, indicate the number of vessels:			

<b>Paragraph 3: Report all catches of North Pacific albacore to the WCPFC every six months for six month reporting periods (small coastal fisheries report annually).</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the CCM report all catches of North Pacific Albacore for the relevant reporting periods? (bi-annually or annually for small coastal fisheries)			
If annual catch estimates for North Pacific albacore catch have not been provided, please provide here.	<b>Catch of North Pacific Albacore:</b>		

<b>Paragraph 4: Report all catches of albacore north of the equator and all fishing effort north of the equator in fisheries directed at albacore, by gear type. Report catches in terms of weight.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did CCM’s report include aggregate effort data for the North Pacific Ocean?			
If no, report effort by gear type here.	<b>Effort for North Pacific Albacore:</b>		

**2006-04: STRIPED MARLIN (Southwest Pacific) (SIDS exemptions)**

<b>Paragraph 1: Limit the number of vessels fishing for striped marlin S of 15S to the highest level in any one year between 2000-2004, as reported to the Commission by 1 July 2007.</b>	<b>No. of Vessels</b>	<b>N/A</b>
How many CCM vessels fished for striped marlin S of 15S in the previous year?		

<b>Paragraph 4: Report annually the catch levels of vessels that have taken striped marlin as a bycatch and catch levels of vessels fishing for striped marlin in the Convention Area south of 15S.</b>	<b>Bycatch (mt)</b>	<b>Catch (mt)</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Report catch levels of vessels that have taken striped marlin as a bycatch in the Convention Area south of 15S:			
Report catch levels of vessels fishing for striped marlin in the Convention Area south of 15S:			

**2007-01: REGIONAL OBSERVER PROGRAMME**

<b>Paragraphs 10 and 14(vii): Explain duties to Captains and ensure that vessel operators comply with Guidelines in Annex B of CMM 2007-01</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did CCM implement any new laws, regulations or policies to implement the requirement that vessel operators and Captains must be made aware of observer's duties and responsibilities, and must comply with the provisions of Annex B of the CMM with respect to the rights and responsibilities of vessel operators, captains and vessel crew?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) adopted and attach copies of relevant document(s).			

**2007-04: SEABIRDS**

<b>Paragraphs 1 and 2: Implement the IPOA-Seabirds and report on implementation and status of NPOA-Seabirds, as appropriate.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the CCM adopt an NPOA-Seabirds or other measures to implement the IPOA-Seabirds?			
If yes, describe measures or attach relevant documentation.			

<b>Paragraph 1: To use two mitigation measures, at least one from Column A (side setting, bird curtain and weighted branch lines; night setting-minimum lights; Tori line; Weighted branch lines).</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Does the CCM require its LL vessels to use at least two mitigation measures (and at least one from Column A of Table 1 in the CMM) in areas south of 30 degrees South and north of 23 degrees North?			
If yes, describe how this requirement was met and monitored, or attach relevant documentation.			

<b>Paragraph 4: If the CCM made any changes to its required mitigation measures or technical specifications for those measures in the previous year, please describe the relevant change(s) or attach document(s).</b>

**2008-01: BIGEYE AND YELLOWFIN (SIDS exemptions)**

<b>Paragraph 9: Not undermine measure by transferring purse seine effort to areas N20N or S20S</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did any purse seine vessels fish in the area N20N or S20S in the previous calendar year?			
If yes, describe measures taken to prevent transferring of purse seine effort.			

Annual Report to the Commission – Draft Revised Part 2 Reporting Template (web-based)

PART 2. MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2011

<b>Paragraph 18: Implement compatible measures (to PNA 3IA) in non-PNA EEZs to reduce PS fishing mortality on BET</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the non-PNA member implement compatible measures to the PNA 3IA in its own EEZ?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) adopted or attach relevant document(s).			
<b>Paragraph 26: CCMs independently or collaboratively with industry, explore and evaluate mitigation measures for JBET and JYFT taken around FADs and present the results annually to the Commission (and also through the SC and TCC at each regular session).</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	
Has CCM conducted any research in the previous year with respect to mitigating impact of FADs on juvenile BET and YFT?			
<b>Paragraph 42: Prohibit landings, transshipment and commercial transactions from known IUU fishing activities.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM taken any measure(s) to prohibit landings, transshipment and commercial transactions resulting from known IUU fishing activities?			
If yes, describe measures taken.			
<b>Paragraph 43: Report on the outcomes of port monitoring activities of landings and transshipments to assess the amount of catch by species.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did CCM conduct any port monitoring activities of landings and/or transshipments?			
If yes, provide catch estimates collected, by species.			

