



**TECHNICAL AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE**  
**Eleventh Regular Session**  
23 - 29 September 2015  
Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia

---

**DRAFT CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURE ON A TARGET  
REFERENCE POINT FOR WCPO SKIPJACK TUNA**

---

**WCPFC-TCC11-2015-DP04**  
**14 September 2015**

**Paper by the Parties to the Nauru Agreement (PNA)**



**Parties to the Nauru Agreement**  
PNA Office - P.O. Box 3992  
Majuro, Marshall Islands (MH) 96960  
Phone: (692) 625-7626/7627 Fax: (692) 625-7628

---

9 September 2015

Mr. Feleti Teo  
Executive Director  
Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission  
PO Box 2356  
Kolonia Pohnpei State, 96941  
Federated States of Micronesia

Dear Feleti,

**Re: CMM on a Target Reference Point for Skipjack Tuna**

I write in my capacity as the Chair of the Parties to the Nauru Agreement on behalf of the 8 members of the PNA, and Tokelau.

Please find attached a draft Conservation and Management Measure on a Target Reference Point for Skipjack Tuna, prepared by PNA members and Tokelau for distribution as a Delegation Paper to TCC 11.

The draft CMM has been revised from an earlier version, that was presented to TCC10 and subsequently to WCPFC11, to take into account comments made by Commission members at WCPFC11 and by members of the Scientific Committee at SC11 held recently. The paper also assesses the draft CMM against the requirements of CMM 2013-06.

We look forward to discussing the proposal with other delegations to TCC11 and that the TCC will facilitate consideration of the draft CMM for WCPFC12.

Yours Sincerely,

Eugene Pangelinan (Mr.)  
Chair, Parties to the Nauru Agreement

## **DRAFT CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURE ON A TARGET REFERENCE POINT FOR WCPO SKIPJACK TUNA**

### **Abstract**

This paper explains the basis for a PNA proposal to adopt 50 per cent of the estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing as a Target Reference Point for WCPO skipjack. A draft CMM for this purpose is attached.

The draft CMM responds to the advice from the Scientific Committee advocating for the adoption of a TRP and Harvest Control Rules for skipjack. The draft CMM was presented to TCC10 and subsequently to WCPFC11 but was not adopted. It has been revised to take into account comments made by Commission members at WCPFC11 and by members of the Scientific Committee at SC11 recently.

The paper also assesses the draft CMM against the requirements of CMM 2013-06 on the Criteria for the Consideration of Conservation and Management Proposals.

PNA welcomes comments and suggestions from TCC members before submitting the draft CMM for consideration at WCPFC12.

### **Introduction**

1. The WCPO skipjack stock is a critically important stock for global tuna canning supplies, other commercial tuna products and small-scale, artisanal and subsistence fisheries. The effective management and sustainable use of this stock is important both to the peoples of the states in whose waters this stock occurs and to the fleets that harvest them. The stock is especially important for SIDS, for some of whom this stock is the natural resource on which their sustainable development most heavily depends.
2. Establishing a Target Reference Point (TRP) for this stock is an important step in the development of a Harvest Strategy to ensure the effective management and sustainable use of this stock. In this respect, the proposed CMM is also an important step in the implementation of CMM 2014-06 on establishing a harvest strategy for key fisheries and stocks in the WCPO.
3. The draft CMM responds to the decision of WCPFC10 to consider and adopt a TRP for skipjack at WCPFC11, and the advice from SC10 advocating for the adoption of a TRP and Harvest Control Rules for skipjack. The analytical basis for the proposal was presented to SC10 (SPC and PNA, 2014). The draft CMM was presented to WCPFC11 but was not adopted, largely because of opposition from a Commission Member which proposed an alternative and higher TRP. The draft has been revised, as indicated, to take into account comments made by Commission members at WCPFC11.

4. PNA Members have adopted the TRP proposed in the draft CMM, as an interim skipjack TRP for the purpose of developing HCRs. PNA welcomes comments and suggestions from Scientific Committee members, before submitting the draft CMM for consideration at WCPFC12.

