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## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Recommendations

The SC acknowledge the projects and donors that are continuing to contribute to the development and application of SEAPODYM to the work programme of the WCPFC-SC and to endorse the inclusion of all presented projects within the scope of Project 62.

The SC notes the 2014-2015 work plan for Project 62.

## Introduction

SEAPODYM is a model developed for investigating spatial tuna population dynamics, under the influence of both fishing and environmental effects. In addition to fisheries data the model utilises environmental data in a manner that allows high resolution prediction. SEAPODYM complements the Scientific Committee's MULTIFAN-CL models by providing additional information on how tuna distributions are structured in space and time. The continued development and application of the SEAPODYM model to the work of the WCPFC Scientific Committee is facilitated through Project 62. The project affiliates the independently funded work on SEAPODYM into the SC's work programme.

## Code Development

Three modifications to the SEAPODYM code have been completed since SC9. The two most significant modifications are the capability to compute the fluxes of fish density between several pre-defined geographical areas and testing of a new definition of the function used to describe the thermal habitat. Four additional diagnostic routines have been developed to facilitate the analysis and validation of simulation outputs.

## Physical Forcing of reference fits

A new reference fits using OMEGA 1 degree physical forcing has been completed for skipjack (SKJ3.0). A new reference fit using ECCO 1 degree has been completed for bigeye (BET 3.0). These optimisations include the PTTP tagging data. A new reference fits using NCEP 2 degree physical forcing has been completed for yellowfin (YFT1.1) with optimisation based on fishing data only.

## Current and Future Work Plan

1. Optimisation of the micronekton model using acoustic data transects to estimate the energy transfer from primary production to micronekton functional groups.
2. Given the sensitivity analysis suggesting that changes either in the parameterization of the micronekton (prey) model or the accessibility to its components could drastically improve the fit to tagging data, it will be necessary to revise skipjack, bigeye and yellowfin reference fits using updated parameterisation of micronekton (cf. 1) and new definition of thermal habitat functional relationship.
3. Upgrade of operational Basin scale modelling configured at a weekly $14^{\circ}$ resolution, for skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna with new reference fits and fishing effort climatology in the Indian Ocean.
4. Evaluation of the regional operational (realtime) high resolution SEAPODYM model $\left(1 / 12^{\circ} \mathrm{x}\right.$ day) in the far western Pacific.
5. Revision of the software SeapodymView which provides for easy display of SEAPODYM outputs to allow use on non-Linux operating systems and to provide improved visualisation options.
6. Optimisations using the new physical forcing for climate change (see SC10-EB-IP-02).
7. Updating the SEAPODYM manual to include a description of commonly applied diagnostics to assist the Scientific Committee in evaluating SEAPODYM optimisations as reference fits.
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## 2. INTRODUCTION

SEAPODYM is a model developed for investigating spatial tuna population dynamics, under the influence of both fishing and environmental effects. The model is based on advection-diffusionreaction equations, and population dynamics (spawning, movement, mortality) are constrained by environmental data (temperature, currents, primary production and dissolved oxygen concentration) and simulated distribution of mid-trophic (micronektonic tuna forage) functional groups. The model simulates tuna age-structured populations with length and weight relationships obtained from independent studies. Different life stages are considered: larvae, juveniles and (immature and mature) adults. After juvenile phase, fish become autonomous, i.e., they have their own movement (linked to their size and habitat) in addition to be transported by oceanic currents. Fish are considered immature until pre-defined age at first maturity and mature after this age, i.e., contributing to the spawning biomass and with their displacements controlled by a seasonal switch between feeding and spawning habitat, effective outside of the equatorial region where changes in the gradient of day length is marked enough and above a threshold value. The last age class is a "plus class" where all oldest individuals are accumulated. The model includes a representation of fisheries and predicts total catch and size frequency of catch by fleet when fishing data (catch and effort) are available. A Maximum Likelihood Estimation approach is used to optimize the model parameters. Integration of conventional tagging data has been achieved recently.

## 3. AFFILIATED PROJECTS

The continued development and application of the SEAPODYM model to the work of the WCPFC Scientific Committee is facilitated through Project 62. The project affiliates the independently funded work on SEAPODYM into the SC's work programme.

This modelling effort started in 1995 at the Secretariat of the Pacific Community in Noumea, New Caledonia, under two consecutive EU-funded projects: SPR-TRAMP (1995-2000) and PROCFISH (2002-2005). The model development also benefited of a grant (\# 651438) from the PFRP (Pelagic Fisheries Research Program) of the University of Hawaii. Since 2006, the development has continued within the Space Oceanography Division of CLS, a subsidiary of the French CNES and IFREMER Institutes in collaboration with SPC (under the EU SCIFISH and SCICOFISH projects, a grant from the Australian Department of Climate Change and Energy Efficiency and the SPC-Australian Climate Change Support Programme 2011-2013) and PFRP (project number 657425, 659708 and 661551). Current projects are described in Table 2.1.

Table 3.1: Current projects and donors affiliated with Project 62.

| Title | Purpose | Donor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scientific Support for the <br> Management of Coastal and <br> Oceanic Fisheries in the Pacific <br> Islands Region (SciCOFish) | Develop reference fits for the <br> historical period for skipjack, bigeye <br> and south pacific albacore. <br> Develop reference fit for the IPSL <br> CMIP4 model and provide first <br> simulation of Climate Change <br> Impacts for skipjack, bigeye and <br> south pacific albacore | $10^{\text {th }}$ European <br> Development Fund |
| SPC-Australian Climate Change <br> Support Programme 2011-2013 | Analysis of climate change impacts <br> for skipjack, bigeye, yellowfin and <br> south pacific albacore to assist <br> national and regional policy <br> formation | Australian Government <br> Overseas Aid Program <br> (AUSAID) |
| Enhanced estimates of climate <br> change impacts on WCPO tuna, <br> including estimates of <br> uncertainty | Application of a model ensemble <br> approach to capture the uncertainty <br> in climate change forecasts for <br> Pacific tuna populations | Deutsche Gesellschaft für <br> Internationale <br> Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) |
| Skipjack resource assessment for <br> the Mariana Islands | High resolution modelling to <br> estimate the skipjack resource status <br> in the Mariana Islands | Western Pacific Regional <br> Fishery Management <br> Council |
| Integrating conventional and <br> electronic tagging data into the <br> epatial ecosystem and <br> population model SEAPODYM | Modify the code of SEAPODYM to <br> incorporate tagging data | Pelagic Fisheries <br> Research Program |
| Infrastructure Development of <br> Space Oceanography for IUU <br> Fishing and Coral Monitoring <br> INDESO Project) | Modify the code to allow operational <br> and sub-regional modelling | Government of <br> Indonesia, Agence <br> Française de <br> Développement |

## 4. CODE DEVELOPMENT

The code of SEAPODYM is continually enhanced to improve the model skills and to facilitate its use by non-developers. Changes since those reported at SC9 include:
a) The spawning habitat has been slightly modified to estimate separately the different effects between temperature, food and predation on larvae. A condition in dissolved oxygen concentration for the accessibility by the adults has been added.
b) The code has been developed to compute the fluxes of fish density between several predefined geographical areas.
c) new definition of the function used to describe the thermal habitat has been tested

Additional diagnostic routines are developed to facilitate the analysis and validation of simulation outputs:
d) Production of Taylor plot providing three aggregated metrics of model fit to the data: standard deviation (distance from $(0,0)$ point depicts the ratio between model and data standard deviation), correlation (angular coordinates) and normalized mean squared error (concentric circles with the green bullet being the centre).
e) Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches.
f) Script to produce multiple simulations with increasing fishing effort to compute MSY over long time series and resulting Kobe plot.
g) The visualization software SeapodymView allowing to visualize, manipulate and analyze the SEAPODYM outputs files in their native (.dym) format is currently entirely revised.

## 5. OCEANIC FORCINGS

SEAPODYM uses spatially explicit estimates of ocean and biological properties such as temperature, current speed, oxygen, phytoplankton concentration and euphotic depth from physicalbiogeochemical ocean models to constrain tuna population dynamics. The outputs of SEAPODYM are therefore strongly dependent on the quality of its forcing.

