

## **TECHNICAL AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE**

Twenty-First Regular Session 24 September to 30 September 2025 Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia (Hybrid)

## **UPDATE ON REVIEW OF CMM 2017-04 MARINE POLLUTION**

WCPFC-TCC21-2025-DP05 30 August 2025

**Submitted by Canada** 

# Update on the informal intersessional process to review the marine pollution measure Submitted by Canada

#### Purpose

1. The purpose of this paper is to provide TCC21 with an update on the progress of the informal intersessional process to review the marine pollution measure.

# Background

- 2. The conservation and management measure on marine pollution states that the measure will be reviewed by the Commission every 3 years to consider expanding its scope with respect to the elimination of marine pollution caused by fishing vessels (paragraph 12 of CMM 2017-04).
- 3. In 2024, Canada submitted a proposal to WCPFC21 to amend the conservation and management measure on marine pollution (WCPFC21-2024-DP04).
- 4. WCPFC21 requested interested CCMs to work on the marine pollution issue in 2025 and 2026 in light of WCPFC-2024-DP04, and to develop a proposal to amend CMM 2017-04 for submission to TCC22 in 2026 with a view to adopt a measure by WCPFC23 (paragraph 605 of WCPFC21 Summary Report).
- 5. In January 2025, <u>WCPFC Circular 2025-03</u> was shared with CCMs and Observers seeking expressions of interest for participation in an intersessional review of CMM 2017-04.
- 6. Canada initiated the review by seeking written feedback on WCPFC-2024-DP04 and in June 2025, circulated the feedback received and identified key themes of the review, including:
  - 1. Definitions
    - a. fishing gear, including Abandoned, Lost and Discarded Fishing Gear (ALDFG).
    - b. garbage and explore option to differentiate biodegradable and treatable garbage (food waste, paper, cardboard) from non-biodegradable/requiring treatment garbage (oil or fuel products, all other domestic waste, incinerator ashes, sewage, plastics, metals, batteries and e-waste).
    - c. open burning and noise pollution.
    - d. plastics.
  - 2. Scope of measure
    - a. area of application and its alignment with other international instruments.
    - b. language to clearly reference the work FADMO-IWG.
  - c. scope of prohibition on marine pollution, including ALDFG, plastics, garbage, e-waste, emissions, and noise.

- 3. Management
  - a. reporting requirements and timelines.
  - b. improvements to provisions on adequate port reception facilities.
  - c. provisions to address at-sea activities to ensure measure does not incentivize discharge at sea.
  - d. implementation and review timelines.
- 7. In June 2025, participants were invited to provide input on the draft text and the feedback received to date.
- 8. The latest version of the text, including all the feedback received to date, is in **Annex 1.**
- 9. A provisional schedule for the informal intersessional process to review the marine pollution measure in 2026 is as follows:

Date	Task
January-March	Revised text (based on 2025 input) circulated for review; approximately 30-days will be given to participants to provide input
April-June	Canada to collate input received and recirculate for participants to have an opportunity to react to first round of input in 2026; approximately 30-days will be given to participants to provide input
September	Update to be provided to TCC22
October-November	Revised text circulated for review and submitted to WCPFC23
December	Adopt revised marine pollution measure at WCPFC23

10. Should other CCMs and Observers be interested in contributing to the review moving forward, please share relevant contact information with Felicia Cull (<a href="Felicia.Cull@dfo-mpo.gc.ca">Felicia.Cull@dfo-mpo.gc.ca</a>), and copy WCPFC Compliance Manager, Lara Manarangi-Trott (<a href="Iara.manarangi-trott@wcpfc.int">Iara.manarangi-trott@wcpfc.int</a>).

