



COMMISSION
NINTH REGULAR SESSION
Manila, Philippines
2-6 December 2012

**MATRIX OF TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND PROPOSED
PROCESS FOR CONSIDERATION AT WCPFC9**

WCPFC9-2012-29
28 November 2012

Paper prepared by the Secretariat

To assist with consideration of the TCC8 Outcomes and Recommendations at WCPFC9 the following matrix tables were prepared.

Table 1 lists those TCC8 recommendations, with cross-references the relevant WCPFC9 agenda item and WCPFC9 papers, where Commission action is requested or needed.

Table 2 is a list of agreed outcomes and topics from the TCC8 Summary Report to WCPFC9, where there is no specific TCC8-generated recommendation to or action requested of the Commission at this session.

Proposed process for considering TCC8 summary report outcomes and recommendations

It is proposed that:

1. The TCC8 assessments of Cooperating Non-Member applications for 2012 will be presented under *Agenda 2.3 Cooperating Non-Member Applications*.
2. The TCC8 recommendations on VMS issues which are listed in Table 1 will be presented under *Agenda 5 VMS issues*.
3. The TCC8 recommendations on IUU vessel listing for 2013 will be presented under *Agenda 6 IUU Vessel Listing for 2013*.
4. The TCC Chair will present a summary of the TCC8 Report under Agenda 9.1 (Report of the Eighth Regular Session of the Technical and Compliance Committee) with a focus on the other recommendations listed in Table 1.
5. The Commission would substantively consider the TCC8 recommendations listed in Table 1 and, as appropriate, specific TCC8 outcomes listed in Table 2 and their related WCPFC9 documents, under the relevant Commission agenda item.

Table 1. Matrix of TCC8 recommendations requesting Commission consideration and action, listed by relevant WCPFC9 agenda item

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
2.3 Applications for Cooperating Non-Member status	<p>TCC8 recommends that the Commission consider the following applications for CNM status in 2013:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • El Salvador (para 70) <p>TCC8 recommends that the Commission consider the following applications for CNM status in 2013, subject to the additional information identified by TCC8 being provided to, and accepted by, the Commission:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belize (para 62) • Democratic Peoples Republic of Korea (DPRK) (para 64) • Ecuador (para 67) • Indonesia (para 73) • Mexico (para 76) • Panama (para 78) • Saint Kitts and Nevis (para 80) • Thailand (para 82) <p>85. TCC8 noted that many CNM applications were received after the deadline stipulated in CMM 2009-11 this year, with one report being received only six days before the start of TCC8. TCC8 noted that late receipt of CNM applications makes the TCC’s role of assessing applications more difficult and encouraged CNM applicants to submit their applications in advance of the deadline.</p> <p>86. TCC8 noted that only a very small number of CNM applicants attended the TCC8 meeting and considered that attendance by CNM applicants at the TCC would enable the TCC to better consider CNM applications. TCC8 also noted the importance for CNMs to participate in the CMS process. TCC8 encouraged CNM applicants to attend the TCC meetings in the future. TCC8 recognised and thanked Ecuador, El Salvador, Indonesia, Panama and Vietnam for their attendance at TCC8.</p>	<p>TCC8 asked Panama to provide an Annual Report Part I covering 2011 activities. The Secretariat notes that the receipt by WCPFC of this annual report in March 2012, was overlooked by TCC8.</p> <p>Supplemental information was received by WCPFC from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belize • El Salvador • Thailand • Indonesia • Ecuador • DPRK <p>A late application was received from Senegal (after</p>	<p>WCPFC9-2012-WP10</p> <p><i>For copies of CNM applications and supplemental information see WCPFC CCM secure section CNM page.</i></p>