The physical variables (temperature and currents) are outputs of ocean circulation models, either from hindcast simulations or reanalyses. They both provide the same outputs but in the first case the ocean model is forced by atmospheric variables (eg. surface winds) only. In reanalyses, the simulation also includes observations of oceanic variables (e.g. Argo profilers, satellite altimetry) that are assimilated in the model to correct the model and produce more realistic circulation patterns, especially at mesoscale resolution.

The biogeochemical variables (primary production, dissolved oxygen concentration and euphotic depth) can be obtained from a biogeochemical model that is coupled to the physical model or from satellite ocean color sensors from which chlorophyll a, euphotic depth and vertically-integrated primary production are estimated. However, in that case the dissolved oxygen concentration is not available and needs to be replaced by a climatology (i.e., monthly average based on all available observations). All physical reanalyses are used with biogeochemical variables derived from satellite ocean color data.

A list of forcings and their characteristics used for SEAPODYM applications are listed in Table 5.1 Two new physical forcings (ECCO and OMEGA) have been used in the references fits presented in this document. They are both reanalyses and at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ month resolution.

ECCO is based on the MIT general circulation model (MITgcm), a numerical model designed for study of the atmosphere, ocean, and climate. It is forced by the atmospheric reanalysis ERA-INTERIM. The model state is mainly constrained by sea surface temperature (SST) and subsurface upper ocean thermal XBT (Expendable Bathythermograph) and MBT (Mechanical Bathythermograph) measurements, supplemented by a spatially sparse tide gauge data set that covers much of the last 50 years.

OMEGA is a reanalysis of the ocean circulation built from historical data on temperature ( T ) and salinity ( S ) and a generalized hydrodynamic adjustment method (GHDAM) developed by Ivanov et al (1997). It is based on a model of the ocean general circulation and allows the reconstruction of Tand S-fields in unobserved areas and their retention in the initial form for the areas statistically provided with observations. To obtain balanced fields of temperature, salinity, and currents in the
overall area of the ocean, model computations are performed within the adjustment regime until the system arrives at a quasi-stationary state.

Table 5.1. Description of forcings used in SEAPODYM applications for historical and operational simulations

| Code | Forcing Type (H/R/D) Hindcast/ Reanalysis/Data | Models features /sources | Resolution | Period used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Forcings |  |  |  |  |
| GLORYS | Ocean reanalysis Glorys v2.1 -PSY3 (R) | NEMO ORCA025; Mercator-Ocean | $1 / 4 \operatorname{deg} \times 1$ <br> week | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| OMEGA | Ocean reanalysis $(\mathrm{R})$ | Generalized Hydrodynamic Adjustment Method (GHDAM) Ivanov et al (1997) | $1 \operatorname{deg} x 1$ <br> month | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| ECCO | Ocean reanalysis ECCO (R) | ```http://ecco.jpl.nasa.gov/ http://ecco.jpl.nasa.gov/datasets/ dr080/``` | $1 \operatorname{deg} x 1$ month | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 2012 \\ & (\mathrm{Jul}) \end{aligned}$ |
| NCEP2 | Ocean Hindcast NCEP v2 (H) | NEMO ORCA2 forced with $2^{\text {nd }}$ atmospheric reanalysis NCEP | $2 \operatorname{deg} x$ <br> 1 month | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| SODA | Ocean reanalysis SODA (R) | http://www.atmos.umd.edu/~ocean/ | 1 deg $x$ <br> 1 month | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| NCEP1 | Ocean Hindcast NCEP v1 (H) | NEMO ORCA2 forced with atmospheric $1^{\text {st }}$ reanalysis NCEP | 2 deg $x$ <br> 1 month | $\begin{aligned} & 1979- \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |
| Biogeochemical Forcings |  |  |  |  |
| PISCES | Biogeochemical model (H) | Coupled to NEMO-ORCA2 in NCEP1 and NCEP2 | Same as the physical mo (NEMO) | oupled |
| VGPM | satellite data (D) | Primary production and euphotic depth derived from ocean color | $1 / 12^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ day | 1998present |
| EPPLEY | satellite data (D) | Primary production and euphotic depth derived from ocean color | $1 / 12^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ day | 1998- <br> present |

## 6. CONFIGURATIONS OF REFERENCES FITS

In this document we report the results concerning the reference fits achieved for skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna with the configurations detailed in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1. Configurations of reference fits achieved for 3 tuna species.

|  | Skipjack | Yellowfin | Bigeye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical forcing | OMEGA | NCEP v1 | ECCO |
| Biogeochemical forcing | VGPM | PISCES | EPPLEY |
| Domain and resolution | Pacific 0.; $1^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ month | Pacific O.; $2^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ month | Pacific O.; $1^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ month |
| Period of simulation | 2003-2012 | 1980-2003 | 1998-2011 |
| Period of optimisation | 2004-2012 | 1985-2003 | 2008-2011 |
| Initial conditions | Initially used interpolated IC from previous SODA reference solution. Then updated in optimization experiments using current estimated parameters. | Subsequently updated in optimization experiments using estimated parameters, by re-running the simulation from 1948 | Initially used interpolated IC from previous NCEP reference solution. Then updated in optimization experiments using current estimated parameters. |
| Data used in the likelihood | CPUE, Size frequencies, conventional tagging data (SPC database) | Catch, Size frequencies | CPUE, Size frequencies, conventional tagging data (SPC database) |
| Additional likelihood terms | Average stock estimate from MFCL (2013) | Average stock estimate from MFCL | Average stock estimate from MFCL |
| Data used in validation | ALL conventional tagging data (SPC database) | Fisheries data not being used in optimization, i.e 19801984 | Fisheries data not being used in optimization, i.e. 19982007 |

## 7. REFERENCE FIT FOR PACIFIC SKIPJACK

## Fishing data

The fishing dataset used for skipjack fisheries in the Pacific Ocean, ie covering both the WCPFC and IATTC convention areas was revised (Table 7.1). Except for the Philippine-Indonesia fishery and the longline fishery, all catch and effort fishing data were at a resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ} \times$ month. Philippines and Indonesia fleets have been combined and not used in the optimization approach due to a lack of accuracy.

Table 7.1. SKJ fisheries and data availability for SEAPODYM (DS2013).

| ID | Gear | Region | Description | Nation | Time | Nb. obs | Res | Nb. LF obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | PL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-162W; } \\ & 20 \mathrm{~N}-47 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Sub-tropical pole-and-line | Japan | $\begin{aligned} & 1972-01-15- \\ & 2012-11-15 \end{aligned}$ | 55704 | 1x1 | 2733 |
| P2 | PL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-150W; } \\ & \text { 20S-47N } \end{aligned}$ | Pole-and-line | Japan | $\begin{aligned} & 1972-01-15- \\ & 2012-11-15 \end{aligned}$ | 129223 | 1x1 | 1360 |
| P3 | PL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141E-177W; } \\ & \text { 20S-0N } \end{aligned}$ | Tropical pole-and-line | Pacific Islands | $\begin{aligned} & 1970-03-15- \\ & 2012-03-15 \end{aligned}$ | 9426 | 1x1 | 1237 |
| S4 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-151W; } \\ & \text { 20N-50N } \end{aligned}$ | Sub-tropical purse-seine | Japan | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1970-07-15- \\ & 2013-01-15 \end{aligned}$ | 17434 | 1x1 | 172 |
| S5 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130E-150W; } \\ & \text { 20S-20N } \end{aligned}$ | FAD and LOG | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1967-12-15- \\ & 2013-03-15 \end{aligned}$ | 96339 | $1 \times 1$ | 2539 |
| S6 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-160E; } \\ & 8 \mathrm{~N}-14 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Purse seine | Philippines, Indonesia | $\begin{aligned} & 1970-01-15- \\ & 2011-12-15 \end{aligned}$ | 9540 | 1x1 | 2660 |
| S7 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130E-150W; } \\ & \text { 20S-20N } \end{aligned}$ | Free schools | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1967-12-15- \\ & 2013-03-15 \end{aligned}$ | 57908 | 1x1 | 1292 |
| L8 | LL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-150W; } \\ & \text { 20S-50N } \end{aligned}$ | Traditional YFT\&BET target | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1950-06-15- \\ & 2012-12-15 \end{aligned}$ | 70918 | 5x5 | 3274 |
| L9 | LL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120E-130E; } \\ & \text { ON-15N } \end{aligned}$ | Domestic fisheries | Philippines, Indonesia | $\begin{aligned} & 1970-01-15- \\ & 2011-12-15 \end{aligned}$ | 6123 | 5x5 | 3055 |
| S10 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 150 \mathrm{~W}-71 \mathrm{~W} ; \\ & 25 \mathrm{~S}-25 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Anchored and Drifting FADs | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1996-12-15- \\ & 2013-01-15 \end{aligned}$ | 46541 | 1x1 | 3516 |
| S11 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150W-72W; } \\ & 22 \mathrm{~S}-31 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | LOGs | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1996-12-15- \\ & 2012-12-15 \end{aligned}$ | 5103 | 1x1 | 216 |
| S12 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 149 \mathrm{~W}-72 \mathrm{~W} ; \\ & 19 \mathrm{~S}-33 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Animal association | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1996-12-15- \\ & 2012-12-15 \end{aligned}$ | 11124 | 1x1 | 638 |
| S13 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150W-72W; } \\ & 21 \mathrm{~S}-33 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Free schools | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1996-12-15- \\ & 2013-01-15 \end{aligned}$ | 12362 | 1x1 | 859 |
| S14 | PS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150W-71W; } \\ & 24 \mathrm{~S}-34 \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | Unknown Log | ALL | $\begin{aligned} & 1996-12-15- \\ & 2013-01-15 \end{aligned}$ | 13481 | $1 \times 1$ | 2659 |

