

Pacific Community Communauté du Pacifique

Alternative CPUE-abundance dynamics in purse seine fisheries

SPC, OFP

November 2015





- Implement a non-linear CPUE to abundance relationship within MULTIFAN-CL
- Demonstrate the potential impact of hyper-stability in the context of potential TRPs for skipjack.
- Motivate some discussion on how this information could inform the TRP decision and future work on harvest control rules.







Non-linear CPUE-abundance relationship assumed for purse seine fleets only

All other gears retain a linear (proportional) relationship

Projections



- 20 year deterministic projections
- Future recruitment determined from mean of recent time series (2002:2011).
- Future fishing effort based on 2012 effort levels with scalers between 0.5 and 2.0.
- Effort scalers applied to all fleets
- Catchability determined from the assumed CPUE abundance relationship.
- Identify the effort scaler required to achieve TRP at the end of the 20 year projection period.

Results



TRP	Change in spawning	Change in effort from 2012 levels					
% SB _{F=0, 2002:2011}	biomass from 2012 levels	Zero	Moderate	Extreme			
60%	+22%	-39%	-36%	-32%			
50%	+2%	-5%	-4%	-4%			
40%	-18%	+49%	+44%	+35%			

Under status quo conditions (ie an effort scaler of 1.0) the stock is estimated to remain at 48% $SB_{F=0}$ throughout the projection period.

When hyper-stability exists, a smaller effort reduction is required to increase the stock to 60% and a smaller effort increase is required to reduce the stock to 40%

Results Dissemination https://ofp-sam.shinyapps.io/cpue-dynamics



	Hyperstability parameter		Summary	Summary Adult biomass						
	0 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.5	6.6 o.7	Days per Vessel	2012 a	verade va	lue = 180 d	avs			
8 P	NP		180				787			
			-	Pro	portiona	al	Non-proportional		onal	
28			TRP 40	Effort Scaler 1.49	Days 82294	# vessels 457	Effort Scaler 1.46	Days 80637	# vessels 448	
F (1)			TRP 50	0.95	52469	291	0.95	52469	291	
									NO. C.	
8-		SB/SB0 = 4	Conce 1.0 -	ptual CPUE	Abundar	nce Relati	onship			
			0.8 -		- Cram					
5 -		TC	- 3.0 CF			de la				
			P 04-	1 1	/					
			÷.	1 11/						

Discussion Points



Specific issues regarding purse seine dynamics

- Given the results, have you revised your opinion on what should be an appropriate TRP for skipjack?
- How useful are tools such as the R-shiny application for disseminating the results of such analyses?

Broader issues regarding the application of the harvest strategy approach

 How can we develop management systems (eg harvest control rules) that are robust to the potential impact of phenomena such as effort creep and hyper-stability?