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
	<p>87. TCC8 recommended that, in the Commission’s consideration of whether to grant CNM status, WCPFC9 review the Provisional Compliance Monitoring Reports prepared by TCC8 for CNM applicants.</p> <p>88. TCC8 noted that an application for renewal of CNM status was not received by the Secretariat from Senegal.</p>	TCC8)	
5.4 Article 24(8) “Flick the switch”	<p>134. TCC8 recommends that WCPFC-TCC8-2012-DP-08(rev 3) (Attachment D) be forwarded for consideration by WCPFC9. All of WCPFC-TCC8-2012-DP-08 (rev 3) has been agreed with the exception of the bracketed text in subparagraphs 4 (a), (l) and (Ibis). Comments on the bracketed text in these three subparagraphs - 4(a), (l) and (Ibis) – should be sent to the US by 3 November 2012. The US will prepare a revised draft for consideration by WCPFC9.</p>		<p>WCPFC9-2012-DP07</p> <p>DP08 (FFA members)</p>
<p>5.5 VMS Manual Reporting</p> <p>9.3.2 VMS manual reporting bracketed text</p>	<p>115. TCC8 reviewed the remaining bracketed text in the Commission VMS SSPs in paragraphs 5.4 and 5.5 related to manual reporting. TCC8 advised that CCMs were not able to agree on long-term manual-reporting provisions, but noted that CCMs wished to ensure that there is a manual reporting requirement and a requirement for vessels to return to port in place for 2013.</p> <p>116. TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 to revise the language in paragraphs 5.4 and 5.5 provisionally for one year, and recommended that WCPFC9 task TCC9 to continue to consider this issue. TCC8 noted that the revised language proposed by TCC8 does not reflect final agreement on either the period of manual reporting or the length of time that a vessel may continue to fish while manually reporting.</p> <p>117. TCC8 noted that coastal States’ laws and regulations continue to apply with respect to manual reporting with respect to VMS breakdown where vessels are in coastal State jurisdictions and noted Section 1 in the Commission SSPs relating to application of VMS.</p> <p>118. TCC8 recommended that WCPFC9 task the Secretariat with updating its report on manual reporting and with carrying out an assessment of whether</p>		

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
	<p>certain models of ALC/MTU units, and whether particular vessels, are failing more often than others. TCC8 recommended that TCC9 be tasked to consider the updated report and the assessment and make recommendations to WCPFC10 on options regarding the use of those ALC/MTU units and suggestions to improve the performance of those vessels that fail repeatedly.</p> <p>119. TCC8 agreed a standard format for manual reporting as follows and recommended that WCPFC9 adopt this standard format:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 WIN 2 Vessel Name 3 Date: dd/mm/yy 4 Time: 24 hour format HH:MM (UTC) 5 Latitude – DD-MM-SS (N/S) 6 Longitude – DDD-MM-SS (E/W) 7 Activity (Fishing/Searching/Transit/Transshipping) <p>120. TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 that, for the period 1 March 2013 to 1 March 2014, section 5 of the Commission’s VMS SSPs be modified as follows: “4. In the event of non-reception of two consecutive, programmed high seas VMS positions, and where the Secretariat has exhausted all reasonable steps¹ to re-establish normal automatic reception of VMS positions the Secretariat will notify the flag State CCM who shall then direct the vessel Master to begin manual reporting. During this period the vessel shall be required to report its position manually to the Secretariat every 6 hours. If automatic reporting to the Commission VMS has not been re-established within 30 days of the commencement of manual reporting the flag state CCM shall order the vessel to cease fishing, stow all fishing gear and return immediately to port. The vessel may recommence fishing on the high seas only when the ALC/MTU has been confirmed as operational by the Secretariat following the flag State CCM informing the Secretariat that the vessel’s automatic reporting complies with the regulations established in this SSP.</p> <p>4bis. The standards outlined in Paragraph 4 above will apply for the period 1 March 2013 to 1 March 2014 and will be reviewed for MCS effectiveness at TCC9.</p>		

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
	<p>5 In exceptional circumstances², the flag State CCM may extend the period established in paragraph 4 for an additional consecutive 15 days during which time the vessel will continue to report its position manually every 4 hours to the Secretariat while on the high seas. When such permission is provided the flag State CCM shall provide a report to the Secretariat as to the nature of the exceptional circumstances and steps taken to re-establish automatic reporting. Such reports shall be included in the Secretariat’s annual report on the operations of the Commission’s VMS to the TCC as required under paragraph 7.3.9.</p> <p>Footnotes: ¹ The flag State CCM, in coordination with the Secretariat and through communication with the vessel master as appropriate, will endeavour to re-establish normal automatic reception of VMS positions. If such efforts reveal that the vessel is successfully reporting to the flag State CCM’s VMS or a sub-regional VMS (indicating that the vessel’s VMS hardware is functional), the Secretariat, in coordination with the flag State CCM will take additional steps to re-establish automatic reporting to the Commission VMS. ² Exceptional circumstances includes such events as satellite malfunction unrelated to MTU/ALC and mechanical failure of fishing vessel that reduces the ability of the fishing vessel to return to port within 30 days. ”</p>		
6. IUU Vessel Listing for 2013	<p>TCC did not recommend any new vessels to be included on the WCPFC IUU list.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jinn Feng Tsair No.1: TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 the removal of the Jinn Feng Tsair No.1 from the WCPFC IUU Vessel List. (para 42) • Neptune: TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 that the Neptune should remain on the WCPFC IUU Vessel List. (para 44) • Fu Lien No. 1: TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 that the Fu Lien No. 1 should remain on the WCPFC IUU Vessel List. (para 46) • Yu Fong 168: TCC8 agreed to recommend to WCPFC9 that the Yu Fong 168 should remain on the WCPFC IUU Vessel List. (para 49) 		WCPFC9-2012-20