## Tagging data

Tagging data are used through a definition of cohorts in which all individuals, tagged with conventional method, are recaptured at the same time period (month - quarter, depending on the time scale chosen for the age structure). According to this definition, only the tags which were recaptured are integrated into the model and hence drive the maximum likelihood estimation. Each population of tags has the same age structure as the actual population of modeled species; and the spatial and temporal dynamics are described using advection-diffusion equations with the reaction term set to zero (i.e., no recruit nor mortality). The ADR equations are only used to account for the
change of the density due to the tag releases within the cohort. The life span of each cohort of tags is defined according to the age of youngest and oldest tagged individuals in a given cohort.

The cohorts of tags were defined using compiled tagging datasets provided by NIFSF and SPC PTTP tagging programme. Twenty-five monthly cohorts were defined from tagged fish released and recaptured during the time series 2004-2012 and used in the optimisation.


Figure 7.1. (top) Fish size distribution at release and recapture of skipjack conventional tagging data used in optimization; (bottom) Time at liberty histogram of tagged skipjack and time at liberty as a function of date of release.

## Skipjack population structure

The structure of the population is similar to the one used in previous reference fit (SODA-SKJ2.1). It was defined by age (cohorts) with variable time unit to save computation time. There is 1-month cohort for larvae life stage, one 2-month cohort for juvenile stage, five 1-month and one 2-month cohorts for young fish (before age at maturity) and 9 cohorts for adult stages (two of 2 months, two of 3 months and the last five of $4,5,6,7,9$ months correspondingly). The last " + cohort" accumulates older fish. Age at maturity is set to 10 months. Age-length and age-weight relationships (Figure 7.2) are derived from the last MULTIFAN-CL estimate (Hoyle et al., 2011).


Figure 7.2. Skipjack size (FL in cm ) at age (month) and weight ( kg ) at age functions used in SEAPODYM simulation, based on MULTIFAN-CL 2010 and 2011 estimates (Hoyle et al, 2011)

## Optimization experiments

The focus of this new optimization experiment was mainly on the integration in the optimisation approach of the recent conventional tagging data collected by SPC/OFP. Thus, a realistic forcing covering the recent period was sought. This likelihood optimization was performed over the 20042012 time period with the physical reanalysis OMEGA and the VGPM satellite derived primary production and euphotic depth. The optimization was conducted using both fishing and tagging data. The Multifan-CL estimated stock size in WCPO area was used as prior information to constrain the likelihood function.

The introduction of additional information with tagging data in the likelihood produces antagonistic effects, especially through the estimation of movement parameters. In previous experiments without tagging data, the model had a tendency to increase diffusion and decrease advection parameters estimates while with tagging data the model has an opposite tendency. This first series of experiments with tagging data indicate that a realistic description of currents in 3D is of paramount importance to use tagging data in a model like SEAPODYM, because they both control the prey distribution and contribute directly in the movement of fish.

## Fit to catch data

The model results were validated using the whole time series, i.e. 2004-2012. The details of fit for all fisheries separately are provided in appendix 1 while a summary is provided below.

In addition to the spatial CPUE (541,226 observations) and length-frequencies (26,210 observations) data, as in previous experiment SODA-SKJ2.1, this new optimization (OMEGA-SKJ3.0) also includes the conventional tagging data from the recent SPC sampling cruises in the total likelihood function (see last year report: Lehodey et al 2013). The two experiments used different time series and cannot be compared directly. Nevertheless, overall spatial fit to predicted catch seems slightly degraded at basin scale (Figure 7.3) though very close to the previous solution with SODA reanalysis.

Catchability by fishery was estimated to increase since 2004 for the western purse seine fisheries (Table 7.2) with the largest annual increase for the FAD and LOG fisheries ( $+3.6 \%$ ) followed by the free school fishery ( $+3.0 \%$ ). Conversely, in the eastern Pacific the catchability of the purse seine fisheries both on LOG or on free school are estimated to have decreased since 2004 with an annual decrease of $1.8 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ respectively, but it has increased for the fishery on FAD by $1.2 \%$ per year.

Selectivity coefficients were obtained with all parameters being estimated within their bounds. These selectivity estimates allowed a good fit with size frequency data for all fisheries (Appendix 1). The fit to length frequency data is reasonable for all fisheries with fisheries P1 (Japanese small pole-and-line boats) and S10 (eastern Pacific FAD-associated sets) and S11 (eastern Pacific LOG-associated sets) showing a mode peaking in the smallest sizes.

The catch is reasonably well predicted for all fisheries (Figure 7.4 and appendix 1 for details). There are however some exceptional high peaks of catch in the purse seine fishery S14 (PS EPO unknown LOG) that cannot predicted (Appendix 1).
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SKJ3. 0
R-squared goodness of fit (Total catch over 2004/1 - 2012/12)



Figure 7.3. Comparison of fit to fishing data between previous (Lehodey et al. 2013) and new optimization experiments over the period 1998-2008 for SODA-SKJ2.1 and 2004-2012 for OMEGASKJ3.0 experiments. Top: R-squared goodness of fit representing the spatial fit over the period used for optimization (white squares indicate negative correlation between observations and predictions; Black circles are proportional to the level of catch. Bottom: relative error in predicted catch for all fisheries.

Table 7.2. Estimates of catchability by fishery and annual change (\%) since 2003.

| Fishery |  | q | annual \% change <br> since 2003 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | PL small boats Japan | 0.00767 | 0.00 |
| P2 | PL large boats Japan | 0.00643 | 0.00 |
| P3 | Tropical Pole and line | 0.00415 | 0.00 |
| S4 | PS subtropical | 0.07750 | 0.00 |
| S5 | PS on LOG and FAD | 0.02076 | 3.60 |
| S6 | PS Phil-Ind | 0.00400 | 0.00 |
| S7 | PS on free school | 0.01880 | 3.00 |
| L8 | LL Traditional YFT\&BET target | 0.00993 | 0.00 |
| L9 | LL domestic fisheries Phil-Ind | 0.00400 | 1.20 |
| S10 | PS EPO Anchored and drifting FAD | 0.29996 | 1.20 |
| S11 | PS EPO on LOG | 0.09309 | -1.80 |
| S12 | PS EPO animal association | 0.01571 | 0.00 |
| S13 | PS EPO on free school | 0.00781 | -1.20 |
| S14 | PS EPO unknown LOG | 0.07580 | 0.00 |



Figure 7.4. Total Pacific skipjack catch observed (dotted line) and predicted (continuous line).

## Fit to tagging data

There is a reasonable agreement between final observed and predicted distribution of tagged fish in the WCPO from where all tags were released, but the model cannot predict the long distance displacements along the equator to the EPO (Figure 7.5).