**Background**

5. In article 5 (c) of the Convention, members of the Commission have committed to apply the precautionary approach, in accordance with the Convention and all relevant internationally agreed standards and recommended practices and procedures.
6. Annex II of the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea of December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks (UNFSA) sets out guidelines for the application of precautionary reference points in the conservation and management of straddling fish stocks and highly migratory fish stocks.
7. Article 6 1. (a) of the Convention requires members of the Commission, in their application of the precautionary approach, to apply these guidelines and determine, on the basis of the best scientific information available, stock-specific reference points and the action to be taken if they are exceeded.
8. At its 8<sup>th</sup> Annual Session, the Commission adopted a hierarchical approach to identifying the Limit Reference Points for the key target species in the WCPFC as follows:

Level	Condition	LRPs
Level 1	A reliable estimate of steepness is available	$F_{MSY}$ and $B_{MSY}$
Level 2	Steepness is not known well, if at all, but the key biological (natural mortality, maturity) and fishery (selectivity) variables are reasonably well estimated.	$F_{X\%SPR_0}$ and either $20\%SB_0$ or $20\%SB_{current, F=0}$
Level 3	The key biological and fishery variables are not well estimated or understood.	$20\%SB_0$ or $20\%SB_{current, F=0}$

9. At its 9th Annual Session, the Commission decided that Limit Reference Points for bigeye, yellowfin and South Pacific albacore shall be set at Level 2 with regard to the biomass-based LRP of 20% of recent spawning biomass in the absence of fishing averaged over a recent time window ( $SB_{recent, F=0}$ ), with further work to be undertaken on fishing mortality-based LRPs for these stocks. The Commission also decided to set the LRP for skipjack at Level 3,  $20\%SB_{recent, F=0}$ .
10. At its 10<sup>th</sup> Annual Session, the Commission decided that the time window for estimation of the spawning biomass in the absence of fishing should have a length of 10 years, and be based on the last ten years used in the assessment. WCPFC10 also agreed on a programme

of work to be undertaken to inform the Commission's consideration and adoption of a TRP and Harvest Control Rule for skipjack tuna at its session in 2014, including evaluating skipjack stock status against candidate TRPs of 40%, 50% and 60% of spawning stock size in the absence of fishing.

11. In response, SC10 advised that the spawning biomass of skipjack tuna is now around the mid-point of the range of candidate TRPs of 40%, 50%, and 60% of estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing that WCPFC10 had asked the Committee to consider as candidate TRPs for skipjack.
12. Analyses of the implications of the proposed skipjack TRP were presented to SC10 using stochastic stock projections for 30 years and the 2011 skipjack assessment of skipjack, and the base case from the 2014 assessment. Subsequently, additional analyses have been undertaken using the axes of uncertainties and associated weighting included in the structural grid of assessment runs recommended by SC10. This includes the work requested by the Commission to inform consideration of a skipjack TRP by WCPFC11. Key results include that a TRP of 50% of estimated average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing over the years 2002 to 2011 ( $SB_{F=0,2002-2011}$ ):
  - a) is sufficiently distant from the LRP to ensure the population does not fall below the LRP with a high degree of certainty;
  - b) is consistent with broadly maintaining the recent patterns of fishing, including effort levels;
  - c) is projected to result in spawning biomass increasing slightly from 2012 levels;
  - d) suggests purse seine catch rates are likely to remain at around current levels; and
  - e) is projected to provide a "pretty good yield" of around 90% of that at MSY.
13. The earlier analysis also indicated that, with the proposed TRP, both the estimated catch value per day and catch value per tonne in 30 years were projected to be comparable to recent levels.
14. By comparison, as shown in the table below, to achieve a target of 60% of estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing ( $60\%SB_{F=0}$ ), effort would need to be reduced by 33% from 2012 levels, spawning biomass would increase by 22% and equilibrium yield would be 76% of MSY. On a similar basis, to achieve the lower target of  $40\%SB_{F=0}$ , effort would need to be increased by 50% from 2012 levels, spawning biomass would fall by 18%, and equilibrium yield would be very close to MSY. However, these results are subject to a large degree of uncertainty because the relationship between CPUE and abundance in the purse seine fishery is poorly understood.