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
9.2 Compliance with Conservation and Management Measures	<p>208. TCC8 agreed to the Executive Summary for the Provisional CMR and recommended that the Provisional CMR be forwarded to WCPFC9 for consideration (A copy of this document is provided on the WCPFC Members secure section of the website).</p>	<p>The Secretariat has received three responses from CCMs to date to provisional CMR.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FFA members, on provisional CMR executive summary text; • Belize – on individual prov CMR assessment; • El Salvador – on individual prov CMR assessment. 	<p>WCPFC9-2012-07</p> <p>WCPFC9-2012-DP06 (FFA members)</p> <p><i>For copies of CNM applications and supplemental information see WCPFC CCM secure section CNM page.</i></p>
	<p>212. TCC recommended the revised Annual Report Part 2 template (WCPFC-TCC8-2012-18(rev. 2), Annex C) be used as a basis for finalizing the online interface to be used for Annual Report Part 2 that is to be submitted by CCMs in 2013, and which covers 2012 activities; (Attachment G to TCC8 summary report)</p> <p>213. TCC recommended that the Secretariat finalise the development of online interface for Annual Report Part 2, with a view to making it available for all CCMs to use for their 2013 Annual Report Part 2;</p>	<p>Proposed for completion in early 2013, as set out in Secretariat paper to FAC06</p>	<p>WCPFC9-FAC06-16</p> <p>Attachment G of TCC8 Summary Report (WCPFC9-2012-19)</p>
	<p>214. TCC recommended the adoption of the proposed addendum to the Annual Report Part 1 template for future reports (WCPFC-TCC8-2012-18(rev. 2), Annex B), so as to ensure that CCMs Annual Report Part 1 cover the required reporting provisions as contained in CMMs. (Attachment H to TCC8 summary report)</p> <p>222. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that a deadline of 1 July each year for Annual Report Part 2 submission be adhered to by all CCMs, and that the deadline for Annual Report Part 1 be maintained;</p>		<p>Attachment H of TCC8 Summary Report (WCPFC9-2012-19)</p>

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
	<p>223. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that it consider the establishment of an Assistant Compliance Manager position at professional staff level within the WCPFC Secretariat subject to review of a terms of reference, an indicative budget and the expected workload of the Secretariat if CMM 2011-06 is extended; and</p>	<p>The proposed response to implementation of this recommendation is covered in FAC6 paper on staff establishment</p>	<p>WCPFC9-2012-FAC09 (staff establishment)</p>
	<p>224. TCC8 recommended that subject to available funds, that the Secretariat continue work to develop its internal systems to streamline the process of generating draft Compliance Monitoring Reports, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. in 2013, a system that could automate the generation and development by the Secretariat of dCMRs; ii. possibly a system in 2013 to allow CCMs to provide responses to draft CMRs prepared by the Secretariat through an online interface similar to that proposed for use by Annual Report Part 2; iii. continuing to strive to increase, where possible, the use of available WCPFC MCS information in the development of future draft Compliance Monitoring Reports; iv. the further development of an enhanced and integrated WCPFC Information Management System to improve the integration of WCPFC MCS information; v. the feasibility and practicality of combining AR Parts 1 and the 2; and vi. streamlining and developing an online interface for AR Part 1 reports. 	<p>Proposed workplan for 2013-15 with associated budget, is set out in Secretariat paper to FAC06</p>	<p>WCPFC9-FAC06-16</p>
<p>9.2 Extension of CMR (CMM 2011-06)</p>	<p>227. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that the CMS be continued, noting the comments with respect to implementation of the scheme as contained in the Executive Summary of the Provisional CMR 2011.</p> <p>233. TCC8 noted the importance of developing responses to non-compliance under the WCPFC CMS, and recommended the Commission consider a graduated process aimed at addressing non-compliance.</p>		
<p>9.3.1 IUU listing procedures</p>	<p><i>(see notes at agenda 10.1.11 below)</i></p>		