Figure 7.5. Observed and predicted skipjack recaptures. Left: All releases and recaptures between Apr 2007 and June 2010. Red circles are releasing sites and proportional to the number of released fish. The colour background gives the predicted density of fish. Right: observed and predicted number of tag recapture by longitude and latitude.

## Optimal Parameterization

The use of tagging data in the likelihood function allowed estimating the advection parameter (maximum sustainable speed) to 1.105 body length per second. However, to fit the tagging data the model had a tendency to decrease drastically the diffusion parameter estimate. To achieve optimisation diffusion it was fixed to its minimum value (Table 7.3). The optimal spawning temperature was estimated but with a standard error reaching the maximum boundary value. A major difference with previous optimisation experiments are for the parameterization of feeding habitat that is predicted to occur in a much warmer range of temperature even for adults, and with a higher threshold in oxygen tolerance. Also, given the lower diffusion and higher advection estimates the large fish have higher capacity to quickly move along the habitat gradients towards better habitat values. As a consequence the difference increases with age between the mean mortality coefficients estimated from theoretical functions and those weighted by the cohort density (Figure 7.6).

Table 7.3: Habitats and movement parameter estimates for skipjack application

| Parameters estimated by the model |  |  | Unit | SODA $1^{\circ} \mathrm{v} 1$ | SODA $1^{\circ} \mathrm{v} 2$ | OMEGA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{s}$ |  | Optimum of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28.67 | 29.5* | 28.62 |
| $\sigma_{s}$ |  | Std. Err. of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.75* | 3.0* | 3.0] |
| $\alpha$ |  | Larvae food-predator trade-off coefficient | - | 1.03 | 0.51 | $60]^{1}$ |
| $T_{a}$ |  | Optimum of the adult temperature function at maximum age | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20.64 | 20 | 28] |
| $\sigma_{a}$ |  | Std. Err. of the adult temperature function at maximum age | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.5] | 3.5] | $3]$ |
| Ô |  | Oxygen threshold value at $\Psi_{O}=0.5$ | $\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 2.47 | 2.2 | 4.5] |
| $D_{\text {max }}$ |  | Diffusion parameter |  | 0.065* | 0.08* | 0.07* |
| $V_{\text {max }}$ |  | Maximum sustainable speed | B.L. s ${ }^{-1}$ | [0.9 | [0.75 | 1.105 |

*Fixed; [val = value close to minimum boundary value; val] = value close to maximum boundary value
${ }^{1}$ cannot be compared to previous experiments due to changes in model formulation


Figure 7.6 : see next page


Figure 7.6: Comparisons between previous (SKJ2.1) and new (SKJ3.0) optimal parameterization. a: change with age (size) of optimal temperature of thermal habitat. $\mathbf{b}$ :distribution of larvae according to SST and the estimated parameters of spawning index. $\mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{d}$ : Maximum sustainable speed and diffusion rates by cohort (depending of age/size and habitat value / gradient) based on SEAPODYM parameterization (dotted lines) and predicted means weighted by the cohort density (black dots) with one standard error (red bars). e: natural mortality rates (month ${ }^{-1}$ ) estimated from SEAPODYM optimization experiments. Black dotted line corresponds to the theoretical average mortality curve whereas blue dots indicate the mean mortality rates weighted by the cohort density and orange and red dots are the MUTLTIFAN-CL estimates for 2010 and 2011 assessments.

## Biomass estimates and population dynamics

In comparison to the last reference fit achieved with the SODA-VGPM configuration (SEAPODYM progress report 2013), the new OMEGA reference fit shows:

1. Lower total biomass estimate ( $\sim 7-8.5$ instead of $\sim 9-10$ million tonnes) with higher range of interannual variability at the Pacific basin scale (Figure 7.8).
2. More contrast between PNA region and the rest of the Ocean with higher concentration of fish in PNA region relatively to other regions.
3. Despite the lower biomass estimates predicted for the entire Pacific Ocean and WCPFC area, the predicted skipjack densities in the main fishing grounds, including PNG and Solomon I. EEZs, are higher in the revised simulation using OMEGA forcing (Figure 7.10).
4. Like in previous estimate, the total biomass in the WCPO is close to the one estimated with MFCL, but with regional differences especially in region 1 and 2 (Figure 7.9); in both models the lowest biomass occurs in region 1 (north west Pacific) with MFCL estimate roughly 50\% below the SEAPODYM estimate. The highest biomass is estimated in region 3 (central equatorial region) for MFCL but region 2 for SEAPODYM.

As a consequence, the overall fishing impact is lower in the revised solution (Figure 7.11). The strong fishing pressure in the western equatorial region led to a depletion of biomass of larger cohorts resulting in an average decrease (over 2008-12) of the spawning (adult) biomass of skipjack that can reach 50\% of the unfished biomass locally in the Papua New Guinea EEZ (Figure 7.11).


Figure 7.7. Change in five year average (2004-2008) total biomass distribution between first (SKJ2.0) and new (SKJ3.0) reference model solutions (top: with fishing, bottom - without fishing).
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Figure 7.8. Time series of (from top to bottom) skipjack recruits, adults and total biomass estimated with the new optimization experiment SKJ3.0 for the Pacific Ocean.


Figure 7.9. Comparison between SEAPODYM SKJ3.0 and MULTIFAN-CL (red curves) total skipjack biomass estimates for WCPO (top) and by region 1 to 3 .


Figure 7.10. Mean distribution of young and adult skipjack tuna in SKJ 3.0 reference model. Circles are mean skipjack catches over the same period.


Figure 7.11. Fishing impact on young and adult skipjack with reference fit SKJ3.0 at the scale of the Pacific Ocean and in the western equatorial region. Contour lines show the index $\frac{B_{F 0}-B_{r e f}}{B_{F 0}}$ and color shows the average biomass reduction due to fishing.

## 8. REFERENCE FIT FOR PACIFIC BIGEYE

## Fishing data

The definition of fisheries for Pacifc bigeye tuna is provided in Table 8.1. All longline catch and effort fishing data are at a resolution of $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ} \times$ month while for surface gears (purse seine and pole-andline) the resolution is $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ} \times$ month, excepted for Philippine and Indonesia fisheries. Size frequency data are at a resolution of $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ (WCPO purse seine) $10^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$, or aggregated over a region for the EPO. A major revision of fisheries definition has been realized since the last optimization experiment, with all fisheries organised by nation and careful data screening procedure to i) remove outliers using a method based on the computation of CPUE variance and 2) convert the fishing effort of EPO purse seine fisheries in fishing days when only total effort in days and number of sets by fishery was available.

Table 8.1. Bigeye Fishing Dataset 2014. Definition of SEAPODYM fisheries in Pacific Ocean

| $\mathbf{f}$ | Description | Nationalities | Dataset | Time <br> period | C <br> unit | Res |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L1 | LL BET\&YFT | Japan | SPC | $1950-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L2 | LL BET\&YFT | Korea | SPC | $1962-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L3 | LL BET\&YFT | Vanuatu | SPC | $1964-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L4 | LL BET\&YFT | USA | SPC | $1991-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L5 | LL BET\&YFT | Pacific Islands | SPC | $1991-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L6 | LL Shallow night | China, Chinese Taipei | SPC | $1958-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L7 | LL ALB | Kapan | SPC | $1951-2011$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L8 | LL ALB | China, Chinese Taipei | SPC | $1958-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L9 | LL ALB | USA | SPC | $1994-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L10 | LL ALB | Pacific Islands | SPC | $1982-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L11 | LL ALB | Australia | SPC | $1985-2011$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L12 | LL Australia East Coast | Philippines, Indonesia | SPC | $1970-2011$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L13 | LL PH_ID | All | SPC | $1955-2012$ | Nb | $5 \times 5$ |
| L14 | LL BFT | All | SPC | $1967-2012$ | mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S15 | PS on drifting FAD \& log | SPC | $1979-2012$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |  |
| S16 | PS on anchored FAD | All | SPC | $1967-2012$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S17 | PS on free school | All | SPC | $1970-2011$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S18 | PS Sub-tropical, all sch. types | Japan | SPC | $1970-2012$ | Mt | $5 \times 5$ |
| S19 | PS PH_ID | Philippines, Indonesia | SPC | $1967-2012$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S20 | PS type ‘O' - other, WCPO | All | IATTC | $1958-2011$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S21 | PS YFT, free schools | All | IATTC | $1959-2011$ | Mt | $1 \times 1$ |
| S22 | PS YFT FO | All |  |  |  |  |

## Tagging data

The cohorts of tags were defined using compiled tagging datasets provided by SPC from the PTTP tagging programme. There are four different release positions. Forty-four monthly tagged cohorts
were defined from tagged fish released and recaptured during the time series 2004-2012 and used in the optimisation (Figure 8.1).