**2008-03: SEA TURTLES (SIDS exemptions)**

<b>Paragraph 2: Report progress on implementation of FAO Guidelines and any information collected on interactions with sea turtles.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM adopted any measures to implement the FAO Guidelines to Reduce Sea Turtle Mortality in Fishing Operations and to ensure the safe handling of all captured sea turtles for all fisheries covered under the Convention in which the CCM is active?			
If yes, describe measure(s) adopted or attach relevant document(s).			
Has CCM required the collection of any information on sea turtle interactions by its flagged vessels fishing in the Convention Area?			
If yes, attach reports of any interactions and indicate whether data collected through ROP or other means.			

**2008-04: DRIFTNETS ON THE HIGH SEAS**

<b>Paragraph 2: Take all measures necessary to prohibit the use of large-scale driftnets on the high seas in the Convention Area</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM adopted any measures to prohibit its fishing vessels from using large-scale driftnets on the high seas in the Convention Area?			
If yes, describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).			
<b>Paragraph 5: Summary of MCS action related to large-scale driftnet fishing on the high seas in the Convention Area</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the CCM engage in any MCS action in the previous calendar year with respect to large-scale driftnet fishing on the high seas in the Convention Area?			
If yes, provide summary of MCS action.			

**2009-01: RECORD OF FISHING VESSELS (RFV)**

<b>Paragraph C.15: Members (or flag States) with vessels on the Commission RFV or the Interim Register to review their internal actions and measures taken with respect to vessels it has authorized to fish in the Convention Area under paragraph A.1, and report annually to the Commission.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the member or flag State conduct an internal review of actions and measures it has taken pursuant to Paragraph A.1 of this measure, in respect of its flagged vessels authorized and operating in the Convention Area?			
If yes, attach report.			

**2009-03: SWORDFISH (SIDS exemption)**

<b>Paragraph 3: Don't shift effort to areas N of 20S</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did any of the CCM's flagged vessels fish for swordfish in the southwest Pacific N of 20S in the previous calendar year?			
If yes, report catch and effort and identify which vessels fished N of 20S:			

**2009-05: DATA BUOYS**

<b>Paragraph 1: Prohibit vessels from fishing within 1nm of any data buoy in the high seas of the Convention Area</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM adopted any measures to ensure that its fishing vessels do not interact with or fish within 1nm of any data buoy in the high seas of the Convention Area?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).			

<b>Paragraph 3: Prohibit fishing vessels from taking on board any data buoy unless authorized or requested to do so by the Member or owner responsible for the buoy.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM adopted any measures to ensure its fishing vessels do not take on board any data buoy unless specifically authorized or requested to do so by the Member or owner responsible for the buoy?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).			

<b>Paragraph 5: Require vessels that become entangled with a data buoy to remove the entangled fishing gear with minimal damage to the data buoy. CCMs are encouraged to require their fishing vessels to report any incidents of entanglement, including identifying information for the data buoy and date, location and nature of the entanglement.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Has the CCM adopted any measures to require its fishing vessels that become entangled with a data buoy to record and report the incident and information on efforts to remove the entangled fishing gear with as little damage as possible to the data buoy?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).			

**2009-06: TRANSHIPMENT**

<b>Paragraph 11 requires CCMs to report on all transshipment activities covered by the Measure, including transshipment that occurs inside EEZs and in ports, and to use the guidelines for reporting contained in Annex II of the CMM</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>

Annual Report to the Commission – Draft Revised Part 2 Reporting Template (web-based)

PART 2. MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2011

Did any of CCM's vessels engage in transshipment activity anywhere in the Convention Area, or tranship fish caught in the Convention Area outside the Convention Area?			
Attach reports of all CCM vessel's transshipments in the Convention Area, using the information requirements contained in Annex II			

**2010-01: NORTH PACIFIC STRIPED MARLIN**

<b>Paragraph 8: Report on measures taken to implement the reductions in catch and the total catch taken against the established limits</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the CCM implement any measures to reduce their catch of NP Striped Marlin?			
If yes, describe the measure(s) taken or attach relevant document(s).			

