

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE ELEVENTH REGULAR SESSION

Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia 5-13 August 2015

Marine pollution originating from purse seine fishing vessel operations in the Western and Central Pacific region, 2004-2014

WCPFC-SC11-2015 ST-IP-05

Kelsey Richardson¹, Anthony Talouli¹, Michael Donoghue¹ and David Haynes¹

¹ Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), Apia, Samoa

Marine pollution originating from purse seine fishing vessel operations in the Western and Central Pacific region, 2004-2014

Kelsey Richardson^{1,2}, Anthony Talouli¹, Michael Donoghue¹ and David Haynes¹, 17 July 2015

Abstract

This report examines more than ten years of collected data on more than 8,000 pollution incidents by purse seine vessels within the Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) of 18 Pacific island countries and territories, and in international waters. The report finds that 69% of the reported pollution incidents related to Waste Dumped Overboard; 18% to Oil Spillages and Leakages; and 13% to Abandoned, Lost, or Dumped Fishing Gear. When the category "Waste Dumped" was examined further, Plastics were found to make up the largest portion of total pollution incidents (36%). Only 4% of the incidents occurred in International Waters, while the rest occurred in the EEZs of Papua New Guinea (52%), the Federated States of Micronesia (12%), Kiribati (10%), Solomon Islands (7%), Marshall Islands (6%), Nauru (4%), and 12 other Pacific island countries and territories.

While based on limited data, the report finds evidence that pollution from fishing vessels, particularly purse seine vessels, in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean is a serious problem and highlights the need for three initiatives: 1) increased monitoring, reporting, and enforcement of pollution violations by all types of fishing vessels, especially longliners, which currently have a very low (5%) mandatory observer coverage; 2) a regional outreach and compliance assistance programme on marine pollution prevention for fishing vessel crews, business operators and managers; and 3) improvements in Pacific port waste reception facilities to enable them to receive fishing vessel wastes on shore.

I. Introduction

Marine pollution is emerging as a significant global problem, and studies around the world caution that the problem is growing (Jambeck et. al. 2015, Katsanevakis 2008, Barnes et. al. 2009). While land-based sources of marine pollution receive the largest share of attention, there is increasing concern about ocean-based sources of marine pollution (UNEP/CMS 2014, Macfadyen et. al. 2009, Øhlenschlæger et. al. 2013). The Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) recently investigated marine pollution incidents reported by fisheries observers over the past ten years. While based on a limited selection of data from mostly purse seine vessels, the information examined in this report suggests that fishing vessels are responsible for considerable amounts of marine pollution in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. Action is urgently needed to curb this behavior.

This report provides the first consistent and substantive documented evidence about the nature and extent of ocean-based marine pollution in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. The report analyzes more than ten years of collected data on pollution incidents by fishing vessels. These incidents were all reported by regional fisheries observers through use of the Secretariat of the Pacific Commission/Pacific Islands Foreign Fisheries Agency (SPC/FFA) Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6.

¹ Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), Apia, Samoa

² Corresponding Author <u>kelseyr@sprep.org</u>

The pollution reports are overwhelmingly biased to the purse seine fishery, due to high levels of observer coverage in the fishery, which is mandated by the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC). Prior to 2009, observer coverage for the purse seine fishery was around 5-10%, increased to 20% in 2009, and to 100% required coverage from 2010 to the present (P. Williams, personal communication, March 18, 2015, WCPFC, 2009). Consequently, only pollution reports by fisheries observers aboard purse seine vessels and support vessels were further analyzed in this report.

The report is structured in seven sections. Section II provides a background on ocean-based marine pollution. Section III describes the history and structure of the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6. Section IV describes and analyzes the pollution report data, including types, quantities and locations of pollution events. Section V importantly highlights that pollution incidents by fishing vessels are not isolated to the purse seine fishery, but there is limited information and data for pollution activities by other fisheries due to extremely low to no observer coverage in other fisheries. Thus, the pollution data analyzed in this report likely represents only a portion or snapshot of total pollution incidents by fishing vessels throughout the region. Section VI addresses the need for revisions and updates to the current version of the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6, particularly the need for updates that more clearly communicate revisions to MARPOL Annex V which entered into force in 2013. Section VII concludes the report and provides recommendations designed for a variety of stakeholders and policymakers to reduce incidents of marine pollution by fishing vessels in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. The report ends with suggestions for further data analysis and research.

II. Background – Ocean-based Marine Litter

Marine pollution, particularly in the form of marine litter, is an intergenerational and global environmental problem with lasting detrimental impacts on ocean and coastal environments, wildlife, economies, and ecosystems. The global environmental community continues to recognize marine plastic and microplastic pollution as priority issues of concern where more work is needed to decrease pollution amounts and sources and mitigate negative impacts (UNEA 2014, G7 Summit 2015, UNGA 2015). In the Pacific region, marine pollution impacts to coastal communities can be especially acute and close to home given the reliance of Pacific island countries on marine ecosystems and associated services within their extensive EEZs and beyond. As has frequently been noted by many Pacific island leaders, these may be small island economies, but they constitute Large Ocean States (UNDP 2014, Brownjohn 2014, Jumeau 2013).

Impacts from marine litter include³ entanglement of marine wildlife by abandoned, lost or discarded fishing gear (ALDFG);⁴ ingestion of marine litter by wildlife with potential for associated toxic chemical transfers;⁵ introduction of invasive species through use of marine litter as rafting habitats;⁶ negative

³ The impacts of marine litter have been extensively researched since the late 1990s. Key studies include:

⁴ Entanglement: (World Animal Protection 2014, Macfayden et. al. 2009, Coe, Rogers, Laist 1997);

⁵ Ingestion: (Coe, Rogers, Laist 1997, Rochmann et. al. 2013, Van Cauwenberghe and Janssen 2014, Romeo et. al. 2015);

⁶ Introduction of invasive species: (Barnes 2002, Coe et. al. 1997, Zettler et. al. 2013);

impacts to tourism and fishing dependent economies;⁷ hazards to navigation and safety at sea through fouling of propellers and collisions with debris;⁸ damage to important and fragile coastal ecosystems such as coral reefs and mangroves;⁹ smothering of deep sea benthic habitats¹⁰ and high financial costs of cleanup to coastal communities.¹¹

Marine litter originates from both land and ocean-based sources. The bulk of marine litter is understood to originate from land-based sources, commonly estimated at 80% (GESAMP, 1991). Plastics are estimated to make up 50 to 80% of total marine litter (Barnes et. al., 2009). However, the data is variable and there are still information gaps about total land and ocean-based inputs of marine litter (Jambeck et. al. 2015, Watkins et. al. 2015, Øhlenschlæger et. al. 2013). Recent studies have attempted to quantify the amount of plastic waste inputs from land-based sources (Jambeck et. al. 2015), but little is known, particularly for the Western and Central Pacific region, about how much marine debris originates from ocean-based sources. Globally it's assumed that only around 27 percent of all ship wastes are delivered to reception facilities, with the majority of the rest either dumped or incinerated (Øhlenschlæger et. al. 2013). While historically dumping or accidental discharge of oil and bilge water were priority concerns for ship-generated waste (SGW), today there are increasing and wider concerns about impacts to marine ecosystems from other types of waste from ships including, importantly, litter (Øhlenschlæger et. al. 2013).