Figure 8.1. Bigeye conventional tagging data used in optimization: (top) size distribution at release and recapture; (bottom) time at liberty histogram and time at liberty of the tags released at different time.

## Bigeye population structure

The structure of the population is similar to the one used in previous reference fit (SODA-BET2.0). There is 1-month cohort for larvae life stage, two 1-month cohort for juvenile stage, two 2-month and five 3-month cohorts for young fish (before age at maturity) and 11 cohorts for adult stages (three of 4 months, two of 5 months and the last six of $6,8,9,11,15$, and 60 months correspondingly). The last "+ cohort" accumulates older fish. Age at first maturity was set to age 3 yr . Age-length and age-weight relationships (Figure 8.2) are derived from the last MULTIFAN-CL estimate (Davies et al., 2011).


Figure 8.2: Bigeye size ( FL in cm ) and weight at age functions used in SEAPODYM simulation (left), based on MULTIFAN-CL estimates (Davies et al., 2011).

## Optimization experiments

The optimization was conducted using both fishing and tagging data. Philippine and Indonesia fishing data are not used in the optimization due to a lack of accuracy.

As for skipjack the objective was to test the first optimization using conventional tagging data as an additional contribution to the Likelihood function. Due to the longer life span of bigeye and the need for a realistic simulation of currents, we used the ECCO reanalysis which extended the time-series back to 1998, ie the beginning of ocean color monitoring with SeaWiFS, and thus providing a timeseries of 15 years. The primary production and euphotic depth are derived from satellite ocean color data using the VGPM-EPPLEY model. Due to the use of conventional tagging data in the optimization experiments that leads to greater memory demands the maximal duration of simulation period could be set no more than 7 years. The time series is hence too short for a good estimation of initial conditions and the Multifan-CL estimated stock size in WCPO area was used as prior information to constrain the likelihood function (a priori on average total biomass $=1,300,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in the geographical box $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-150^{\circ} \mathrm{W} ; 45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\right)$.

## Fit to catch data

The model results were validated using the whole time series, i.e., 1998-2012. The details of fit for all fisheries separately are provided in appendix $\mathbf{2}$ while a summary is provided below.

Results for this new optimization (ECCO-BET3.0) are compared to the previous reference fit (SODABET2.0; last year report). However, the results are not fully comparable due to the different time series used. Nevertheless, it is clear that this new optimisation experiment provide much better fit to catch data (Figure 8.3). Especially the relative error on the catch has been drastically reduced and the R2 increased, not only in the main fishing grounds but also in the subtropical gyres where the catch is usually low.

BET2.0
R-squared goodness of fit (Total catch over 1998/1-2006/12) mean $=0.47$, nbc. pos $=150$


BET3.0
R-squared goodness of fit (total catch over 1998/1 - 2011/12) mean $=0.57$, $n b c$.pos $=271$

 mean=23.5, nbc.pos=430


Figure 8.3. Comparison of fit to fishing data between previous (Lehodey et al. 2013) and new optimization experiments over the period 1998-2008 for SODA-BET 2.0 and 1998-2012 for ECCOBET3.0 experiments. Top: R-squared goodness of fit representing the spatial fit over the period used for optimization (white squares indicate negative correlation between observations and predictions; Black circles are proportional to the level of catch. Bottom: relative error in predicted catch for all fisheries.

Total catch is well predicted (Figure 8.4). Especially, the predicted catch fit very well the catch of the three main Asian longline fisheries (L1, L2, L3) targeting bigeye and yellowfin (cf Appendix 2). The fit is lower for several fisheries with much higher range of observed variability than predicted. This is the case for longline fisheries L4 (USA), L7 (Japan targeting albacore), L12 (East Coast Australia) and for purse seine fisheries S15 (drifting FADs), S16 (anchored FAD) and S21 (free school targeting yellowfin). The catch by the two latter being an order of magnitude lower than the former. Trends in catchability over the time series 1998-2012 (Table 8.2) suggest a clear annual decrease in the Chinese-Taiwan longline fishery targeting albacore (-6\%), and also in the purse seine fishery fishing on anchored FAD (-3.6\%).

Given the single size coefficient-at-age used by cohort and the single parameterisation of the selectivity function by fishery, the model cannot output a detailed representation of size variability in catch. Nevertheless, it is useful to check the overall fit to size frequencies samples and its change
over the time series (Appendix 2). One major discrepancy in the fits for longline fisheries appears in longline fishery L2 (Korea) with a shift in size of catch samples from $\sim 100 \mathrm{~cm}$ in average during 19982003 to $\sim 130-140 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the following years. Smaller sizes also appears during the same period in longline fisheries L8 (Korea targeting albacore) and L9 (China and Taiwan-ROC targeting albacore).

Table 8.2. Estimates of bigeye catchability by fishery and annual change (\%) since 1998.

| Fishery |  | q | annual \% change <br> since 1998 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| L1 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.0001700 | 0.00 |
| L2 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.0002100 | 0.00 |
| L3 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.0000709 | 0.00 |
| L4 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.0000367 | 0.00 |
| L5 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.0000588 | 1.20 |
| L6 | LL Shallow night | 0.0000655 | 0.00 |
| L7 | LL ALB | 0.0001110 | 0.00 |
| L8 | LL ALB | 0.0000484 | 0.00 |
| L9 | LL ALB | 0.0000100 | -6.00 |
| L10 | LL ALB | 0.0000620 | 0.00 |
| L11 | LL ALB | 0.0000200 | 0.00 |
| L12 | LL Australia East Coast | 0.0000150 | 0.00 |
| L13 | LL PH_ID | 0.0448400 | 0.00 |
| L14 | LL BFT | 0.4547600 | 0.00 |
| S15 | PS on drifting FAD \& log | 0.0056300 | 0.00 |
| S16 | PS on anchored FAD | 0.1524000 | -3.60 |
| S17 | PS on free school | 0.0150000 | 0.00 |
| S18 | PS Sub-tropical, all sch. types | 0.0020000 | 0.00 |
| S19 | PS PH_ID | 0.0015790 | -2.40 |
| S20 | PS type 'O' - other, WCPO | 0.1303700 | 0.00 |
| S21 | PS YFT, free schools | 0.00 |  |
| S22 | PS YFT FO | 0.00 |  |



Figure 8.4. Fit between observed (dotted line) and predicted (continuous line) Total Pacific bigeye tuna catch. Note this is the sum of catch by fishery, which are given in different units (PS - in metric tons, $L L$ - in number of fish).

## Fit to tagging data

Observed recapture of tagged fish occurred mainly in a longitudinal band between $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ over all the Pacific Ocean from the coast of Papua New Guinea to the coast of South America, far from their sites of release mainly in the central equatorial Pacific. The fit between observed and predicted
tag recaptures achieved by optimizing the full likelihood (CPUE, LF and Tags) is shown on Figure 8.5 and Figure 8.6. Predicted recaptures show also a concentration in the equatorial band $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and are consistent with observations showing the longitudinal separation of tags at 160W. However, there is much less longitudinal extension either east or west and obviously too strong diffusion to higher latitudes in comparison to observations. The distant longitudinal movements are not well represented as diffusion movements seem to be prevailing over directed movements. To investigate this bias, a sensitivity analysis was conducted using tagging data only in the optimisation (cf. next section).


Figure 8.5 . Observed and predicted bigeye recaptures after introducing flexibility in parameterization estimates of energy transfer or accessibility to micronekton functional groups. All releases and recaptures between May 2008 and May 2012. Red circles are releasing sites and proportional to the number of released fish.