**2010-05: SOUTH PACIFIC ALBACORE**

<b>Paragraph 4: Report annually the catch levels of fishing vessels that have taken South Pacific Albacore as a bycatch as well as the number and catch levels of vessels actively fishing for South Pacific albacore in the Convention area south of 20°S.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did any of CCM's vessels take South Pacific Albacore as a bycatch or a target catch in the Convention Area south of 20°S?			
If yes, indicate the catch amount and if target species, indicate the number of vessels actively fishing.	<b>Catch</b>	<b>No. of Vessels</b>	

**2010-07: SHARKS**

<b>Paras 1 and 2: Implementation of IPOA and if NPOA applicable, report status</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Did the CCM implement the FAO IPOA-Sharks in the previous calendar year?			
Did the CCM adopt an NPOA-Sharks in the previous calendar year?			
If no, indicate the status of development of an NPOA-Sharks:			

<b>Paragraph 4: Report retained and discarded shark catches in Part 2 Annual Report.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Does CCM have any retained and/or discarded shark catches to report for the previous calendar year?		
If yes, provide catch information	<b>Discards (mt)</b>	<b>Retained (mt)</b>



Annual Report to the Commission – Draft Revised Part 2 Reporting Template (web-based)

PART 2. MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2011

<b>Paragraph 6: Take measures to ensure fishers utilize all retained catches of shark</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Has CCM adopted any measures to require full utilization of retained shark catches?		
If yes, briefly describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).		

<b>Paragraph 7: Implement 5% fin-to-weight ratio</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Did CCM adopt any measures to implement the 5% fin-to-weight ratio requirement?		
If yes, briefly describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).		

<b>Paragraph 9: Take necessary measures to prohibit vessels from retaining, transshipping, landing or trading of fins harvested in contravention of this CMM</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Did CCM adopt any measures to control harvesting of shark fins?		
If yes, briefly describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).		

<b>Para 10: Take measures to encourage the release of live sharks that are caught incidentally and are not used for food or other purposes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Did CCM adopt any measures to encourage the live release of incidental shark catches?		
If yes, briefly describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).		

<b>Para 12: Report on implementation of this CMM and any <i>alternative measures</i> adopted pursuant to paragraph 11</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
Did CCM adopt any alternative measures (to those specified in the CMM) for the purpose of exploring, exploiting, conserving and managing sharks within areas of national jurisdiction?		
If yes, briefly describe measure(s) or attach relevant document(s).		

Report on CCM measures adopted for conservation and management of HMFS in areas under national jurisdiction (Art. 23(3))	Action taken
Brief summary of management methods used for Highly Migratory Fish Species (HMFS) in areas under national jurisdiction.	

*Note: CCMs should continue to provide information on measures (including updates) adopted for areas under national jurisdiction, for purposes of establishing a database of general information within the Commission and for informing CCMs whose vessels fish in areas under the national jurisdiction of other CCMs. In most cases, national legislation is public information and posted on websites, so reporting could simply be in the form of providing the appropriate link.*

## 2.2 MONITORING AND INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

The information is to be in a summarized form.

Activity	Frequency/Numbers/ % Coverage	Comment/Outcome/Results
Transshipment Inspections		
At-sea inspections		
Port inspections		
Monitoring of trade and domestic distribution of HMFS.		
Inspections of domestic-only vessels		
High seas boardings and inspections of flag vessels.		
VMS MTU audits		
MTU/ALC inspections		

## 2.3 SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES

The completion of this section should be in summarized form.

Activity	Frequency	Incidents/CMM Clause	Comment
Seagoing patrols			
Aerial surveillance			

**2.4 INVESTIGATIONS AND PROSECUTION ACTIVITY**

The completion of this section should be in summarized form to the level of detail that domestic requirements allow

Activity	Number	CMM Clause	Reason and summary outcome
Investigations			
Outcomes - penalties or other action			
- No further action			