Depletion level (%SBF=0)		Change in spawning biomass from 2012 levels	Change in effort from 2012 levels	Equilibrium yield (%MSY)		Mean size of fish (cm)*	F/FMSY	
Median	Range (10- 90 <sup>th</sup> %ile)			Median	Range (10- 90 <sup>th</sup> %ile)		Median	Range (10- 90 <sup>th</sup> %ile)
60%	0.53-0.69%	+22%	-33%	76%	68%-87%	54	0.40	0.29-0.56
50%	0.42-0.58%	+2%	0	90%	81%-98%	53	0.57	0.41-0.80
40%	0.32-0.47%	-18%	+50%	98%	92%-100%	52	0.79	0.57-1.10

- In the free school fishery in the Western Equatorial region

15. At its 11<sup>th</sup> Annual Session, the Commission adopted CMM 2014-06, establishing a harvest strategy for key fisheries and stocks in the WCPO.

### Approach

16. The draft CMM proposes 50 per cent of the estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing,  $SB_{F=0,t1-t2}$ , as a TRP for WCPO skipjack, where the recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing is to be estimated in the same manner as decided by the Commission for the LRP for skipjack, i.e.

- have a length of 10 years, based on the last ten years used in the most recent assessment, i.e.  $t1=y_{last}-10$  to  $t2=y_{last}-1$  where  $y_{last}$  is the last year used in the assessment; and
- be based on the most recent skipjack assessment model estimates of recruitment that have been adjusted to reflect conditions without fishing according to the stock recruitment relationship.

17. This proposed TRP fits with the adoption of:

- the hierarchical approach to the identification of limit reference points adopted by WCPFC8;
- the adoption by WCPFC9 of a biomass-based LRP for skipjack of 20% of estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing; and
- the results of the analyses called for by WCPFC10 to inform the Commission's consideration and adoption of a TRP for skipjack tuna at WCPFC11.

18. It is also consistent with SC10 advice, maintained by SC11, for the Commission to take action to avoid further increases in skipjack fishing mortality, including tighter purse seine effort limits, and to keep the skipjack stock around the current levels.

19. The proposed TRP also meets a range of objectives identified for the management of the skipjack stock and fisheries upon it, including:

- resource sustainability, noting that this TRP is sufficiently distant from the LRP to ensure the population does not fall below the LRP and is well above  $SB_{MSY}$ , with  $SB_{MSY}$  estimated at  $0.26SB_{F=0,2002-2011}$ ;

- b) economic goals, noting the current profitable performance of the major fisheries for skipjack, and that this TRP is designed to maintain the volume, size composition and value of catches in real terms at around the current levels;
- c) promoting stable conditions in the fisheries for skipjack by maintaining the volume, size composition and value of catches at around the current levels;
- d) being risk adverse, noting the substantial uncertainty about projected outcomes from changes in effort levels significantly distant from current effort levels, because of the poor understanding of the relationship between CPUE and abundance in the purse seine fishery;
- e) avoiding additional impacts on artisanal fisheries for skipjack, and additional spatial impacts, including on fisheries for skipjack at higher latitudes; and
- f) avoiding additional impacts on other target stocks and non-target species, noting in particular that the proposed TRP is fully consistent with the Commission's strategy for removing overfishing of bigeye as set out in CMM 2013-01 from balanced reductions in fishing mortality from the longline and purse seine fisheries, including by limiting overall purse seine effort to recent levels, and reducing FAD fishery effort appropriately. In addition, yellowfin spawning biomass under a harvest strategy consistent with the proposed skipjack TRP, is projected to increase slightly from the 2012 level, and would be expected to remain well above the LRP with high certainty (SPC, 2014).

20. The proposed TRP is expected to require moderate reductions in purse seine effort over time to adjust for effort creep.
21. The adoption of the proposed TRP will also provide a basis, with the adopted LRP for skipjack, for the Commission to proceed with the development of harvest control rules related to skipjack.
22. The proposed TRP compares responsibly with the decisions of other tuna RFMOs, including
- a) the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, which has adopted  $B_{MSY}$  as an interim TRP for skipjack and other key tuna species;
  - b) the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, which implicitly uses  $B_{MSY}$  as a TRP for skipjack; and
  - c) the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, which has not yet adopted a TRP for skipjack

In this respect, the adoption of the proposed TRP for WCPO skipjack will set a new global standard for responsible management of sustainable tuna fisheries in a way that adds economic value to the WCPO skipjack tuna stock.