Figure 8.6. RMSE for the number of tag recaptures on 5-deg square resolution grid in observational space. Each circle corresponds to the cohort of tags, which were recaptured at the same month.

## Optimal Parameterization

The use of tagging data in the likelihood function produced antagonistic effect with the CPUE term of the likelihood. The inclusion of tagging data resulted in decreased estimate of diffusion and also estimated the advection term at its lower boundary value (Table 8.3). The optimal spawning temperature was estimated $\left(24.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ but still with a standard error that had to be fixed $\left(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The optimal temperature for feeding habitat of adults was estimated but also with a standard error that reached the upper boundary value. In comparison to previous reference fit, this parameterization led to a warmer feeding habitat (Figure 8.7) and much wider distribution of larvae relatively to SST, with no change in oxygen threshold. The mean natural mortality coefficients estimated from theoretical functions as well as those weighted by the cohort density (Figure 8.7) is close to the previous one with values rapidly decreasing in the early life stages to values around $0.04 \mathrm{mo}^{-1}$ ( 0.48 $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) for cohorts older than 1.5 year.

Table 8.3: Estimates of habitats and movement parameters of previous simulations and the new one using environmental forcings from SODA and IPSL-CM4 before and after correction of temperature fields.

| Parameters estimated by the model |  |  | Unit | NCEPORCA2 | SODA | ECCO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{s}$ | - <br>  <br> $\sum_{0}$ <br> n <br> n | Optimum of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 26.13 | 28.29 | 24.83 |
| $\sigma_{s}$ |  | Std. Err. of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2* | 1* | 2* |
| $\alpha$ |  | Larvae food-predator trade-off coefficient | - | 0.33 | 4.93 | 0.01* |
| $T_{a}$ |  | Optimum of the adult temperature function at maximum age | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | [6.5 | [8 | 11.69 |
| $\sigma_{a}$ |  | Std. Err. of the adult temperature function at maximum age | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.5] | 2.8 | 4.2] |
| Ô |  | Oxygen threshold value at $\Psi_{O}=0.5$ | $\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.604 |
| $D_{\text {max }}$ |  | Diffusion parameterMaximum sustainable speed |  | 0.06* | 0.02* | 0.0091 |
| $V_{\text {max }}$ |  |  | B.L. $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | 0.834 | 0.32 | [0.2 |

## Biomass estimates and population dynamics

In comparison to the last reference fit achieved with the SODA-VGPM configuration (SEAPODYM progress report 2013), this new optimisation (BET3.0) shows:

1. Higher biomass in the WCPO relatively to the EPO, with larger increase in the north-west Pacific, the Coral Sea and the Indonesia region (Figure 8.8; Figure 8.9).
2. At Pacific basin scale better recruitment conditions in 1999-2001 leading to a peak in total biomass in 2006 (Figure 8.10).
3. Largest concentration of juvenile fish in the central Pacific.
4. Higher total biomass estimate ( $\sim 3$ million tonnes instead of $\sim 1.5$ ) at the Pacific basin scale (Figure 8.10).
5. The total biomass in the WCPO is also much higher than the previous one and the estimate with MFCL (2011), especially in regions north and south of the tropical regions 3 and 4 corresponding to the main fishing grounds.

The overall higher biomass estimates as well as the likely overestimated biomass in higher latitudes can be due to the problem of movement parameters estimates resulting in high diffusion and low advection. This problem was investigated through a sensitivity analysis detailed in the next section.


Figure 8.7: see next page


Figure 8.7: Comparisons between previous (BET2.0) and new (BET3.0) optimal parameterization. a: change with age (size) of optimal temperature of thermal habitat. $\mathbf{b}$ :distribution of larvae according to SST and the estimated parameters of spawning index. c, d: Maximum sustainable speed and diffusion rates by cohort (depending of age/size and habitat value / gradient) based on SEAPODYM parameterization (dotted lines) and predicted means weighted by the cohort density (black dots) with one standard error (red bars). e: natural mortality rates (month ${ }^{-1}$ ) estimated from SEAPODYM optimization experiments. Black dotted line corresponds to the theoretical average mortality curve whereas blue dots indicate the mean mortality rates weighted by the cohort density.

SODA-BET2.0
total bet (mt/sq.km) in 1/2002-12/2006


ECCO-BET3.0
Mean distribution of totbm bet ( $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$ ) in 1/2007-12/2011


Figure 8.8. Total biomass distribution between first (BET2.0) and new (BET3.0) reference model solutions.


Figure 8.9. Average spatial distributions of Bigeye juveniles, young, adult and total biomass with reference fit BET3.0.
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Figure 8.10. Time series of (from top to bottom) bigeye recruits, adults and total biomass estimated for the Pacific Ocean with the new optimization experiment BET3.0.


Figure 8.11. Comparison between SEAPODYM BET3.0 and MULTIFAN-CL (red curves; Davies et al., 2011) total bigeye biomass estimates for WCPO (regions 1 to 6 ).

## Optimization of tagging data likelihood

Given the unsatisfactory results achieved using tagging data in the full likelihood function, a study was conducted by modelling only the tagged cohorts and minimizing the cost function including only tagging data. It was concluded that the lack of improvement in the fit was due to the poor representation of feeding habitat index distributions, which are used in the model to stipulate the directed movements of fish. Adding six additional parameters to the feeding habitat allowed testing the sensitivity to the vertical distribution of micronekton biomass functional groups and/or to their
accessibility. Much better fit to the observed tag recapture was achieved and the advection parameter was well estimated ( 1.36 body length per second compared to $0.2 \mathrm{BL} / \mathrm{sec}$ being estimated at its lower boundary). In addition to the longitudinal separation of tag recaptures at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ (Figure 8.12), the long-distance longitudinal movements are much better represented, predicting more tags recaptured east of the release position.

The latitudinal movements are rather weak, resulting in high concentrations of tags around the equator, however the range of predicted latitudes is much more consistent with observations in comparison with the experiment with full likelihood function, where considerable amount of tags were predicted north of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. This result suggests that changes either in the parameterization of the micronekton (prey) model or/and the accessibility to its components could drastically improve the distributions of habitat gradients and the fit to tagging data (Figure 8.12). The estimated values for the additional coefficients (Table 8.4) indicate that it would be necessary to drastically increase the accessibility to the deep non migrant micronekton to obtain a better representation of fish movements. The current limited accessibility is likely due to the thermal habitat. It is possible to include more flexibility in the form of the functional relationship used to define the thermal habitat with a wider optimal range of temperature to reflect a better thermoregulation capacity of fish. This hypothesis will be tested in the future work for bigeye and verified in the skipjack and yellowfin applications.


Figure 8.12. Observed and predicted bigeye recaptures after introducing flexibility in parameterization estimates of energy transfer or accessibility to micronekton functional groups. All releases and recaptures between May 2008 and May 2012. Red circles are releasing sites and proportional to the number of released fish.

Table 8.4. Parameter estimates from the sensitivity analysis with tag releases between 05/2008 and $05 / 2012$. In grey are the non-observable parameters (seasonal migrations).

| N | Parameter | Min | Max | Init | Est |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | b_sst_spawning | 26 | 32 | 25.4865 | 30.713 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | a_sst_habitat | 0.4 | 4.5 | 2.36148 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | b_sst_habitat | 5 | 17 | 10.7874 | 14.1778 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | a_ox_habitat | $1 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0.1 | 0.000113197 | $1 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | b_ox__habitat | 0 | 4.5 | 0.799602 | 0.710891 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | sigma_species | 0 | 0.02 | 0.00418584 | 0.000597843 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | MSS_species | 0.1 | 3 | 0.993299 | 1.36131 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | c_diff_fish | 0 | 1 | 0.764346 | $5.51759 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | spawning_season_peak | 0 | 90 | 89.1636 | $2.00974 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | spawning_season_start | 0.95 | 1.2 | 1.00211 | 1.19871 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | e_Fepi | 0.1 | 1000 | 0.3 | 0.1047748 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | e_Fmeso | 0.1 | 1000 | 1 | 5.129941 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | e_Fmmeso | 0.1 | 1000 | 0.5 | 0.2329335 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | e_Fbathy | 0.1 | 1000 | 0.3 | 105.3145 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | e_Fmbathy | 0.1 | 1000 | 1 | 0.1000004 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | e_Fhmbathy | 0.05 | 1000 | 0.3 | 0.05 |

## 9. REFERENCE FIT FOR PACIFIC YELLOWFIN

## Fishing data

The definition of fisheries for Pacific yellowfin tuna is provided in Table 9.1. As for bigeye tuna, all longline catch and effort fishing data are at a resolution of $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ} \times$ month while for surface gears (purse seine and pole-and-line) the resolution is $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ} \times$ month, excepted for Philippine and Indonesia fisheries. Size frequency data are at a resolution of $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ (WCPO purse seine) $10^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$, or aggregated over a region for the EPO.