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Preamble							
The Commission for the							
Conservation and							
Management of Highly							
Migratory Fish Stocks							
in the Western and							
Central Pacific Ocean							
Concerned that marine							
pollution is increasingly							
recognised as a							
significant global							
problem, with							
detrimental impacts on							
ocean and coastal							
environments, wildlife,							
economies and							
ecosystems,							
Recalling paragraph (e)							
of Article 5 of the							
WCPFC Convention which states that							
members shall adopt measures to minimize							
waste, discards, catch							
by lost or abandoned							
gear, pollution							
originating from fishing							
vessels, catch of non-							
target species, both fish							
and non-fish species,							
and impacts on							
associated or dependent							
species, in particular							
endangered species and							
promote the							
development and use of							
selective,							

Legend: Black – current text; Green - new text; Red – text proposed for deletion

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
environmentally safe							
and cost-effective							
fishing gear and							
techniques;							
Recalling that the need							
to prevent and							
significantly reduce							
marine pollution of all							
kinds was affirmed at							
the United Nations							
Conference to Support							
the Implementation of							
Sustainable							
Development Goal 14							
through the adoption of							
paragraph 13(g) of the							
'Our ocean, our future:							
call for action'							
declaration;							
Convinced that certain							
activities associated							
with fishing may affect							
the Western and Central							
Pacific marine							
environment and that							
these activities may							
play a notable role in							
WCPFC's efforts to							
minimise incidental							
mortality of non-target							
species and impacts on							
marine ecosystems,							
Noting that abandoned,							
lost or otherwise							
discarded fishing gear							
in the marine							
environment can							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
damage marine, reef							
and coastal habitats, be							
harmful to marine life							
through ghost fishing,							
entanglement, ingestion							
and acting as habitat for							
the spread of invasive							
species, and create a							
navigation hazard,							
Noting that the							
provisions of Annex V							
of International							
Convention for the							
Prevention of Pollution							
from Ships, 1973, as							
modified by the							
Protocol of 1978							
relating thereto and by							
the Protocol of 1997							
(MARPOL), prohibit							
the disposal of all							
fishing gear and plastics							
at sea,							
Further noting that the							
provisions of Annex I,							
Annex IV and Annex							
VI of MARPOL							
manage and restrict the							
discharge of oil, sewage							
and air pollutants from							
ships at sea,							
Noting that there is							
limited monitoring and							
implementation of							
MARPOL obligations							
on fishing vessels, and							
consequently little							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
information exists							
about illegal pollution							
activities by fishing							
vessels at sea,							
Further noting that the							
Convention on the							
Prevention of Marine							
Pollution by Dumping							
of Wastes and Other							
Matter 1972 (London							
Convention) and the							
1996 Protocol (London							
Protocol) manage or							
prohibit through							
regulation the dumping							
into the sea of wastes or							
other matter,							
[Acknowledging the			Please make reference				
consensus emerging			to relevant decisions				
from the Global			from the Plastics				
Plastics Treaty			Treaty.				
negotiations on the							
importance of							
addressing plastic							
pollution,]							
[ANCORS:	Canada is supportive of	We note the concerns					ANCORS: Insert
Recognising that	efforts to reduce	raised regarding the					paragraphs after the
anthropogenic	underwater vessel	potential threat of					MARPOL/London
underwater noise from	noise. While the IMO	"underwater noise"					Convention references
fishing vessel	recognizes the issue of	from fishing vessel					
operations, particularly	underwater vessel noise	operations to marine					
from vessel engines,	and its harmful impacts	ecosystems. However,					
propeller cavitation,	on aquatic ecosystems,	the specific impacts of					
sonar, and fish-finding	it stops short of	fishing vessel noise on					
technologies, is	qualifying it as	highly migratory					