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
9.3.2 VMS Manual reporting bracketed text	<i>(see notes at agenda 5.5 above)</i>		
9.3.3 ALC/MTU audit format	<p>137. TCC8 recommended that WCPFC9 approve the proposed ALC/MTU Inspection Template as guidance for CCMs when conducting audits under Annex I of CMM 2011-02 and the WCPFC VMS SSPs. (Attachment E of TCC8 Summary Report)</p> <p>142. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that it adopt the proposed format for reporting ALC/MTU Audit Inspections to the Commission in Annual Reports Part 2 contained in WCPFC-TCC8-2012-12 with the exclusion of data fields on MTU ID and MTU Serial Number. (Attachment F of TCC8 Summary Report)</p> <p>143. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that the FFA MTU certification should be recognized as equivalent to the certification of inspection under Annex I of CMM 2011-02 and the WCPFC VMS SSPs.</p>		Attachment E and Attachment F of TCC8 Summary Report (WCPFC9-2012-19)
9.3.4 ROP-tag continuation	<p>150. Several CCMs appreciated the work of the ROP-TAG thus far but considered that further technical work was necessary. The Chair and the Secretariat were encouraged to find ways of increasing the level of participation of CCMs in the ROP-TAG. These CCMs noted that if the authorization of the ROP-TAG work could be extended, the ROP-TAG could take into account further comments, reconcile those comments with the existing technical provisions of CMM 2007-01, consider and clarify the status and purpose of its recommendations, and circulate new recommendations for consideration.</p> <p>151. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that the ROP-TAG continue its work under the current terms of reference until March 2014.</p>		WCPFC9-2012-IP02 (ROP annual report)
9.3.5 ROP data entry relocation	<p>168. CCMs supported the proposed re-location of SPC-Pohnpei ROP data entry staff to the Secretariat office on the condition that there would be no cost implications.</p> <p>169. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that it approve the re-location of the SPC-Pohnpei ROP data entry staff (2) from SPC-Pohnpei offices to the WCPFC Secretariat offices in January 2013.</p>	The proposed response to implementation of this recommendation is covered in FAC6 paper	WCPFC9-2012-FAC09 (staff establishment)

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
9.3.6 ROP budget maintained	174. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC that the ROP data entry budget is maintained at least at the current level for the next three years, with consideration for adding the costs of the observer database management staff (observer data manager and data audit officer) to the 2014 and 2015 budget pending clarification of when current funding for these positions will terminate and discussions at respective TCC and WCPFC regular sessions in the next 2-3 years.		WCPFC9-2012-FAC15
9.3.7 IMS and electronic data priorities	184. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that it task the Secretariat, in cooperation with the Scientific Services Provider, to consider electronic data entry for the ROP as a priority. 185. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that coastal States developing Information Management Systems (IMS) receive assistance, including training of de-briefers, to improve their ability to meet their national obligations in providing observer data.		
9.3.8 Analysis of future ROP data entry	189. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that the Secretariat be tasked with undertaking a more comprehensive analysis of future options for ROP data management, including options raised in the Cost Recovery and Optimisation of Commission Service Costs Report.		
9.3.9 Non – CCM carriers and bunkers continuation	328. CCMs agreed that the Interim Register had achieved its goal of reducing the number of non-CCM carriers and bunkers in the Convention Area from 105 in 2010 to 22 in 2012, and should be allowed to expire. 329. Some CCMs suggested that instead of expiry 60 days after WCPFC9, that the Interim Register be allowed to continue for another six months to give the remaining carriers and bunkers more time to find a solution. 330. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 to extend the WCPFC Interim Register of Carriers and Bunkers to 30 June 2013.		WCPFC9-2012-IP06 (Annual Report on RFV)
9.3.10 NZ transshipment at sea application	345. CCMs agreed that New Zealand’s application meets all the requirements of CMM 2009-06 (para. 25). The Secretariat noted that WCPFC8 had approved New Zealand’s previous application until 30 May 2013. 346. TCC8 recommends to WCPFC9 that it approve New Zealand’s application for an exemption for at-sea purse seine transshipment activities for the period 30 May 2013 to 29 May 2014.	Copy of the application is available in TCC8 papers (TCC8-DP01)	