The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) is the strongest and most important international regulation to prevent sea-based sources of pollution, including pollution of oil (Annex I) and garbage (Annex V), arising from operational or accidental causes (IMO MARPOL, 2015). Despite these regulations, there is limited actual monitoring of MARPOL, and, consequently, little information exists about illegal pollution activities by vessels at sea. One study in Australia did find that in 1992 and 1993, at least one-third of fishing vessels with onboard observers did not comply with MARPOL regulations prohibiting the dumping of plastics overboard (Jones, 1995). Of the 14 Pacific island countries who are SPREP members, 11 are Contracting Parties to MARPOL Annexes I/II and V, and therefore have specific responsibilities to implement this important treaty to prevent pollution from ships, particularly in the forms of oil and garbage (IMO Status of Conventions, 2015)¹².

III. Background – SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6

History of the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6

⁷ Negative impacts to tourism and fishing dependent economies: (Hall 2000, Nash 1992, Balance et. al. 2000, Leggett et. al. 2014, IMO 2015, UNEP 2009);

⁸ Costs to vessels: (Hall 2000, UNEP 2009);

⁹ Destruction to important and fragile ecosystems: (Hall et. al. 2015, Donohue et. al. 2001, Bardi and Mann 2004);

¹⁰ Smothering: (Gregory 2009, Schlining et. al. 2013); and

¹¹ High financial costs of cleanup: (Balance et. al. 2000, Leggett et. al. 2014, UNEP 2014).

¹² These 11 countries include Cook Islands, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu. Of the 14 SPREP member Pacific island countries, only Fiji, Federated States of Micronesia and Nauru are not Contracting Parties to MARPOL Annex I/II and V (IMO Status of Conventions, 2015). Fiji, however, has draft legislation in place for MARPOL Annexes I, II, IV and V.

At the fourth SPC/FFA Tuna Fisheries Data Collection Committee in December 2000, SPREP submitted a request for fisheries observers to collect information on marine pollution. This resulted in the creation of the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6. Form GEN-6 was designed by SPREP in partnership with SPC and FFA as a tool to monitor fishing vessel violations to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL). Pollution categories were created based on MARPOL's Annexes I and V which provide regulations for the prevention of pollution by oil and garbage by ships, respectively.

SPC is responsible for maintaining and managing all observer data including the Form GEN-6 data which it started collecting in 2004. In March, 2015 SPREP requested access to the GEN-6 data from SPC and were provided with more than 10 years of data from 2004 through 2014. The data are overwhelmingly biased to the purse seine fishery due to mandatory observer coverage in the fishery. Prior to 2009, observer coverage for the purse seine fishery was around 5-10%, increased to 20% in 2009, and to 100% from 2010 to the present (P. Williams, personal communication, March 18, 2015, WCPFC, 2009). An almost threefold increase in pollution incidents reported in 2010 and 2011 is also a reflection of this increase in observer coverage. By contrast, observer coverage of the approximately 3,000 longline vessels operating in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean is only 5% for the entire fishery as of 2012 (WCPFC, 2014).

Content of the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6

Form GEN-6 documents marine pollution incidents by fishing vessels in three categories: Waste Dumped Overboard, Oil Spillages and Leakages, and Abandoned or Lost Fishing Gear. Each category has its respective subcategories, and revisions have occurred to improve reporting over the years, such as the addition of the category Abandoned or Lost Fishing Gear in 2009. Subcategories reported here are from the most current form, revised in March, 2014. Subcategories under Waste Dumped Overboard include: Plastics, Metals, Waste Oil, Chemicals, and General Garbage. Subcategories under Oil Spillages and Leakages include: Vessel Aground/Collision, Vessel at Anchor/Berth, Vessel Underway, Land-based Source and Other. Subcategories under Abandoned or Lost Fishing Gear include Lost during fishing, Abandoned, or Dumped.

The form provides an area to report whether there was information posted on and around the vessel about compliance with the latest revisions to MARPOL, as an indicator of vessel and crew awareness of MARPOL regulations. It also includes a section for 'Other comments' where observers can add more details about the pollution event. The reverse side of the form provides notes which clarify definitions and reporting areas. At the bottom of the form it is clearly stated for the observer that under MARPOL regulations "It is illegal for any vessel to discard any form of plastics into the sea at anytime; It is illegal for any vessel to discard any form of plastics into the sea at anytime; It is illegal for any vessel to dump any form of rubbish into the sea within 12 nautical miles of the seashore." Since recent revisions to MARPOL Annex V entered into force in 2013, dumping of almost all garbage types which were previously allowed beyond the 12 nautical mile zone referenced by this note are now prohibited (IMO, 2015). These revisions to MARPOL and recommendations to update the current Form GEN-6 are explored in greater depth in section VI.

Since its creation in 2000, the GEN-6 form has been revised four times, in 2004, 2007, 2009, and 2014. Prior to the 2009 revision, pollution in the form of fishing gear was documented under the subcategory Old Fishing Gear as part of the Waste Dumped Overboard category. In 2009 the form was revised to create a separate and new category of Abandoned and Lost Fishing Gear, with subcategories of Lost during fishing, Abandoned, or Dumped. These definitions resemble the frequently used marine debris/marine litter term Abandoned, Lost, or Discarded Fishing Gear (ALDFG). Despite the new category, fishing gear continued to be documented in both the old subcategory Old Fishing Gear under Waste Dumped Overboard in addition to the new Abandoned and Lost Fishing Gear category through 2013. In order to fully represent fishing gear as a single and separate category across all years of reporting without having some fishing gear reported under Waste Dumped Overboard and some under Abandoned and Lost Fishing Gear, both categories were combined in this analysis into the category Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear.

A copy of the most recent SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6 is in Appendix I.

Form GEN-6 Pollution Type Descriptions and Quantities

In addition to comments, observers are provided an area on the Form GEN-6 to describe the different types of pollution per category and material (subcategory), as well as to describe quantities. There are no standard categorical options for observers to report quantities of pollution and quantities are reported as written comments by observers, which complicates data analysis.

Table 1 summarizes the most common written pollution descriptions and quantities by pollution and material types. Despite their categorization, there is some crossover between groups. For example, many observer comments for Metals dumped included oil drums with garbage inside (General Garbage). Likewise some reports of General Garbage dumped included garbage dumped within oil drums (Metals) that also went overboard. General Garbage reports also referred to a number of mixed garbage types, including plastics and fishing gear dumped overboard. There was also some overlap between the categories Oil Spillages and Leakages and the material (subcategory) Waste Oil from the category Waste Dumped.

While the numbers reported are representative on a broad scale, because of overlap between some categories during a pollution event and confusion by some observers over how to separately report between pollution types, certain materials like Plastics, Metals, and Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear may also be underreported. Overlaps in reporting and misreporting reflect the need for a revision of Form GEN-6 that more clearly specifies categories and standardizes reporting options for observers. This is discussed in section VI.