increasingly recognised	"pollution". Should	species such as tuna					
as a pollutant under	members choose to	under the jurisdiction of					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]		4					
international and	include underwater	the WCPFC are					
regional frameworks,	noise within the scope	currently unclear, and					
and is a growing threat	of the measure, we	there is a lack of					
to marine ecosystems,	would recommend	sufficient scientific					
disrupting	changing references to	evidence.					
communication,	"underwater noise						
navigation, and feeding	pollution" to	In our fishing practices,					
behaviours of	"underwater noise" or	particularly for tuna					
cetaceans, fish, and	"underwater noise	longline and purse seine					
other marine species;]	impacts".	vessels, we have not					
		observed any					
	There is certainly a	significant impact of					
	growing body of	operational noise on					
	evidence that indicates	target species or other					
	that a large contributor	marine life. Tuna					
	to underwater noise,	fishing vessels operate					
	both globally as well as	at relatively low speeds,					
	in many local contexts,	with correspondingly					
	is fishing vessels and	low noise levels from					
	we would welcome a	engines and propellers,					
	measure that seeks to	and the setting of hooks					
	mitigate/reduce	and purse seine is not a					
	underwater noise.	significant source of					
		noise. Therefore, these					
		operations should not					
		be categorized as					
		"chronic noise					
		pollution."					
		Given the					
		circumstances, we					
		believe it is premature					
		to include issues related					
		to noise in the					
		preambular section					
		before clear and					
		specific scientific					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				1			
amendment]							
		advice is available. We					
		recommend that the SC					
		undertake targeted					
		research on the					
		potential impacts of					
		fishing vessel noise on					
		tuna stocks and marine					
		ecosystems in the					
		convention area. Any					
		future management					
		measures shall be based					
		on the best available					
		scientific and SC					
FANCODC: N-4:41		recommendations.  Disagree. Same opinion					=
[ANCORS: Noting the International Maritime		as above.					
Organization's (IMO)		as above.					
2023 Revised							
Guidelines for the							
Reduction of							
Underwater Radiated							
Noise from Shipping to							
Address Adverse							
Impacts on Marine Life							
(MEPC.1/Circ.906)							
provide a framework							
for mitigating noise							
impacts through							
technical and							
operational measures							
and encourage their							
application to fishing							
vessels where							
feasible;]		Disassas Samas i i					-
[ANCORS: Acknowledging that the		Disagree. Same opinion as above.					
Convention on		as above.					
Convention on							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Migratory Species							
(CMS), the Convention							
for the Protection of the							
Marine Environment of							
the North-East Atlantic							
(OSPAR), and the							
General Fisheries							
Commission for the							
Mediterranean							
(GFCM), have							
recognised underwater							
noise as a form of							
marine pollution							
requiring monitoring							
and management;]							
[ANCORS: Recalling		Same opinion as above.					
Article 5(e) of the		Additionally, the					
WCPFC Convention		reference in this preface					
which calls for the		is unclear - what type of					
adoption of measures to		pollution is being					
minimize pollution		referred to? Is it related					
originating from fishing		to underwater noise? If					
vessels, the application		so, we disagree.					
of the precautionary							
approach under Article 5(c), and the use of best							
scientific evidence							
under Article 5(b);]							
under Article 5(b),							
Chair: Consider							
merging with third							
preambular paragraph.							
[ANCORS:		Disagree. Reason as					
Concerned that chronic		before mentioned.					
noise pollution in the							
Western and Central							
Pacific Ocean (WCPO)							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				-			
amendment]							
may exacerbate							
stressors on migratory							
species, including tuna							
and cetaceans, and							
undermine the							
Convention's objectives							
under Article 5(d–f);]							
[ANCORS:		If SIDS agrees with the					
Recognizing the special		wording of this					
vulnerability of Small		paragraph, then we					
Island Developing		have no objection.					
States (SIDS) and							
Territories to the							