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
9.3.11 Charter notification scheme extension and lists	<p>358. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 to call all CCMs to comply with this CMM on the charter notification scheme and that this measure be revised and extended.</p> <p>359. TCC8 recommended WCPFC9 instruct the Secretariat to publish a list of chartered vessels on the secure portion of the WCPFC website.</p>	Copies of individual charter notifications received under CMM 2009-08 and CMM 2011-05 are available on the charter notification secure CCM page on WCPFC website	WCPFC9-2012-IP04 (list of current charter notifications received by the Secretariat)
9.3.12 Appointment of the Chair of AHTG – Data	<p>364. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 that it appoint a Chair for the AHTG[Data] and task it with undertaking further work on developing rules for access by chartering States to data for their vessels as a matter of priority.</p>		
9.3.13 Eastern high seas pocket resourcing	<p>372. TCC8 recommended that additional resources be provided to the Secretariat for ongoing MCS data entry and analysis to support the EHSP special management area, subject to discussions related to the TCC Work Plan and Budget.</p> <p>373. TCC8 recommended that the Secretariat undertake a further review of compliance issues relevant to the ESHP special management area and present its findings to WCPFC9.</p>	The Secretariat paper is provides preliminary work in respect of the recommended task at para 373.	WCPFC9-2012-IP16 (Cook Islands paper to TCC8) WCPFC9-2012-IP17 (Secretariat)
10.1.1 Scheme for minimum standards of inspection in port	<p>409. TCC8 supports the development of a WCPFC CMM for Port State Measures. TCC8 recommends that the EU provide a draft of the new CMM to members as soon as possible and encourages all members to contribute with a view to reaching an agreement at TCC9 unless substantial progress is made before WCPFC9.</p>		DP11 (EU)
10.1.3 Catch Documentation Scheme (PNG/EU)	<p>416. TCC8 recommended that the EU and FFA members work to finalize the TOR on CDS prior to WCPFC9 noting that the new proposal needs to be circulated 30 days prior to WCPFC9.</p>		DP14 (PNG) DP24 (EU)

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
10.1.7 Fishing Gear Damage	421. TCC8 recommends CCMs provide comments to Japan which will further develop the proposal for WCPFC9.		DP09 (JP)
10.1.9 CMM 2007-04 Seabirds	<p>387. For the purpose of strengthening seabird mitigation in the North Pacific, and as a complement to the recommendation of SC8 regarding the provision of longline fleet size compositions, TCC8 agreed to recommend that CCMs report to TCC9 on any practical or technical constraints facing their small vessels with respect to the use of seabird mitigation methods in the North Pacific, as well as descriptions of any mitigation methods that could be used by such vessels</p> <p>392. TCC8 recommend that WCPFC9 include the seabird related data-fields noted by SC8 as the minimum required data in the ROP.</p> <p>393. TCC8 noted New Zealand’s invitation to cooperate with them on preparing a proposal for a revised seabird measure for discussion at WCPFC9.</p>		DP16 (NZ)
10.1.10 CMM 2010-05 Southern Albacore	384. TCC8 recommended sufficient priority be accorded to the development of a revised CMM on south Pacific albacore at WCPFC9.		DP21 (pending) IP07 (Sect) IP11 (SPC) IP12 (SPC)
10.1.11 CMM 2010-06 IUU (Tonga) 9.3.1 IUU listing procedures	<p>54. TCC8 noted that an FFA proposal circulated inter-sessionally by the US for comments and revision was unable to garner sufficient support to serve as a basis for a recommendation to the Commission on coastal State satisfaction as a factor in resolving IUU Vessel Listing issues. There was no consensus on the need for such guidelines or on the basis for them.</p> <p>55. Tonga presented a statement on para. 15 of CMM 2010-06 highlighting the need for WCPFC to adopt guidelines for coastal State satisfaction in IUU listing decisions (Attachment C to TCC8 summary report). This statement signals FFA members’ intention to apply Tonga’s existing guidelines as FFA guidelines to IUU incidents occurring in national waters, and to work toward adoption by WCPFC of compatible measures for the high seas by providing a proposal to TCC9.</p>		WCPFC9-2012-DP22 (pending)
12. 1 FAC Budget and report	442. TCC8 recommended to WCPFC9 the adoption of the TCC Work Plan and the consideration by the FAC of the attached budget (Attachment I).		Attachment I of TCC8 Summary Report (WCPFC9-2012-19)