Table 1. Summary of Written Pollution Descriptions and Quantities as Reported by Observers

Pollution Type	Material	Pollution Description	Quantities per Incident

Waste Dumped Overboard	Plastics	Salt bags, bait boxes, bait wrappings, strapping bands, food wrappers, bags, bottles, sheets, foam, cartons, pallets, washing machines, raincoats, plates, cups, cutlery	Range 1-60+ assorted items per incident. Sometimes reported with mixed plastics dumped in bags or empty oil drums.
Waste Dumped Overboard	Metals	Empty oil drums, cables, engine parts, beverage cans, oil filters, tanks, washing machines, pipes, chains, air conditioning unit	Drums 1-90 per incident, cables 1-4,000 meters per incident.
Waste Dumped Overboard	Waste Oil	Lubricating oils, hydraulic oils, used oil/sludge, grease, fuel oils including diesel, gasoline and bunker fuels	Size and visual quality estimated by observer, often in reference to boat size, colour, thickness and depth. Measurements varied from cm to more than a half mile away from the boat.
Waste Dumped Overboard	General Garbage	Food wastes, plastics, metals, washing machines, clothing, netting from fishing gear, containers	1-1000 assorted items per incident; weights reported varied up to 950kg, 80 lbs, 50 gallons, and lengths up to 70 m (e.g. netting from fishing gear) per incident.
Waste Dumped Overboard	Chemicals	Paints, turpentine, ammonia, detergents, batteries, brine salts, fluorescent light bulbs	Range mL to 100 L; 1-50 assorted items per incident.
Oil Spillages and Leakages	Fuel Oils	Fuel oils including diesel, gasoline, and bunker fuels	Size and visual quality estimated by observer, often in reference to boat size, colour, thickness and depth. Measurements varied from cm amounts to lengths more than a mile away from the boat and multiple days of a spill/leak.
Abandoned, Lost, or Dumped Fishing Gear	Fishing Gear	Nets, lines, Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs), rafts, beacons, floats, rope and line cuttings, ropes, drums full of pieces of gear, hooks, gloves, cables	Range mm to 30,000 m line, 1-10,000 m cable lengths, and weights up to 500kg.

IV. Pollution Data Analysis

An examination of more than ten years (2004-2014) of data from the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6 showed that the pollution incidents reported by fisheries observers were overwhelmingly biased to purse seine fishing vessels due to mandatory observer coverage in the purse seine fishery. Consequently, only pollution incidents reported by fisheries observers for purse seine fishing vessels and their support vessels was included in this analysis. This included more than 8,000 reported pollution incidents.

Purse seine support vessels with marine pollution incidents documented by observers include net boats, which use separate vessels to catch and store fish, and light aircraft for fish spotting. Due to the required observer coverage aboard purse seiners, it was assumed that vessel types reported as NULL by observers were also purse seiners. Fisheries observers also reported pollution events aboard longline, troll, and trawler vessels, which combined comprised 1% of total pollution incidents reported by

fisheries observers. This low reporting of incidents from other vessel types is likely due to the low number of required observers aboard these boats.

Composition of Purse Seine Pollution Incidents within Countries' EEZ Boundaries, and in International Waters

Fifty-two percent of the pollution incidents occurred within Papua New Guinea's EEZ waters. The next highest number of reported incidents occurred in the EEZs of the Federated States of Micronesia (12%), Kiribati (10%), the Solomon Islands (7%), the Marshall Islands (6%) and Nauru (5%). Four percent of total pollution incidents occurred in international waters. See Table 2 for a complete ranked list of pollution incidents in all 18 Pacific island countries and territories, and in international waters.

SPREP Parties' EEZs*	Reported Incidents	Percent of Total
Papua New Guinea	4.151	52%
Federated States of Micronesia	969	12%
Kiribati	762	10%
Solomon Islands	521	7%
Marshall Islands	465	6%
Nauru	389	5%
International Waters*	313	4%
Tuvalu	144	2%
Fiji	128	2%
Palau	73	<1%
Vanuatu	52	<1%
Cook Islands	18	<1%
Tokelau	16	<1%
Tonga	13	<1%
American Samoa	9	<1%
French Polynesia	8	<1%
Guam	7	<1%
Samoa	4	<1%
Northern Mariana Islands	1	<1%
Total	8,043	100%

Table 2. Pollution Incidents by Purse Seine Vessels 2004-2014

Source: SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6 *While not part of the member countries and territories' EEZs, International Waters was included in Table 2 for comparison purposes. Also, pollution events in international waters have the potential to end up in bordering EEZs.

Figure 1 shows the pollution incidents mapped by the latitude and longitude positions given by observers at the time of reporting. The incidents are overlaid on a colorized map that shows purse seine activity from April, 2013 through March, 2014, using FFA fishing vessel databases and Automatic Identification System (AIS) vessel tracks. The high numbers of incidents in these countries' EEZs, especially in Papua New Guinea, is consistent with the fact that these EEZ waters are also highly active purse seine fishing grounds. Purse seine fishery activity in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean is concentrated around the Equator, between 5N and 10S (SPC, 2010).





Source: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), 2015

Composition of Purse Seine Pollution Incidents by Pollution Types

Sixty-nine percent of the pollution incidents were documented in the form of Waste Dumped Overboard, 18% as Oil Spillages and Leakages and 13% as Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear. When the subcategories under "Waste Dumped" were analyzed further and compared to total pollution incidents, Plastics were found to make up the largest portion of total pollution incidents at 36% followed by Metals (14%), Waste Oil (10%), General Garbage (7%), and Chemicals (2%). See Figure 2 for a summary of the composition of pollution incidents by pollution types.

Figure 2. Percent of Purse Seine Pollution Incidents by Pollution Types



Source: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), 2015

V. Likelihood of Unreported Pollution Incidents by Other Fisheries

With more than 8,000 marine pollution incidents documented by fisheries observers over the last decade, there is cause for concern over purse seine fishing vessels as sources of marine pollution in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. Purse seine fishing however makes up only one of four main industrial fishing methods in the WCPFC region. The other three are longline, pole-and-line and troll fishing (WCPFC Yearbook, 2013). Table 3 summarizes the number of active fishing vessels from 2004-2013 for the purse seine, longline and pole-and-line fisheries. The longline fishery, which is only mandated to have 5% observer coverage as of 2012, has more than twice the number of active vessels compared to the purse seine fishery. Despite the low level of observer coverage on the longline, troll and trawl vessels, the 1% of reported incidents by these vessels from the Form GEN-6 data indicates that fishing vessels other than purse seiners engage in pollution activities. The pollution data analyzed in this report may represent only a portion or snapshot of total pollution incidents by fishing vessels throughout the region. This is an area where further data and research is needed.