impacts of underwater							
noise, which may							
interfere with							
traditional and							
subsistence fisheries,							
marine biodiversity,							
and cultural heritage;]							
[Recalling the Advisory		We believe that directly	Please make reference		New Zealand supports		
Opinion issued by the		incorporating the issue	to the decisions made		FFA's request to		
International Tribunal		of GHG emissions from	by the ITLOS Advisory		reference decisions		
for the Law of the Sea		fishing vessels into the	Opinion on the		made by the ITLOS		
(ITLOS) which		preamble of the	Responsibilities of		advisory opinion.		
affirmed that Parties to		WCPFC (Western and	States regarding		"Pollution of the marine		
the United Nations		Central Pacific	Climate Change.		environment" is a		
Convention on the Law		Fisheries Commission)			defined term under		
of the Sea (UNCLOS)		is debatable for the			UNCLOS and the		
have specific		following reasons:			relevant obligation in		
obligations to prevent,		Firstly, from the			article 194 of UNCLOS		
reduce, and control		perspective of global			is "to prevent, reduce		
[NZ: marine] pollution		GHG emissions, the			and control pollution of		
[NZ: of the marine		contribution of fishing			the marine environment		
environment] from		vessels is relatively			from any source",		
anthropogenic		small. Existing studies			which the ITLOS AO		
		indicate that carbon					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
greenhouse gas		emissions from fishing			found includes GHG		
emissions,]		vessels account for a			emissions.		
		small proportion of					
		total global emissions,					
		significantly less than					
		land-based sources and					
		large merchant vessels.					
		Highlighting this issue					
		disproportionately in					
		the WCPFC preamble					
		might not align with its					
		actual impact.					
		Secondly, the core					
		mandate of the WCPFC					
		is the conservation and					
		management of highly					
		migratory fish stocks in					
		the Western and Central					
		Pacific Ocean, ensuring					
		the sustainable					
		utilization of fishery					
		resources. Its primary					
		focus should remain on					
		fisheries management					
		measures such as catch					
		quotas, fishing effort					
		limits, gear restrictions,					
		and combating illegal,					
		unreported, and					
		unregulated (IUU)					
		fishing. While GHG					
		emissions are related to					
		marine environmental					
		health, their					
		management and					
		reduction mechanisms					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
		are more appropriately					
		addressed by					
		specialized					
		international					
		frameworks and bodies					
		like the UNFCCC and					
		its Paris Agreement,					
		and the International					
		Maritime Organization					
		(IMO). The WCPFC					
		should avoid					
		overlapping functions					
		and duplicating efforts,					
		which could divert its					
		attention from its core					
		fisheries management					
		tasks.					
		F 4 14 1					
		Furthermore, although					
		the ITLOS advisory					
		opinion confirms that					
		GHG emissions					
		constitute marine					
		pollution, there is					
		currently insufficient					
		and specific scientific					
		evidence regarding the					
		direct, quantifiable					
		impact of fishing vessel					
		emissions on specific					
		fishery resources under					
		WCPFC jurisdiction,					
		such as tuna. Without					
		clear scientific studies					
		demonstrating direct,					
		quantifiable negative					
		impacts of fishing					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
		vessel GHG emissions					
		on tuna stocks or their					
		habitats, making it a					
		primary concern in the					
		WCPFC preamble may					
		lack a solid scientific					
		foundation.					
		Finally, prematurely					
		imposing GHG emission reduction					
		requirements on fishing					
		vessels could place an					
		undue burden on					
		fishing livelihoods and					
		food security. This is					
		particularly true for					
		developing countries					
		and Small Island					
		Developing States					
		(SIDS), whose fishing					
		sectors may lack the					
		necessary technical and					
		economic capacity to					
		rapidly adapt to new					
		emission reduction					
		standards. In the					
		absence of clear					
		scientific justification,					
		feasible technical					
		solutions, and adequate					
		transition periods, this					
		could negatively impact					
		communities reliant on					
		fisheries.					
		Therefore, we suggest					
		that the WCPFC					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				_			
amendment]							
		Scientific Committee					