## **Application of CMM 2013-06**

23. The following information is offered to assist the Commission to meet the requirements of CMM 2013-06 in respect of this draft CMM.

**a. Who is required to implement the proposal?**

All CCMs will be required to implement this proposal in their cooperation to establish a harvest strategy for skipjack tuna. However it should be noted that those CCMs who are Parties to the Palau Arrangement bear a large proportion of the effort of implementation through the setting of the Total Allowable Effort under the Vessel Day Scheme.

**b. Which CCMs would this proposal impact and in what way(s) and what proportion?**

This proposal will have an impact on all CCMs involved in fisheries for WCPO skipjack, and all CCMs participating in the Commission's cooperative effort to establish a harvest strategy for skipjack. The impact will be greatest on SIDS in whose waters fishing for skipjack largely takes place, and who are, in many cases, substantially dependent on fisheries targeting skipjack for their sustainable development. The impact on SIDS will depend on how the Commission applies the TRP, noting the importance of the Commission giving full recognition to the special requirements of SIDS, in the application of the TRP.

**c. Are there linkages with other proposals or instruments in other regional fisheries management organizations or international organizations that reduce the burden of implementation?**

Yes, there are linkages to the process of setting of the TAE for the purse seine VDS under the Palau Arrangement implemented by the PNA, which is a measure applied by a subregional fisheries management organization under Article 8 of the Convention.

**d. Does the proposal affect development opportunities for SIDS?**

The proposal should not adversely affect SIDS domestic access to resources and development aspirations. The proposal will contribute to effective management and conservation of the WCPO skipjack stock which should promote development of sustainable domestic fisheries for skipjack to the benefit of SIDS.

**e. Does the proposal affect SIDS domestic access to resources and development aspirations?**

Yes, in some respects, because the proposal may limit yields of skipjack below the optimum level of utilization of skipjack, but, in general, it will enhance development opportunities for SIDS through the application of the precautionary approach, including the adoption of limit and TRPs, to improve the effectiveness of the management and conservation of the WCPO skipjack stock. Properly applied, the proposed skipjack TRP will contribute to maintaining and increasing the value of fisheries for skipjack, including

the artisanal and purse seine fisheries. In particular, a substantial part of the increased value of the purse seine fishery is expected to be captured by the Vessel Day Scheme, which provides substantial benefits to many SIDS. It is expected that SIDS will benefit from this measure and there will be no disproportionate burden of conservation action transferred on to SIDS.

**f. What resources, including financial and human capacity, are needed by SIDS to implement the proposal?**

SIDS will need assistance in the further development and application of the precautionary approach to the conservation and management of skipjack tuna, including the application of the TRP that is adopted. This is a recognised priority, with assistance already being provided by the SPC, FFA, the PNAO and the WCPFC, through a range of workshops and technical advisory activities, including the WCPFC Management Objectives Workshops, supported also by Australia, GEF, Pew, WWF and the World Bank. Work in this area will need to continue to be recognised as a priority.

**g. What mitigation measures are included in the proposal?**

Not applicable

**h. What assistance mechanisms and associated timeframe, including training and financial support, are included in the proposal to avoid a disproportionate burden on SIDS?**

Current and projected programmes of assistance are expected to meet the needs for training and technical assistance, provided the current priority is maintained.

## References

SPC, Oceanic Fisheries Programme (OFP) and the Parties to the Nauru Agreement (PNA) 2014. Assessing a candidate target reference point for skipjack tuna consistent with PNA management Objectives. WCPFC-SC10-2014/MI-WP-09, Majuro, Republic of the Marshall Islands, 6–14 August 2014.

SPC, Oceanic Fisheries Programme (OFP) 2014. Multi-Species Implications of Reference Points: What Might a Target Reference Point of 50%SBF=0 for Skipjack Tuna Mean for Bigeye and Yellowfin Tuna. WCPFC-MOW3-WP/05, Apia, Samoa, 28 November 2014.