Table 3. Number of vessels active in the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCP	νFC)
Statistical Area	

	Vessels Active							
Year	Longline	Pole-and-Line	Purse Seine	Total				
2004	4,288	573	1,512	6,373				
2005	4,282	586	1,494	6,362				
2006	4,011	538	1,436	5,985				
2007	3,569	515	1,466	5,550				
2008	3,443	497	1,401	5,341				
2009	3,358	496	1,470	5,324				
2010	4,557	493	1,482	6,532				

2011	3,685	465	1,486	5,636
2012	3,054	422	1,492	4,968
2013	3,104	410	1,503	5,017

Source: WCPFC Yearbook, 2013

Figure 3 shows the density of fishing vessels within SPREP member country and territories' EEZs, and in international waters. Compared to Figure 1 Purse Seine Pollution Incidents Mapped by Longitude and Latitude, this map shows that there is still high fishing vessel activity outside purse seine fishing grounds, where other fisheries such as the longline fishery are more active, despite a lack of marine pollution incidents recorded in these areas. Observer coverage aboard other fishing vessels in these high density areas could provide more information about the amount and severity of marine pollution incidents which occur in and around other fishing grounds.



Figure 3. Regional AIS Fishing Vessel Activity Density Map April 2013-March 2014

Source: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)

VI. Revisions and Updates to the SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6

The last revision to Form GEN-6 was in March, 2014. In 2013, MARPOL Annex V revisions entered into force which prohibited the dumping of any garbage overboard, including all plastics, fishing gear, glass, and metals, with some exceptions which are discussed below (IMO, 2015). Previously, dumping at sea was prohibited for all plastics, while many other types of garbage were permitted to be dumped beyond

the 12 nautical mile zone. A supplementary note on the back of the current Form GEN-6 states that "Vessels may dump garbage as close as 3 nautical miles to the shore if they have a 'comminuter' onboard (a machine that shreds garbage to tiny pieces). Otherwise they cannot dump garbage within 12 nm of the coast. Report on all vessels dumping within 12 nm of the coast. We can check if they have a comminuter onboard later."

Currently the only types of garbage permitted for discharge beyond 3-12 nm are food wastes, nonharmful cargo residues, non-harmful cleaning agents contained in wash waters, and carcasses of animals carried onboard as cargo and which died during the voyage (IMO, 2015). Besides some food wastes and some cleaning agents, almost all of the marine pollution incidents reported were pollution types where discharge is totally prohibited. A revision to the supplementary note that clearly communicates which garbage types are allowed for discharge in certain areas, and that discharge of all other pollution types is prohibited, even if beyond 12 nm and even if comminuted, would help clarify for observers the recent 2013 MARPOL Annex V revisions.

As was discussed earlier, pollution descriptions and quantities in Form GEN-6 are all written in by the observer. Based on Table 1, pollution descriptions and quantities should be revised and offered as categorical options for observers to choose from in order to standardize future reporting. Additional information beyond the options made available for observers could be clarified through the comments section.

To standardize future Form GEN-6 reporting, drop-down menus should be developed for all categories with commonly used reporting units. The section Other Comments provides an area for special circumstances and any additional information required to report an incident. With assistance from and collaboration between the IMO and other regional organizations, a specific metadata file should be developed for all data entries in Form GEN-6 to aid observers and data evaluators in managing the information compiled. Reporting requirements should additionally be made available in the languages used by observers in the Western and Central Pacific region.

VII. Conclusions, Recommendations and Areas for Further Research

This report provides the first consistent and substantive documented evidence about the nature and extent of ocean-based marine pollution in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. With more than 8,000 marine pollution incidents by purse seine fishing vessels reported within the Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) of 18 Pacific island countries and territories and in international waters from 2004-2014, it is clear that pollution from fishing vessels, particularly purse seine vessels, is a significant problem for the region. However, the existing pollution data is partial, limited, and dependent upon fisheries observer coverage.

Action is urgently needed to decrease the number and severity of pollution incidents by fishing vessels in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean through three major initiatives: 1) increased monitoring, reporting, and enforcement of pollution violations at sea by all types of fishing vessels, especially longliners, which currently have a very low (5%) mandatory observer coverage; 2) a regional outreach and compliance assistance programme on marine pollution prevention for fishing vessel crews, business operators and managers; and 3) improvement in Pacific port waste reception facilities to enable ports to receive fishing vessel wastes on shore.

The recommendations provided reflect and expand upon these three initiatives. They are designed for a variety of stakeholders, including intergovernmental organizations such as SPREP and SPC, regional fisheries managers and RFMOs such as WCPFC and FFA, national maritime and port authorities, national environment ministries, government leaders, policy makers, academics, and the private sector, particularly fishing vessel crews and business operators.

Recommendations

1. Increase observer coverage and more data

Increased observer coverage aboard other fishing vessels such as longline vessels would provide more information about the amount and types of pollution by other fisheries, which fish more heavily in other areas of the Pacific not covered by the purse seine fishery. The current SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6 is designed for reporting of pollution incidents aboard any type of fishing vessel. After necessary revisions and updates, covered in section VI, this would continue to be an appropriate form for use by an expanded observer program. The primary challenges anticipated for expansion of fisheries observers programs are financial, technical, and human resource capacity constraints, especially for some observer programs that are already struggling to meet full coverage requirements each year. Future efforts to decrease marine pollution from fishing vessels should include all fisheries and vessel types within the Western and Central Pacific region.

2. Reporting

SPREP should report the Form GEN-6 pollution incidents to member countries where the pollution incidents occurred and to the flag States whose vessels are responsible for the pollution violations. This will allow port States and flag States to follow up with appropriate enforcement mechanisms such as fines and penalties. SPREP should also report incidents to the Noumea Convention to be shared at the biennial Conference of Parties (COP), and to the IMO's Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC). Data and incidents will need to be further organized, quality controlled, and standardized to the IMO's Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS) reporting format.

3. Enforcement

Opportunities may exist for more effective enforcement of MARPOL and other anti-pollution regulations. If provided with documentation of marine pollution incidents and violations, member countries and port States, particularly those with high numbers of violations in their EEZ waters, could penalize violators through fines and restrictions. Countries could also prohibit operational dumping of wastes as a condition of their fishing licenses, with associated penalties and restrictions if pollution incidents do occur. This is another method to prevent pollution incidents from vessels by holding vessels accountable to their license requirements. Effective enforcement programmes send a message to fishing vessels that marine pollution is not acceptable.

Some fishing vessels, operators and crew may engage in especially severe pollution activities with full knowledge that such activities are illegal and harmful to the marine environment. In the case of particularly egregious or criminal polluters, a list of vessels and operators could be kept for records to ensure against repeat offenders. If certain vessels and operators prove to be repeatedly engaging in pollution events, steeper fines or criminal proceedings could be levied. Vessels could also be added to a marine pollution 'blacklist,' similar to the WCPFC's blacklist for vessels who have engaged in Illegal, Unregulated or Unreported (IUU) fishing activities (WCPFC, 2010). Such a blacklist system could both serve to stigmatize vessels and owners, in addition to providing opportunities for more stringent and focused monitoring and regulation to ensure that such vessels do not continue to engage in illegal activities. A marine pollution blacklist could then be compared to the WCPFC's IUU blacklist, to determine range and regularity of illegal activities by particularly problematic vessels.