		could consider					
		initiating a dedicated					
		study to assess the					
		specific impacts of					
		fishing vessel GHG					
		emissions on Western					
		and Central Pacific					
		fishery resources and					
		marine ecosystems.					
		Until the results of such					
		studies are clear, we					
		believe it is not					
		appropriate to include					
		the issue of fishing					
		vessel GHG emissions					
		in the WCPFC					
		preamble. Instead, the					
		WCPFC should					
		continue to focus on its					
		core responsibilities in					
		fisheries management and collaborate with					
		other relevant					
		international					
		organizations to address					
		the broader impacts of					
		climate change on the					
		ocean.					
Recalling that							
information from							
observer reports							
suggests that fishing							
vessels are responsible							
for significant amounts							
of marine pollution in							
the Western and Central							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Pacific Ocean, even							
when observers are							
aboard, and that marine							
pollution is likely to be							
even more significant							
on fishing vessels,							
particularly longline							
fishing vessels, where							
observer coverage is							
very low,							
[Recognizing the work			Please make reference				
undertaken by the Fish			to the work undertaken				
Aggregating Device			by the FAD				
Management Options			Management Options				
Intersessional Working			Intersessional Working				
Group (FADMO-IWG)			Group.				
to improve the management of Fish							
Aggregating Devices							
(FADs),]							
Recognising that							
Article 30(1) of the							
Convention requires the							
Commission to give full							
recognition to the							
special requirements of							
developing States that							
are Parties to the							
Convention, in							
particular the small							
island developing							
States (SIDS) and							
Territories, in relation							
to the conservation and							
management of highly							
migratory fish stocks in							
the Convention Area							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
and development of							
fisheries for such							
stocks;							
Recognising further that							
Article 30(2) of the							
Convention requires the							
Commission to take							
into account the special							
requirements of							
developing States, in							
particular SIDS and							
Territories. This							
includes ensuring that							
conservation and							
management measures							
adopted by it do not							
result in transferring,							
directly or indirectly, a							
disproportionate burden							
of conservation action							
onto developing States							
Parties, and Territories,							
Recalling the adoption							
of CMM 2013-07							
which also recognises							
the special							
requirements of SIDS							
and territories:							
Adopts, in accordance							
with Article 5 (d-f)							
and 10 (1)(h) of the							
Convention that:					TD1 1 C' '.' 1		
Definitions					The definitions need		
					further consideration to		
					check for consistency with other international		
					obligations.		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
1. For the purposes of							
this measure, the							
following definitions							
will apply:							
[(MARPOL) Fishing	Canada is supportive of	we believe that the	Request including clear		While fishing gear is	Some form of definition	
gear: any physical	including definitions of	existing definitions	and standalone		covered in para 5, it	seems to be needed for	
device or part thereof or	ALDFG in the	from MARPOL and	definitions for the		might be useful to	lost, abandoned or	
combination of items	definition section.	SPRFMO may be	following terms:		consider providing a	discarded fishing gear	
that may be placed on		overly broad and do not	<ul> <li>Abandoned Fishing</li> </ul>		definition of fishing	for practical purposes.	
or in the water or on the	Canada supports	adequately consider the	Gear		gear in this paragraph	For example, when a	
sea-bed with the	excluding FADs if the	specific characteristics	<ul> <li>Discarded Fishing</li> </ul>		to distinguish between	vessel cuts a shark	
intended purpose of	work of the FADMO-	of tuna longline	Gear		abandoned, lost and	loose from a longline	
capturing, or	IWG is appropriately	fisheries within the	<ul> <li>Lost Fishing Gear</li> </ul>		discarded fishing gear –	leaving a hook and	
controlling for	considered within the	WCPFC Convention	A possible approach for		and it will be important	some line with the	
subsequent capture or	measure.	Area. For instance,	these can be those used		to be consistent with	shark; or when a hook	