Table 9.1. Yellowfin Fishing Dataset 2014. Definition of SEAPODYM fisheries in Pacific Ocean

|  | Description | Nationality | Resolution | Time period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L1 | LL targeting BET and YFT | Japan | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1950-2011$ |
| L2 | LL targeting BET and YFT | Korea | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1962-2011$ |
| L3 | LL targeting BET and YFT | China, Chinese <br> Taipei, Vanuatu | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1964-2011$ |
| L4 | LL targeting BET and YFT | China, Chinese <br> Taipei | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1958-2011$ |
| L5 | LL targeting South and North Pacific <br> Albacore, with specific fleet ID : DW, OS and <br> SP | ALL | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1964-2012$ |
| L6 | LL targeting South and North Pacific <br> Albacore, with specific fleet ID : JP and OD | Japan, Taiwan | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1952-2012$ |
| L7 | LL targeting South Pacific Albacore | ALL | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1982-2012$ |
| L8 | LL targeting BET and YFT | ALL | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1970-2012$ |
| L9 | Longline Australia East Coast | Australia | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1985-2011$ |
| L10 | Hawaii Longline | USA | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1991-2011$ |
| L11 | LL targeting Pacific Bluefin operating west of | ALL | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1955-2011$ |
| L12 | LL targeting BET and YFT | ID, ID-ID | $5 \times 5$, monthly | $1958-2012$ |
| S13 | PS sub-tropical | Japan | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1972-2008$ |
| S14 | PS Anchored FADs, WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1979-2010$ |
| S15 | PS Animal, WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1986-2010$ |
| S16 | PS Drifting FADs, WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1982-2010$ |
| S17 | PS Natural Fads, WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1979-2010$ |
| S18 | PS Free swimming school, WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1979-2010$ |
| P19 | PL WCPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1972-2008$ |
| S20 | PS Floating objects | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1996-2013$ |
| S21 | PS Animal, EPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1996-2013$ |
| S22 | PS Free swimming school, EPO | ALL | $1 \times 1$, monthly | $1996-2013$ |

## Yellowfin population structure

The population is structured with 2-month cohorts and a last "+ cohort" that accumulates older fish. The age at maturity occurs after cohort 15, i.e., at age 2.5 yr. Age-length and age-weight relationships are derived from the 2011 MULTIFAN-CL estimate (Langley et al., 2011).


Figure 9.1: Yellowfin size ( FL in cm ) and weight at age ( yr ) functions used in SEAPODYM simulation based on MULTIFAN-CL estimates (Langley et al. 2011).

## Optimization experiments

This optimization for yellowfin (YFT.1.1) was conducted with fishing data only (i.e., catch effort and size frequencies). Philippine and Indonesia fishing data are not used in the optimization due to a lack of accuracy. This optimization was conducted after the bigeye tuna tagging data sensitivity (cf. above), and thus included the change in the form of the functional relationship for the thermal habitat. The long historical hindcast provided by the coupled models NEMO-PISCES forced by the atmospheric reanalysis time series was used to achieved this first estimate. Prior information to constrain the likelihood function (a priori average total biomass $=3,900,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in the geographical box $120^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-150^{\circ} \mathrm{W} ; 45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ ), based on previous stock estimates with Multifan-CL.

## Fit to catch data

The model results were validated using the whole time series, i.e., 1980-2004. The details of fit for all fisheries separately are provided in appendix $\mathbf{3}$ while a summary is provided below.

The spatial fit to observed catch is fairly good in the main fishing grounds, in particular the equatorial EPO but decreasing towards the central gyres and higher latitudes where catch become more occasional (Figure 9.2).

Total catch is well predicted, showing a regular increasing trend since the early 1980s (Figure 8.4). The detail by fishery (appendix 3) shows a difficulty to simulate the high variability observed in catch of longline fisheries L2 (Korea), L9 (East Coast Australia), L10 (Hawaii) and L11 (targeting albacore west of $145^{\circ}$ ). The fit is high for all purse seine fisheries, the lowest ( $r=0.67$ ) being for S22 (free school). Trends in catchability over the time series 1980-2003 (Table 9.2) suggest annual decrease in L4 (China and Taipei) and L12 (East Australia) longline fisheries. Many purse seine fisheries in WCPO and EPO showed an annual increase in a range of 1.2-2.4\%.

The overall fit to size frequencies samples are generally good (Appendix 3) with the exception of purse seine fishery S18 (WCPO, sets on logs) where a lot of small size fish in observed catch are not predicted by the model. Interestingly, the model predicted significant variability in mean size of catch over time in the purse seine fisheries S20, S21 and S22 in the EPO.


Figure 9.2. Comparison of fit to fishing data over the period 1980-2003 for NCEP-YFT2.0. Left: Rsquared goodness of fit representing the spatial fit over the period used for optimization (white squares indicate negative correlation between observations and predictions; Black circles are proportional to the level of catch. Right: relative error in predicted catch for all fisheries.


Figure 9.3. Fit between total observed (dotted line) and predicted (continuous line) Pacific yellowfin tuna catch. Note that series represent the sum of catches by fisheries having different units, i.e. longline catch is provided in numbers of fish, the purse-seine and pole-and-line are given in metric tons.

Table 9.2. Estimates of yellowfin catchability by fishery and annual change (\%) since 1980.

| Fishery |  | q | annual \% change <br> since 1980 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.007667 | 0.00 |
| L2 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.009220 | 0.00 |
| L3 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.004727 | 0.00 |
| L4 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.017616 | -2.40 |
| L5 | LL BET\&YFT | 0.008062 | 0.00 |
| L6 | LL Shallow night | 0.003084 | 0.00 |
| L7 | LL ALB | 0.005289 | 0.00 |
| L8 | LL ALB | 0.008889 | 0.00 |
| L9 | LL ALB | 0.010000 | 0.00 |
| L10 | LL ALB | 0.002280 | 0.00 |
| L11 | LL BFT | 0.002000 | 0.00 |
| L12 | LL SP-ALB | 0.015000 | -2.40 |
| S13 | PS sub-tropical | 0.001380 | 0.00 |
| S14 | PS Anchored FADs, WCPO | 0.004871 | 0.00 |
| S15 | PS Animal, WCPO | 0.004000 | 0.00 |
| S16 | PS Drifting FADs, WCPO | 0.008736 | 0.00 |
| S17 | PS Natural Fads, WCPO | 0.002343 | 1.20 |
| S18 | PS Free swimming school, WCPO | 0.002638 | 1.20 |
| P19 | PL | 0.000499 | -1.20 |
| S20 | PS type ‘O' - other, WCPO | 0.007964 | 1.80 |
| S21 | PS EPO, FO | 0.005534 | 2.40 |
| S22 | PSEPO free school | 0.008611 | 1.80 |

## Optimal Parameterization

The optimal spawning temperature was estimated $\left(29.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with a standard error reaching the upper boundary value $\left(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (Figure 9.4). As a result larvae mainly concentrate in waters with SST above $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a peak in the range $28-31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 9.3). The optimal temperature for feeding habitat of adults was estimated and gives a thermal habitat decreasing with size/age from $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The value of the oxygen threshold parameter was estimated to $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ which is surprisingly low for yellowfin. The movement parameters cannot be estimated correctly even with fixed diffusion, the advection coefficient reached its lower boundary value. The mean natural mortality coefficients are estimated between 0.05 and $0.7 \mathrm{mo}^{-1}\left(0.60-0.84 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ for cohorts older than 1 year.