4. Outreach and Compliance Assistance Programme

An Outreach and Compliance Assistance Programme should be developed within the Western and Central Pacific region through coordination and collaboration between regional organizations including SPREP, the Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs), fishing and maritime industry representatives and Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) in consultation with the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the IMO. The Outreach and Compliance Assistance Programme should inform ship masters, mariners, and ports about the proper manner for disposal of all garbage, wastes and pollution types generated onboard fishing vessels in the Western and Central Pacific region and the adjacent high seas areas.

5. Invest in expanded capacity of port waste reception facilities

Most Pacific island countries and territories have few if any waste reception facilities for ships at their ports, and many of those which are in place are inadequate to meet the needs of ships using those ports (SPREP, 2014). Adequate reception facilities are defined by the IMO as those which "mariners use; fully meet the needs of the ships regularly using them; do not provide mariners with a disincentive to use them; and contribute to the improvement of the marine environment" (IMO, 2000). The facilities must also "allow for the ultimate disposal of ships' wastes to take place in an environmentally appropriate way" (IMO, 2000). This lack of port waste reception facilities could provide further incentives for ships to dump waste at sea rather than store their wastes without anywhere to later responsibly dispose of them.

Given these challenges, the locations and availability of existing port waste reception facilities should be clearly communicated to all fishing vessels, with input from the IMO. SPREP has taken important first steps in this area through its Regional Reception Facilities Plan, which recognizes five Pacific shipping hubs (Apia, Suva, Port Moresby, Noumea, and Papeete) as regional centers for safe offloading of wastes from ships (SPREP, 2014). Table 4 summarizes the waste streams that can be landed at each port that SPREP audited in its Regional Reception Facilities Plan. This plan is only a starting point however, and more detailed analysis and audits will be required to identify and communicate all available ports with adequate reception facilities to fishing and other vessels into the future.

Table 4. Overview of the waste streams that can be landed at each port recognized in the Regional Reception Facilities Plan

Type of waste	Samoa	Fiji (Suva)	Port Moresby	Noumea	Papeete
Oil tankers: oily tank washings or oily ballast water	No	Limited	Yes	Limited	No
All ships: oily bilge water, sludge's, used lube oils	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chemical tankers: NLS *	No	No	No	No	No
Sewage	Domestic ships only	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes**
Garbage - domestic vessels	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Garbage - recyclables	Yes*	Limited types **	No	Limited types **	Yes
Garbage - fishing gear	Yes*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarantine waste – all garbage from international vessels	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ozone Depleting Substances	No	No	No	Yes	No
Exhaust gas cleaning system residues	No	No	No	No	No

Source: IMO Regional Reception Facilities Plan for the Small Island Developing States in the Pacific Region, 2015

Port States could additionally mandate disposal of ship wastes at ports upon offloading of fish catch. Under MARPOL Annex V fishing vessels are required to offload all ship generated waste with the exclusion of sewage to shore reception facilities. However, they are not required to notify a harbor authority or terminal operator in advance, or to pay the mandatory charge (UNEP/CMS, 2014). This can result in a lack of monitoring and accountability to MARPOL compliance in this regard. Creation of waste reception facilities at ports where they do not currently exist and improvements in already existing reception facilities could decrease the dumping of wastes at sea by fishing vessels by providing to vessels appropriate locations to offload wastes.

SPREP recognizes that it is unreasonable to expect a country struggling to manage domestically generated wastes to also provide facilities for the reception and management of wastes generated by international vessels. There are a number of funding options to support the creation, improvement, and management of port waste reception facilities. Some options include the investment of international development funds, and/or fees and levies to be applied to foreign fishing vessels and distant water fishing nations (DWFNs) under the Polluter Pays principle. In order to avoid charging separate fees for waste disposal which might act as disincentives for vessels to offload wastes, fees for waste disposal could be included in standard port fees.

6. Regional funding mechanism for marine debris management

Regional capacity building initiatives such as the recommendations for port waste reception facilities and an outreach and compliance assistance programme will require significant financing and investment. The development of a regional funding mechanism for marine debris management, with a particular emphasis on fisheries-based management could support such initiatives. As an example, the Caribbean region responded to problems with wastewater as a significant source of localized marine pollution by developing the Caribbean Regional Fund for Wastewater Management (GEF-CReW, 2015). The Western and Central Pacific region could follow a similar model through development of a regional funding mechanism for marine debris management.

Areas for Further Data Analysis and Research

1. Specific pollution categories

Further data analysis should be undertaken of the specific pollution categories Waste Dumped Overboard; Oil Spillages and Leakages; and Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear. For example, an investigation into Oil Spillages and Leakages would likely offer a better understanding of how to improve data reporting fields and specific drop down menus to standardize observer descriptions and quantities of discharge during pollution incidents, in addition to a better understanding of conditions associated with spillages and leakages, and the number of oil spills which occur in fishing grounds. An investigation into the data collected on Abandoned, Lost, or Dumped Fishing Gear could include amounts of each subcategory which are lost, abandoned, or dumped, and types of gear per category, such as remnants of fishing gear discarded after repairs, and Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs).

2. Examination of the Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear incidents involving FADs

Within the comments and pollution description sections of the Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear category, numerous reports included the deliberate dumping of FADs either whole, discarding of damaged FAD nets, or retrieval of the GPS buoys before dumping of the old FAD. Lost or discarded FADs in the marine environment can be harmful to marine life through ghost fishing, entanglement and acting as habitat for the spread of invasive species (Davies et. al. 2014, Fonteneau et. al. 2013, Filmalter et. al. 2013, Macfadyen et. al. 2009), and have the potential to eventually wash ashore to coastlines and reefs as marine debris (Greenpeace 2015, Sagapolutele 2015). An area for further data analysis is an examination of the Abandoned, Lost or Dumped Fishing Gear incidents that involve FADs.

3. Survey fishermen, crews, vessel operators, port authorities and observers about causes behind and drivers for pollution incidents

Surveys could be conducted of fishermen, crew, vessel operators, port authorities and observers to better understand the drivers of pollution incidents from fishing vessels, and identify solutions that address underlying causes. For example, interviews with and surveys of crews and vessel operators could explore motivations for dumping of wastes at sea, such as issues around convenience, time, and costs associated with disposal on shore. Interviews with and surveys of port authorities could investigate adequacy of port facilities to receive wastes from vessels, human resource capacity constraints, and time pressures to process vessels quickly through ports.

4. Identify appropriate laws, regulations and procedures by which countries and territories can monitor and enforce penalties against pollution incidents by fishing vessels

Enforcement of pollution incidents will largely depend upon existing national laws and regulations within port States where the incidents occur. Procedures for differing levels of enforcement will need to be identified within national contexts, which may be more complicated than prosecution based upon an observer's report alone. Barriers to enforcement specific to different States can additionally be identified, as well as challenges experienced by vessels which may act as barriers to compliance. For example, vessels may only carry gear they are licensed for, and might be hesitant to retrieve abandoned fishing gear if it doesn't meet their licensing requirements.