harvesting, marine or		when a vessel cuts a	by SPRFMO.		other international	or branchline are bitten	
fresh water organisms	Canada is not	shark loose from a			requirements. SPRFMO	off - is that abandoned,	
[PNAO: and that poses	supportive of including	longline, leaving a hook			has the following	lost or discarded fishing	
a significant risk of	a significant risk of	and some line with the			definitions:	gear? More specifically,	
ghost fishing when	ghost fishing qualifier	shark, or when a hook				is it intended that such	
abandoned, lost or	to ALDFG, as this has	or branchline are bitten			https://sprfmo.int/assets	fishing gear events are	
discarded.]]	yet to be well	off, should these			/Fisheries/Conservation	subject to the reporting	
	established. ALDFG	situations be considered			-and-Management-	and other controls	
Chair: Consider the	may also pose risks to	abandoned, lost, or			Measures/2022-	proposed? The ICCAT	
MARPOL definition of	the environment,	discarded fishing gear?			CMMs/CMM-17-2022-	measure provides an	
fishing gear.	adding to marine	More specifically, is it			Marine-Pollution-	example of a definition	
	pollution, and to	intended that such			7Mar22.pdf	for this purpose which	
	navigational safety.	fishing gear events are			"41 1 101.	may be useful: fishing	
		subject to the proposed			"Abandoned fishing	gear is understood to	
	Canada is of the view	reporting and other			gear" means fishing	mean fishing gear that	
	that fishing gear should be defined based on its	control measures?			gear deliberately left at	poses a significant risk	
		We contend that			sea by the vessel due to	of ghost fishing when abandoned, lost or	
	purpose rather than based on the				force majeure or other	discarded in the ICCAT	
	risk/impacts, which is	longline fisheries do not, to a significant			unforeseen reasons.	Convention."	
	not clearly understood.	extent, pose a			"Lost fishing gear"	Convention.	
	not clearly understood.						
		substantial risk of ghost			means fishing gear over		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				- · · <b>F</b> · ·			
amendment]							
-		fishing. The nature of			which the vessel has		
		longline gear dictates			accidentally lost		
		that its continuous			control and cannot be		
		fishing capability, once			located and/or		
		lost or abandoned, is			retrieved.		
		very limited. Unlike					
		some other gear types			"Discarded fishing		
		(e.g., gillnets), the			gear" means fishing		
		fishing efficiency of			gear released at sea		
		longlines is highly			without any attempt for		
		dependent on active			further control or		
		operation and timely			recovery by the vessel.		
		retrieval by the vessel.					
		Once detached from the					
		vessel's control, their					
		fishing capacity rapidly					
		diminishes, resulting in					
		relatively minor long-					
		term impacts on the					
		marine ecosystem.					
		Therefore, we suggest					
		that WCPFC, when					
		developing relevant					
		definitions, could refer					
		to the ICCAT					
		(International					
		Commission for the					
		Conservation of					
		Atlantic Tunas)					
		measure, which					
		provides a more					
		targeted definition:					
		"fishing gear is					
		understood to mean					
		fishing gear that poses a					
		significant risk of ghost					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
		fishing when					
		abandoned, lost or					
		discarded in the ICCAT					
		Convention Area." This					
		wording emphasizes the					
		crucial element of					
		"significant ghost					
		fishing risk," allowing					
		for more accurate					
		identification and					
		management of fishing					
		gear events that					
		genuinely threaten marine ecosystems,					
		while avoiding					
		unnecessary burdens on					
		gear types with low					
		ghost fishing risk, such					
		as longlines.					
[FFA and New	Canada's Ghost Gear	-					
Zealand: (SPRFMO)	Program generally uses						
Abandoned fishing	the FAO definitions						
gear: fishing gear left at	found in the Voluntary						
sea due to force	Guidelines on the						
majeure or other	Marking of Fishing						
unforeseen reasons.]	Gear				-		
[FFA and New	(https://openknowledge.						
Zealand: (SPRFMO)	fao.org/server/api/core/ bitstreams/65cebd33-						
Discarded fishing gear: fishing gear released at	16c0-48ef-aded-						
sea without any attempt	1ea17b96589d/content).						
for further control or	1001/070307d/content).						
recovery by the vessel.	"Abandoned fishing						
[FFA and New	gear" means fishing				1		
Zealand: (SPRFMO)	gear over which that						
Lost fishing gear:	operator/owner has						
fishing gear over which	control and that could						