Table 9.3: Estimates of habitats, movement and mortality function parameters for yellowfin tuna based on NCEP-NEMO-PISCES forcing. The values marked with '[' or ']' were estimated at their minimal or maximal boundary value correspondingly

| Parameters estimated by the model |  |  | Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCEP- } \\ & \text { YFT2.0 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{s}$ |  | Optimum of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29.31 |
| $\sigma_{s}$ |  | Std. Err. of the spawning temperature function | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2] |
| $r$ |  | Maximal number of recruits per adult | Nb | 137.5 |
| $T_{a}$ |  | Optimum of the adult temperature function at maximum age | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | [14 |
| $\sigma_{a}$ |  | Slope coefficient in sigmoid function describing tolerance to oxygen | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.13 |
| $\hat{O}$ |  | Oxygen threshold value at $\Psi_{O}=0.5$ | $\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.60 |
| $D_{\text {max }}$ |  | Diffusion parameter |  | 0.025* |
| $V_{\text {max }}$ |  | Maximum sustainable speed | B.L. ${ }^{-1}$ | [0.1 |
| $e_{p}$ |  | Slope in exponential decrease of predation mortality with age | - | 0.114 |
| $M_{s}$ |  | Senescence mortality at age 0 | $\mathrm{mo}^{-1}$ | 0.005 |
| $e_{s}$ |  | Increase of senescence mortality with age | - | 0.65 |
| $\varepsilon$ |  | variability of mortality due to food requirement index | \% | 29 |



Figure 9.4: see next page


Figure 9.4: Optimal parameterization for yellowfin tuna. a: change with age (size) of optimal temperature of thermal habitat. $\mathbf{b}$ :distribution of larvae according to SST and the estimated parameters of spawning index. $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ : Maximum sustainable speed and diffusion rates by cohort (depending of age/size and habitat value / gradient) based on SEAPODYM parameterization (dotted lines) and predicted means weighted by the cohort density (black dots) with one standard error (red bars). e: natural mortality rates (month ${ }^{-1}$ ) estimated from SEAPODYM optimization experiments. Black dotted line corresponds to the theoretical average mortality curve whereas blue dots indicate the mean mortality rates weighted by the cohort density.

## Biomass estimates and population dynamics

This parameterization produce a biomass distribution with a core area associated to the warm waters of the warm pool and the Philippine-Indonesia region, and the warm currents moving north (Kuroshio), south (East Australian Current) and east (north equatorial counter current) with a strong diffusing pattern from these core areas while fish cohorts are getting older (Figure 9.5). The total
biomass estimate for the Pacific fluctuates between 7 and 8 million metric tonnes since the 1980s with a rapid decline to 6.5 Mt after 2001 due to the large increase of catch by longline fisheries and the purse seine fishery S21 (EPO floating objects fishery). In the WCPO, the total biomass is estimated between 5 and 4 Mt (3.5-1.5 for MFCL; Langley et al. (2011)). The largest regional discrepancies between SEAPODYM and MFCL biomass estimates are from outside the main fishing regions 2 and 3 , with a biomass higher by a factor $\sim 6$ in region 6 and a factor $\sim 3$ in regions 1 and 2 .

Though the fit to catch data is reasonable, the movement parameters are poorly constrained and the introduction of tagging data in the future optimization experiment should be useful to improve the modelling of spatial distribution for this species.


Figure 9.5. Average spatial distributions of yellowfin larvae, young, adult and total biomass


Figure 9.6. Time series of (from top to bottom) yellowfin recruits, adults and total biomass estimated for the Pacific Ocean with the new optimization experiment YFT1.0.


Figure 9.7. Comparison between SEAPODYM YFT2.0 and MULTIFAN-CL (red curves) total yellowfin biomass estimates for WCPO and by region 1 to 6 .

## 10. CURRENT AND FUTURE WORK PLAN 2014-15

## Impact of tagging data in optimisation

The use of conventional tagging data in the optimisation framework of SEAPODYM remains one priority for 2014-15 to improve the modelling of spatial tuna population dynamics. The current results for the reference fit SKJ3.0 and BET 3.0 should be revised. Preliminary sensitivity analyses have been conducted and suggest that changes either in the parameterization of the micronekton (prey) model or the accessibility to its components could drastically improve the fit to tagging data (Figure 8.12). In parallel, the optimisation of the micronekton model will continue with the objective of using acoustic data transects to estimate the energy transfer from primary production to micronekton functional groups. Optimization using both fishing data and tagging data still needs to be conducted for yellowfin tuna.

## Operational Basin scale model

SEAPODYM progress and applications in 2013-2014 have been strongly linked to the development of an operational model for the INDESO project. The operational model configuration has been developed at weekly $1_{4}{ }^{\circ}$ resolution, for skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna based on the reference fits presented in this document. A climatology (monthly average over the last 5 years) of fishing effort is used in the Pacific to include fishing mortality. In 2014-15, a special focus will be given to the Indian Ocean to define similarly the tuna fisheries and produce fishing effort climatologies and better initial conditions for the operational model.

## Regional Modelling

A regional operational (realtime) high resolution SEAPODYM model is developed for the Indonesian Government (INDESO project) and will be delivered in the 4th quarter of 2014 in the Perancak Center, Bali. The regional model provides higher resolution ( $1 / 12^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ day) with a much detailed bathymetry and thus more accurate ocean circulation estimates than the global model $\left(1 / 4^{\circ} \mathrm{x}\right.$ week). Once the operational regional model started from initial conditions provided by the global model, outputs begin to diverge due to different slightly environmental conditions, especially a more accurate circulation near the coast of Islands in the regional model. Therefore it is useful to compare similar outputs from both global and regional models. For example, the global model seems to produce spurious concentration of fish in several cells adjacent to the coast (Figure 10.1). These concentrations (red cells) disappear in the regional model, likely due to more accurate circulation. Thus, the comparisons of both model outputs should help to improve the estimates of tuna biomass both at regional and global scales. Efforts are also developed to compare in real time total tuna catch by administrative fishing areas with model outputs.


Figure 10.1. Comparison between juvenile skipjack biomass distributions from global (top) and regional (bottom) model for the week of 02-06-2014. Note that regional outputs were averaged over the week for comparison with the weekly outputs of the global model.

## SeapodymView

The software SeapodymView which provides for easy display of SEAPODYM output is currently being revised to allow use on non-Linux operating systems and to provide improved visualisation options. The new version will be released in October 2014.
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## 12. APPENDIX 1 - SKIPJACK



Time series of total observed and predicted catch (left) and CPUE (right)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (cont.)


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches.


Mean length for fishery S7





Mean length for fishery L8

skj LF S13, all quarters


Mean length for fishery S13

skj LF S11, all quarters
(bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery S11




Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches
(cont.)

## 13. APPENDIX 2 - BIGEYE



Time series of total observed and predicted catch (left) and CPUE (right)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (Cont.)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (Cont.)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (Cont.)


Mean length for fishery L1





Mean length for fishery L2



Mean length for fishery L5

bet LF L3, all quarters
(bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery L3


Mean length for fishery L6


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches.


Mean length for fishery L7
RMSE $=10.86$



Mean length for fishery L14
RMSE $=16.92$

bet LF L8, all quarters
(bars-observed)


Mean length for fishery L8

bet LF S16, all quarters
(bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery S16

bet LF L9, all quarters
(bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery L9

bet LF S17, all quarters
(bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery S17
RMSE $=16.96$


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches (cont.)


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches
(cont.)

## 14. APPENDIX 3 - YELLOWFIN



Time series of total observed and predicted catch (left) and CPUE (right)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (cont.)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (cont.)


Time series of observed and predicted catch by fishery (cont.)










Mean length for fishery L4


Mean length for fishery L6


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches


Mean length for fishery L7



Mean length for fishery S14



Mean length for fishery L8

yft LF S16, all quarters


Mean length for fishery S16

yft LF L9, all quarters


Mean length for fishery L9

yft LF S17, all quarters (bars - observed)


Mean length for fishery S17


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches
(cont.)


Observed (grey) and predicted (red) length frequencies distribution and mean length in catches (cont.)