5. Overlay of purse seine marine pollution incidents with marine ecosystem information

Latitude and longitude data from the pollution incidents could be overlaid with regional and country specific marine ecosystem information such as ecologically and biologically important or unique areas, and migration routes for highly migratory, threatened, or endangered species. These overlays, such as between abandoned nets which can result in ghost-fishing and wildlife entanglement and highly migratory species like whales and turtles, could be used to show possible repercussions of the pollution incidents upon surrounding ecosystems and wildlife.

6. Fish sampling for plastic ingestion

Fish species consumed by Pacific islanders or sold commercially could be sampled for plastic ingestion to link plastic pollution with potential socioeconomic impacts, as much of the Pacific region is dependent upon healthy fish stocks for livelihoods and food security. This could identify an area of potential linkage between plastic waste dumped at sea and within fishing grounds by fishing vessels and ingestion by marine wildlife and fish later sold in commercial markets.

References

Ballance A., Tyan P. G., Turpie J. K. (2000). *How much is a clean beach worth? The impact of litter on beach users in the Cape Peninsula, South Africa.* S. Afr. J. Sci. 96, 210–213.

Bardi, E., Mann S.S. (2004). *Mangrove inventory and assessment project in American Samoa. Phase I: Mangrove Delineation and Preliminary Rapid Assessment*. American Samoa Community College Land Grant Program. Technical Report No. 40. (2004). Retrieved July 6, 2015 from: www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/adap2/ASCC_LandGrant/Dr_Brooks/TechRepNo40.pdf.

Barnes, D, Galgani, F, Thompson, RC and Barlaz, M (2009). Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Phil.Trans R Soc.B*, 364, pp.1985-1998.

Barnes D. K. A. (2002). Invasions by marine life on plastic debris. Nature 416, 808–809.

Brownjohn, Maurice. (2014). *PNA-8 (Parties to the Nauru Agreement): Small Island Nations or 8 Large Ocean States?* Presentation to the 2nd Conference of Ministers of Fisheries and Aquaculture in Africa *(CAMFA II)*. April 2014. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from www.africanfisheries.org/knowledge-output/pna-8-parties-nauru-agreement-small-island-nations-or-8-large-ocean-states.

Coe J. M., Rogers D. B.Laist D. W. (1997). *Impacts of marine debris: entanglement of marine life in marine debris including a comprehensive list of species with entanglement and ingestion records.* In Marine debris, sources, impacts, and solutions (eds Coe J. M., Rogers D. B.), pp. 99–139. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

Coe J. M., Rogers D. B., Winston J. E., Gregory M. R., Stevens L. M. (1997). *Encrusters, epibionts, and other biota associated with pelagic plastics: a review.* In Marine debris, sources, impacts, and solutions (eds Coe J. M., Rogers D. B.), pp. 81–97. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

Davies, T. K., Mees, C. C., & Milner-Gulland, E. J. (2014). The past, present and future use of drifting fish aggregating devices (FADs) in the Indian Ocean. *Marine Policy*, *45*, 163-170.

Derraik, J. G. (2002). The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 44(9), 842-852.

Donohue, M. J., Boland, R. C., Sramek, C. M., & Antonelis, G. A. (2001). Derelict fishing gear in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands: diving surveys and debris removal in 1999 confirm threat to coral reef ecosystems. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *42*(12), 1301-1312.

Filmalter, J. D., Capello, M., Deneubourg, J. L., Cowley, P. D., & Dagorn, L. (2013). Looking behind the curtain: quantifying massive shark mortality in fish aggregating devices. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *11*(6), 291-296.

Fonteneau, A., Chassot, E., & Bodin, N. (2013). Global spatio-temporal patterns in tropical tuna purse seine fisheries on drifting fish aggregating devices (DFADs): Taking a historical perspective to inform current challenges. *Aquatic Living Resources*, *26*(01), 37-48.

G7 Summit. (2014). *Annex to the Leaders' Declaration G7 Summit*, (Schloss Elmau, Germany, 7-8 June 2015). Retrieved July 6, 2015 from www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/international-summit/2015/06/7-8/.

GEF-CReW. (2015). *Caribbean Regional Fund for Wastewater Management*. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from GEF-CReW (Global Environment Facility Caribbean Regional Fund for Wastewater Management): www.gefcrew.org/.

GESAMP (Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Pollution) (1991). *The State of the Marine Environment*. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Gregory, M. R., & Ryan, P. G. (1997). Pelagic plastics and other seaborne persistent synthetic debris: a review of Southern Hemisphere perspectives. In *Marine Debris* (pp. 49-66). Springer New York.

Greenpeace. (2015). *Greenpeace warns of proposals that would pave the way for large increases in fishing capacity*. 19th Annual Meeting of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission. Busan, Korea, 27 April-1 May, 2015. IOTC-2015-S19-NGO04.

Gregory, M. R. (2009). Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings—entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *364*(1526), 2013-2025.

Hall, Karen. (2000). *Impacts of Marine Debris and Oil: Economic and Social Costs to Coastal Communities*. Kommunenes Internasjonale Kommunenes Internasjonale Miljøorganisasjon (KIMO). ISBN 0904562891.

Hall, N. M., Berry, K. L. E., Rintoul, L., & Hoogenboom, M. O. (2015). Microplastic ingestion by scleractinian corals. *Marine Biology*, *162*(3), 725-732.

IMO. (2015). Coastal Management Issues Associated with Activities to Prevent Marine Pollution. *Review of marine litter in relation to the various waste streams under the London Convention and Protocol.* Scientific Group of the London Convention- 38th Meeting. LC/SG 38/8. (13 February 2015).

IMO. (2000). *Guidelines for Ensuring the Adequacy of Port Waste Reception Facilities*. IMO Publishing, 2000.

IMO. (2015). International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL). Retrieved July 6, 2015, from IMO (International Maritime Organization):

www.imo.org/About/Conventions/ListOfConventions/Pages/International-Convention-for-the-Prevention-of-Pollution-from-Ships-(MARPOL).aspx

IMO. (2015). Prevention of Pollution by Garbage from Ships. Retrieved July 6, 2015, from IMO (International Maritime Organization):

www.imo.org/en/OurWork/environment/pollutionprevention/garbage/Pages/Default.aspx.

IMO. (2015). Status of Conventions. Retrieved 10 July, 2015 from IMO (International Maritime Organization): http://www.imo.org/en/About/Conventions/StatusOfConventions/Pages/Default.aspx.

IMO. (2015). Regional Reception Facilities Plan for the Small Island Developing States in the Pacific Region. MEPC.1/Circ.859. 11 June 2015. 4 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7SR. International Maritime Organization.

Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., ... & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, *347*(6223), 768-771.

Jones, M. M. (1995). Fishing debris in the Australian marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *30*(1), 25-33.

Jumeau, Ronny. (2013). *Small Island Developing States, Large Ocean States*. Expert Group Meeting on Oceans, Seas and Sustainable Development: Implementation and follow-up to Rio+20, United Nations Headquartes. 18-19 April 2013. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from

https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1772Ambassador%20Jumeau_EGM%20Oc_eans%20FINAL.pdf.

