

Agreed Workplan for FADMgmtOptionsIWG in 2016

Objective	Date	Responsibility
A. Develop FAD Research Plan		
A. 1. Further develop draft research plan	Jan – Feb 2016	Secretariat / SPC-OFP FADMgmtOptions-IWG Chair Vice-Chair
A. 2. Consider draft research plan	Aug 2016 (SC12) Oct 2016 (TCC12 and FADIWG)	CCMs, SC and TCC, FADMgmtOptionsIWG,
A. 3. Adoption of decision/s	Dec 2016 (WCPFC 13)	CCMs and Commission
B. TOR a. Collection of additional data on FADs and their use in WCPO fisheries		
B.1 Development of an initial list of FAD related data fields to be reported by vessel operators based on ROP minimum standard data fields, and the data fields (collected by other RFMOs).	Jan – Feb 2016	Secretariat / SPC-OFP
B.2 Consultancy considering the work by Secretariat/SPC-OFP and provides a cost-benefit analysis (see below)	Complete by June 2016	Secretariat (consultant)
B.3 Consider report of consultant and develop recommendations for WCPFC12	Aug 2016 (SC12) Oct 2016 (TCC12 and FADIWG)	CCMs, SC, TCC FADMgmtOptionsIWG
B.4 Adoption of decision/s	Dec 2016 (WCPFC 13)	CCMs and Commission
C. TOR b. FAD marking, and identification, and use of electronic signatures; TOR c. FAD monitoring, tracking and control TOR e. Advise on options for FAD marking and monitoring for WCPO wide application		
C.1 Consultancy (see below)	Complete by June 2016	Secretariat (consultant)
C.2 Consider report of consultant and develop recommendations for WCPFC12	Aug 2016 (SC12) Oct 2016 (TCC12 and FADIWG)	CCMs, SC, TCC FADMgmtOptionsIWG
C.3 Adoption of decision/s	Dec 2016 (WCPFC 13)	CCMs and Commission
D. TOR d. FAD Management Options		
D.1 Discussion paper on FAD Management Options	31 March 2016 (Intersessional)	FADMgmtOptions-IWG Chair
D.2 Review and submit comments on discussion paper	30 May 2016 (Intersessional)	CCMs, PNAO, FFA, Industry, SPC and NGOs
D.3 Revised discussion paper developed	June 30 2016	FADMgmtOptions-IWG Chair
D.3 Consider revised discussions paper	Aug 2016 (SC12) Oct 2016 (TCC12 and FADIWG) Dec 2016 (WCPFC13)	CCMs, SC and TCC, FADMgmtOptionsIWG, Commission

Draft Prospectus for obtaining consultant services to evaluate aspects related to Fish Aggregating Devices employed of fished upon in the Western and Central Pacific.

Purpose: The purpose of this contract is to provide a report to inform the WCPFC Commission and the relevant subsidiary bodies on aspects related to the use and monitoring of FADs deployed and encountered in the WCPO.

Objectives: Evaluate, based on as broad a spectrum of existing information sources, the need and viability of a common marking system for FADS deployed / encountered in the WCPO.

Provide a quantitative cost-benefit analysis of implementing a spectrum of FAD marking and monitoring systems for FADS deployed / encountered in the WCPO.

In completing the above respond to the following questions:

1. Is there any merit (e.g. a positive cost / benefit analysis) of establishing a manual FAD marking system for the specific purpose of enabling improved scientific data collection. (The analysis shall include administrative and business compliance costs)
2. If there is merit in establishing a manual FAD marking system what would be the most efficient way of implementing such as system?
(What are the design specifications and projected costs for implementing an effective manual FAD marking system?)
3. What would be proposed definitions for “FADs deployed” and “FADs encountered”, in any future data reporting by vessel operators?

[Other elements?]

Deliverable(s): A report shall be provided in draft to the Secretariat by the date designated below. The Secretariat will review the draft and provide comments within 30 calendar days. The consultant shall respond to all comments made and provide a final draft within 30 calendar days of receipt of the Secretariat draft review.

Timeframe: The draft report shall be provided by XX days after being informed by the Secretariat of initiation of the contract period. Under no circumstances shall the final report not be provided after July1, 2016.

Qualification(s) of a prospective consultant:

Estimated price range: This study is envisioned to be a “desk review” and significant travel is not anticipated. However, if after consultation with prospective bidders that travel should be incorporated – that modification shall be made by the Expectative Director. The anticipated cost is projected to be between X and Y.