Agenda item	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE (unbolded text is report language)	Secretariat comments	Relevant papers
14.3 Election of officers	453. TCC8 recommended that WCPFC9 confirm Alexa Cole (US) as Vice-Chair of the TCC.		WCPFC9-2012-27
14.4 Venue for next meeting	454. TCC8 noted that there are two potential sets of dates for TCC9: 26 September to 1 October 2013 or 3-8 October 2013.		WCPFC9-2012-27

Table 2. TCC8 recommendations for consideration under Agenda 9.1 or other agenda item as appropriate

TOPIC	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i>	SECRETARIAT COMMENTS	RELEVANT PAPERS
Future consideration of WCPFC Port Coordinator Positions	30. TCC8 requested the WCPFC Secretariat prepare a brief paper for TCC9 examining relevant issues relating to establishment of port coordinator positions.		
Annual list of changes required to observer training programmes	33. TCC8 requested the WCPFC Secretariat consider providing an annual list of changes required to observer training programmes based on changes in Commission CMMs, rules and procedures and report progress on this at TCC9.		WCPFC9-2012-IPO2 (ROP annual report)
Comparison of WCPFC and other tuna RFMO IUU listing procedures	58. TCC8 noted the paper on comparison and analysis of IUU listing procedures from other RFMOs (WCPFC-TCC8-2012-10).	This paper was prepared by WCPFC in fulfillment of a request from TCC7.	
Geo-fencing and buffer zone implementation - balance of operational needs with cost optimization in WCPFC VMS	104. TCC8 supported the Secretariat’s revised approach to application of the recommendations from the Joint FFA-WCPFC Review of the WCPFC VMS with regard to application of geo-fencing and reduced polling rate procedures only at the boundaries of the Convention Area and not at EEZ-high seas boundaries. 105. TCC8 tasked the Secretariat with implementing an appropriate buffer zone around the Convention Area with regard to application of the geo-fencing and reduced polling rate procedures. 122. TCC8 noted the VMS cost optimisation issues presented in the VMS Annual Report (WCPFC-TCC8-2012-IP-12).		WCPFC9-2012-IPO3 (VMS annual report) WCPFC-2012-IPO8 (suggestions on cost optimization for WCPFC VMS)
Transshipment tasks for 2013, including reporting by CCMs and vessels on high seas transshipment activities and tasks	338. TCC8 agreed that in 2013 a priority project-specific activity is an in-depth review of the high seas transshipment rules, with a view to providing recommendations and advice to WCPFC10 specifically on paras. 36, 37 and 38 of CMM 2009-06, and noting the work the Executive Director will undertake in 2013 in accordance with para. 37. 339. TCC8 agreed to task the Secretariat to provide TCC9 with further		

TOPIC	TCC8 SUMMARY REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORT PARAGRAPH REFERENCE <i>(With italicised paraphrased introductory text)</i>	SECRETARIAT COMMENTS	RELEVANT PAPERS
for the Secretariat	<p>analyses of the reported high seas transshipment information, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. cross-verification of reported positions on high seas transshipments with WCPFC VMS; ii. use of available information from ROP observers; and iii. other available WCPFC MCS information and WCPFC catch and effort data. <p>340. TCC8 agreed to task the Secretariat with making available, through the secure portion of the WCPFC website, the list of vessels which CCMs have determined are authorized to tranship in high seas areas, and have been advised to the Commission.</p> <p>341. TCC8 recommended that the CCMs who are yet to provide advice in accordance with para. 34 (advice of its vessels that are authorized to tranship on the high seas) and para. 35 (advice on the procedures for monitoring and verifying high seas transshipments, and a plan detailing what steps it is taking to encourage transshipment to occur in port in the future), do so as a matter of priority.</p> <p>342. TCC8 requested that all transshipment reports be provided in formats which specify the geographic location of the catch according to CMM 2009-06 (i.e., high seas, outside the Convention Areas, or EEZs (listed separately)).</p> <p>343. TCC8 directed the Secretariat to revise the model Transshipment Declaration Forms to specify the geographic location as required by CMM 2009-06.</p>		
Philippines group seine vessels in HSP1 and CMM 2009-06	<p>352. TCC8 noted the Commission’s decision with regard to Philippine group seine vessels in HSP 1 as contained in CMM 2011-01 and considered that these vessels are exempt from the prohibition to tranship on the high seas under CMM 2009-06.</p>		
Performance Review	<p>425. TCC8 did not have sufficient time to provide comments on the matrix of Performance Review recommendations prepared by the Executive Director.</p>		