Katsanevakis, S. (2008). Marine debris, a growing problem: Sources, distribution, composition, and impacts. *Marine Pollution: New Research. Nova Science Publishers, New York*, 53-100.

Leggett C., Sherer N., Curry M., Bailey, R., Haab T. (2014). *Assessing the Economic Benefits of Reductions in Marine Debris: A Pilot Study of Beach Recreation in Orange County, California*. Industrial Economics, Incorporated.

Macfadyen, G., Huntington, T., & Cappell, R. (2009). *Abandoned, lost or otherwise discarded fishing gear* (No. 523). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

Nash, A.D. (1992). *Impacts of marine debris on subsistence fishermen: An exploratory study*. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 24, 150-156.

Øhlenschlæger, JP, Newman, S and Farmer, A. (2013). Reducing ship generated marine litter -Recommendations to improve the EU Port Reception Facilities Directive. Report produced for Seas At Risk. Institute for European Environmental Policy, London.

Rochman, et al. (2013). Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. Sci. Rep. 3.; Rochman et al. (2014). Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants from the marine environment. Sci. Total Environ. 493, 656–661.

Romeo, T., Pietro, B., Peda, C., Consoli, P., Andaloro, F., Fossi, M.C. (2015). First evidence of presence of plastic debris in stomach of large pelagic fish in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 95, 358-361 (2015).

Sagapolutele, Fili. (June 12, 2015). *FAD found at Fagaalu Bay destroyed fragile coral*. Samoa News. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from: http://www.samoanews.com/content/en/fad-found-fagaalu-bay-destroyed-fragile-coral.

Schlining, K., von Thun, S., Kuhnz, L., Schlining, B., Lundsten, L., Stout, N. J., ... & Connor, J. (2013). Debris in the deep: Using a 22-year video annotation database to survey marine litter in Monterey Canyon, central California, USA. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, *79*, 96-105.

Sheavly, SB and Register, KM (2007). Marine Debris & Plastics: Environmental Concerns, Sources, Impacts and Solutions. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*. Volume 15, Number 4 / October, 2007, 301-305 pp.

SPC. (2010). *Purse Seine*. Tuna Fisheries. Oceanic Fisheries Programme. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from SPC (Secretariat of the Pacific Community): www.spc.int/Oceanfish/en/tuna-fisheries/172-purse-seine.

SPREP. (2014). *World-first shipping plan to deliver cleaner oceans for the Pacific Region*. Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme. 2 October 2014. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from: http://www.sprep.org/waste-management-pollution-control/world-first-shipping-plan-to-deliver-cleaner-oceans-for-the-pacific-region. Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Apia, Samoa.

UNDP. (2014). *Helen Clark: Speech at UNDP-GEF Event on "Small Island Developing States – Large Ocean States" at International Small Islands Conference 2014*. Apia, Samoa, 2 September 2014. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/presscenter/speeches/2014/09/02/helen-clark-undp-gef-event-on-small-island-developing-states-large-ocean-states-at-international-small-islands-conference-2014.html.

UNEA. (2014). United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA) resolution 1/6, *Marine plastic debris and microplastics*, (27 June 2014). Retrieved July 6, 2015 from www.unep.org/unea/UNEA_Resolutions.asp.

UNEP/CMS/ScC18/Inf.10.4.2: Marine Debris and Commercial Marine Vessel Best Practice

UNEP. (2009). Marine Litter: A Global Challenge. Nairobi: UNEP. 232 pp.

UNEP. (2014). Valuing Plastics: The Business Case for Measuring, Managing and Disclosing Plastic Use in the Consumer Goods Industry. United Nations Environment Programme.

UNGA. (2015). United Nations, General Assembly, *Report on the work of the United Nations Open-ended Informal Consultative Process on Oceans and the Law of the Sea at its sixteenth meeting*, Agenda item 6, p. 23, A70/78. 16 April 2015. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from daccess-ddsny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N15/108/42/PDF/N1510842.pdf?OpenElement.

Van Cauwenberghe and Janssen (2014). Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human consumption. Environ. Pollut. 193, 65–70.

Watkins E., ten Brink P., Withana S., Mutafoglu K., Schweitzer J-P., Russi D., and Kettunen M. (2015). *Marine litter: socio-economic study.* Scoping report. London, Brussels. May 2015.

WCPFC. (2009). *Fisheries to be Monitored*. Regional Observer Programme Third Intersessional Working Group. Guam. 17th to 21st March, 2009. WCPFC/ROP-IWG3/2009-08.

WCPFC. (2010). Conservation and Management Measure to Establish a List of Vessels Presumed to have carried out Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Fishing Activities in the WCPO. Seventh Regular Session, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA. 6-10 December 2010. Conservation and Management Measure 2010-06. Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia.

WCPFC. (2014). *Status of Observer Data Management*. Technical and Compliance Committee Tenth Regular Session. Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia. 25-30 September 2014. WCPFC-TCC10-2014-IP05.

WCPFC. (2014). *Tuna Fishery Yearbook 2013*. Oceanic Fisheries Programme, Secretariat of the Pacific Community, Noumea, New Caledonia. Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia.

Williams, P., Tuiloma, I., (2014). *Status of Observer Data Management*. Technical and Compliance Committee Tenth Regular Session. 25-30 September 2014, Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia. WCPFC-TCC10-2014-IP05.

World Animal Protection. (2014). *Fishing's phantom menace: How ghost fishing gear is endangering our sea life*. Retrieved July 6, 2015 from: www.worldanimalprotection.us.org/sites/default/files/us_files/sea-change-tackling-ghost-fishing-gear-report_us.pdf.

Zettler E.R., Mincer T.J., Amaral-Zettler L.A. (2013). *Life in the "Plastisphere": Microbial Communities on Plastic Marine Debris. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 47 (13), pp 7137–7146.