## **DRAFT CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURE ON A TARGET REFERENCE POINT FOR WCPO SKIPJACK TUNA<sup>1</sup>**

*The Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC):*

**Recalling** that the objective of the Convention on the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (the Convention) is to ensure through effective management, the long-term conservation and sustainable use of the highly migratory fish stocks of the Western and Central Pacific Ocean in accordance with the 1982 Convention and the Agreement;

**Recalling** that Annex II of the Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea of December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks (UNFSA) sets out guidelines for the application of precautionary reference points in conservation and management of straddling fish stocks and highly migratory fish stocks

**Recalling also** that in article 5 (c) of the Convention, members of the Commission have committed to apply the precautionary approach in accordance with the Convention and all relevant internationally agreed standards and recommended practices and procedures,

**Further recalling** that Article 6 1 (a) requires members of the Commission in their application of the precautionary approach to apply the guidelines set out in Annex II of the Agreement and determine, on the basis of the best scientific information available, stock-specific reference points and the action to be taken if they are exceeded;

~~**Noting the importance of the adoption of Limit Reference Points and Target Reference Points for certification of WCPO tuna fisheries as sustainable;**~~

**Noting that the Commission has adopted a Conservation and Management Measure on Establishing a Harvest Strategy for Key Fisheries and Stocks in The Western And Central Pacific Ocean;**

**Desiring** to make progress on the development of a harvest strategy for fisheries for WCPO skipjack tuna through the adoption of Target Reference Point for this stock;

---

<sup>1</sup> The revisions in the text take into account comments made by Commission members at WCPFC11 and by Scientific Committee members at SC11.

*Adopts*, in accordance with Article 10 of the Convention, the following conservation and management measure on a target reference point for WCPO skipjack tuna.

1. The target reference point for the WCPO skipjack tuna stock shall be 50 per cent of the estimated recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing, ( $SB_{F=0,t1-t2}$ ).
2. The time window to be used in estimating the recent average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing shall be the same as that adopted by the Commission for the limit reference point for WCPO skipjack tuna, i.e.
  - a) have a length of 10 years;
  - b) be based on the last ten years used in the most recent skipjack stock assessment, i.e.  $t1=y_{last}-10$  to  $t2=y_{last}-1$  where  $y_{last}$  is the last year used in the assessment; and
  - c) be based on the most recent skipjack stock assessment model estimates of recruitment that have been adjusted to reflect conditions without fishing according to the stock recruitment relationship.
3. ~~Until the next skipjack stock assessment, the target reference point value shall be 50% of estimated average spawning biomass in the absence of fishing over the years 2002 to 2011 ( $SB_{F=0,2002-2011}$ ).~~ This target reference point shall be an interim target reference point until it is reviewed in accordance with paragraph 7 below.
4. Conservation and management measures, adopted by the Commission, shall aim at maintaining the WCPO skipjack tuna stock at the target reference point level on average.
5. The Scientific Committee shall apply refer to the target reference point in its assessment of the status of the WCPO skipjack tuna stock and in reporting to the Commission on management advice and implications for this stock.
6. ~~At its 12<sup>th</sup> annual session, the Commission shall consider advice from the Scientific Committee and the Technical and Compliance Committee on the formulation of harvest control rules and a harvest strategy for fisheries targeting WCPO skipjack in accordance with CMM 2014/xx<sup>2</sup>~~ Harvest control rules shall be designed such that the management control to be implemented would result in the biomass-based TRP being achieved on average in the long term, taking account of uncertainty.
7. The target reference point may be reviewed by the Commission any time relevant new information is made available, such as any time a new stock assessment is prepared. The

---

<sup>2</sup> ~~This reference is to the proposal by Australia for a CMM on Establishing a Harvest Strategy for key tuna species in the WCPO.~~

Commission shall pay particular attention to any future recommendations of the SC relating to the target reference point with respect to spatial impacts of fishing on the stock. The Target Reference point may be reviewed by the Commission, if appropriate, following the next full assessment of the skipjack stock.