Appendix I. SPC/FFA Regional Observer Pollution Report Form GEN-6

SPC/FFA REGIONAL OBSERVER FORM GEN-6														
REVISED MARCH 2014				PO	LLUTI	ON RI	EPOF	RT .						•=•
OBSERVER NAME	R NAME VESSEL NAME OBSERVER ID NUMBE			UMBER	2	PAG	E	OF						
			- fi	ll in one fo	orm for	<u>each</u> p	olluti	on inci	dent -					
INCIDENT DET	AILS				0.5			ONOTUD	-		-			
DD MM	YY	hh	mm	dd°mm.m	imm')	N/S	(dd	ld°mm.mm	im')	E/W		EEZ /	HAR	BOOK
WIND DIRECTION	V	VIND SPE	ED	SEA COND (C, S, M	,R)	TIONS CURRENT : (knts and direction °) OE R)			OBSE	BSERVER'S VESSEL ACTIVITY				
NAME OF OF	FENDING	VESSEL		IRCS	TYF	PE OF VESSEL YOUR POSITION FR Compass Bearing			FROM	OFFEND Distance (I	ING \ nautic	(ESSEL al miles)		
				WAS	STE DUM	PED O	/ERBO	ARD						
Material Tick each	ch box		0	Describe Typ	be				D	escril	be Qu	antity		
Plastics	ipile3													
Metals														
Waste oil														
Chemicals														
General garbage	describe:													
(within 12 miles														
			Tick ear	OIL S	PILLAGE	es and	LEAKA	GES					_	
Source			that ap	plies V	Visual Appearance / Colour			olour	Describe Area and Quantity					
Vessel Aground /	Collisio	n												
Vessel at Anchor	/ Berth													
Vessel Underway	y _													
Land based sour	rce - Des	scribe s	ource											
Other - please spe	cifiy													
				Aban	doned or	Lost F	ishing	Gear	[
Sour	се		Acti	vity	Des	scribe (Gear			E	stima	ate Qua	ntity	/
Lost during fishi	ng													
Abandoned														
Dumped Other comments	:													
Were there any Did you take a If yes, please s	y sticke my pho tate the It is	ers/ pos otos? e numb s illegal	oters o per(s) for an	displayed i of the pho MAI y vessel to c	to remin oto fran RPOL Re fiscard a	nd the nes or gualati	vessel files. ions - l ofpla	l about state stics int	t MAR	POL	Regi mytin	ulation.	s?	Y / N Y / N
It is illegal for any vessel to discara any form of out into the sea at anytime. It is illegal for any vessel to dump any form of rubbish into the sea within 12 nautical mile of the sea shore.														

It is illegal for any vessel to dump any form of rubbish into the sea within 12 nautical mile of the sea shore.

Revised March 2014

Notes on FORM GEN-6

POLLUTION REPORT

Remember - Fill in one form for each pollution incident. There might be more than one per day. If forms run out, report this on the last form and continue recording pollution infringements in diary.

Obse	Observer Name Put first name first, and your family name last.							
Vess	el Name	Record the full name of the vessel. Do not use any abbrevations.						
Obse	erver ID Number	Use the number assig	ned by the obs	erver programme e.g	J. AA	03-01		
Page	e of	Number all GEN-6 pa	iges in sequenc	e from the start until	l the	end of the trip		
Date	of Incident (dd/mm/yy)	Date pollution seen in	day, month and	d year. Use ship's tii	me as	defined in other		
Time	e (00.00 hrs)	Report the time using	the 24hr clock.	observer a	lata c	ollection forms		
Latit	ude / Longitude	Record the GPS posit	on of the host v	essel when the pollu	ution	was first seen.		
EEZ /	/ Harbour	Record the EEZ or, fo	r shorebase sta	f, mark port or Harb	our r	name here.		
Wind	1 Direction	The prevailing wind dir	ection. Use de	gree eg. 90 degrees	s for	an east wind		
Wind	l Speed	Record the prevailng v	vind speed.					
Sea	Conditions	C- Calm, S- Slight, M-	- Moderate, R -	Rough.				
Curre	ent (knts and direction)	If the vessel has a cur	rent meter find	out what the current	t stre	ength is.		
		State the host (observ	/er's) vessel act	ivity at the time of th	e po	llution incident.		
Obse	erver's vessel activity	Some activities to cor	nsider might be:					
			fishing; transh	nipping; bunkering; tr	ansi	tting; aground.		
		Make an effort to reco	rd the complete	and proper name of	f offe	ndina vessel.		
Nam	e of offending vessel	Be careful not to make	e any spelling n	nistakes which may	mak	e it difficult to		
_		prosecute the vessel if the report goes through legal proceedings.						
IRCS	;	The international calls	ign is marked ir	n large letters on the	side	of the boat.		
Туре	ofvessel	Consider the full vesse	el and aircraft co	odes on the front of I	Form	GEN-1.		
Vour	naciton from offending	The radar can be used	d to get an exta	ct distance in nautic	al m	iles.		
Yoor		Otherwise give best estimate and note if it is the observer's or someone						
vesse	51.	else's.						
		WASTE DUMPE	D OVERBOAR	D				
Tick each correct box to show which types of materials were dumped.				dumped.				
Mato	rial	Only tick two or more materials if vessel has dumped more than one material						
Mate	1101	type over at the same time - e.g.: it dumped plastic and metal at 10:00hrs.						
		If plastic was dumped at 10:00hrs and metal at 16:00hrs - record separately.						
Desc	rihe type	Give as good a description as possible of the type of dumped material.						
2000		E.g.: - plastic bags; bait boxes plastic strapping; bait boxes plastic bags;						
		Give a best estimate of the amount dumped. Sometimes this will be easy -						
Desc	ribe Quantities	e.g., 12 metal oil drums were dumped. At other times the material might be						
2000		too far away to see the amount. If it is too far away then estimate the						
		amount as well as possible and make note that it is only a rough estimate at						
		OIL SPILLAGES AND LEAKAGES						
Sour	ce	Tick to indicate where the spillage or leak came from						
Visua	al Appearance / Colour	Describe the colour/ thickness/depth of the spill as well as able.						
Desc	ribe Area and Quantity	Give a best estimate of	of the size of the	e spill.				
		The boat could be a s	ize reference - e	e.g.: it was 4 times t	bigge	r than the boat.		
		Abandoned or L	ost Fishing Ge	ar				
Lost	during fishing	Lost by host vessel. L	Describe activity	when gear lost (set	ting/I	nauling/soak)		
Abar	ndoned	For any fishing gear a	bandoned by ar	nother vessel and fou	ind b	y host vessel		
Dum	ped	For any gear dumped	by host vessel,	see above.				
	Sumplementers, notes or	Marnal Degulations	Cou	ntry Codes	мн	Marehall lelande		
	Supplementary notes or	Narpol Regulations	AU	Australia	NR	Nauru		
	as 3 nautical miles to the	ip yaibaye as close	СК	Cook Islands	NC	New Caledonia		
	as 5 nautical miles 10 tr	r' onboard	EC FM	Ecuador Fed. States of Micropesia	NZ NU	New Zealand Niue		
	a comminute (a machine that shreds or		FJ	Fiji Islands	MR	Northern Mariana		
	i la machine that shieds ye	anouge to they pieces).	FR	France	PW	Palau		
	Otherwise they cannot	dump garbage within	PF	French Polynesia Guam	РG PH	P apua New Guinea P hilippine		

12 nm of the coast. Report on all vessels dumping within 12nm of the coast. We can check if they have a comminuter onboard later.

	лu	American Gamba	IVIII	washan bianus
	AU	Australia	NR	Nauru
	СК	Cook Islands	NC	New Caledonia
	EC	Ecuador	NZ	New Zealand
	FM	Fed. States of Micronesia	NU	Niue
	FJ	Fiji Islands	MR	Northern Marian
	FR	France	ΡW	Palau
	ΡF	French Polynesia	PG	Papua New Guin
	GU	Guam	PН	Philippine
	ID	Indonesia	RU	Russia
	IW	International Waters	SB	Solomon Islands
	JP	Japan	ΤW	Taiwan
	то	Kingdom of Tonga	ТΚ	Tokelau
	KI	Kiribati	ΤV	Tuvalu
	KR	Korea	US	United States
	CN	Mainland China	VU	Vanuatu
_	MY	Malaysia	WS	Samoa