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
the vessel has	be retrieved by						
	owner/operator, but that						
	is deliberately left at						
	sea due to force						
	majeure or other unforeseen reasons.						
ALDFG definitions that	uniorescen reasons.						
	"Lost fishing gear"						
	means fishing gear over						
	which the						
	owner/operator has						
	accidentally lost control						
	and that cannot be						
	located and/or retrieved						
	by the owner/operator.						
	"Discarded fishing						
	gear" means fishing						
	gear that is released at						
	sea without any attempt						
	for further control or						
	recovery by the						
	owner/operator.						
	These definitions are						
	very similar to those of						
	SPRFMO and we						
	would be supportive of						
	either approach,						
	including the exclusion						
	of FADs.						
a. (MARPOL) E-waste: electrical and electronic							
equipment used for the							
normal operation of the							
vessel or in the							
accommodation spaces,							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
including all							
components,							
subassemblies and							
consumables, which are							
part of the equipment at							
the time of discarding,							
with the presence of							
material potentially							
hazardous to human							
health and/or the							
environment.							
b. (WCPFC) Garbage:	Canada supports New	We agree with the	Explore the option of		It would be preferable		
includes unusable	Zealand's suggestion to	Chair's view on	differentiating		to use a consistent and		
fishing gear, oil or fuel	amend the definition to	distinguishing between	biodegradable treatable		internationally		
products, oily residues,	align with MARPOL's	different types of waste,	garbage (food waste,		recognised definition		
sewage, food waste,	definition of garbage.	especially	paper, cardboard), from		for "garbage" such as		
domestic waste,		biodegradable and non-	non-biodegradable/		that used by MARPOL.		
incinerator ashes,	We also support the	biodegradable waste.	requiring treatment				
cooking oil.	FFA's suggestion on	Regarding the food, the	garbage (oil or fuel		Under MARPOL		
	exploring the option of	Simplified overview of	products, all other		Annex V, garbage		
[b.bis New Zealand:	differentiating	the discharge	domestic waste,		includes "all kinds of		
(MARPOL) Garbage:	biodegradable/ treatable	provisions of the	incinerator ashes,		food, domestic and		
all kinds of food,	garbage from	revised MARPOL	sewage, plastics,		operational waste, all		
domestic and	nonbiodegradable/	Annex V which entered	metals, batteries and e-		plastics, cargo		
operational waste, all	requiring treatment	into force on 1 March	waste).		residues, incinerator		
plastics, cargo residues,	garbage.	2018 clearly stipulates			ashes, cooking oil,		
incinerator ashes,		that Food waste can be			fishing gear, and		
cooking oil, fishing		discharged beyond 12			animal carcasses		
gear, and animal		nautical miles, and			generated during the		
carcasses generated		Animal Carcasses			normal operation of the		
during the normal operation of the ship		(should be split or otherwise treated to			ship and liable to be disposed of		
and liable to be		ensure the carcasses			continuously or		
disposed of		will sink immediately)			periodically."		
continuously or		Must be en route and as			periodically.		
periodically.]		far from the nearest					
periodically.]		land as possible.					
		ianu as possible.					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Chair: Consider		Therefore, food are					
definition of garbage		classified as					
and explore option to		dischargeable.					
differentiate types of							
garbage.							
c. [(FAO) Open	To add clarity and align	China respectfully			The proposed definition		
burning: uncontrolled	with MARPOL	address that the			is unclear. As it is		
combustion of garbage,	obligations, we suggest	majority of fishing			currently written, it		
e-waste, plastics, or	changing the FAO's	vessels possess limited			insinuates that open		
fishing gear without	definition of open	spatial capacity, which			burning with controlled		
emissions control.]	burning to MARPOL's	poses significant			combustion is		
	definition of	challenges in installing			acceptable?		
Chair: Consider	incineration and	large-scale waste					
definition and inclusion	reframe the prohibition	processing apparatus			We propose using		
of open burning.	to only permit	and storing substantial			MARPOL's definition		
	incineration as would	volumes of daily refuse.			of open burning, noting		
	be permitted under	Furthermore, such			the same exemptions		
	applicable international	installations may			for consistency.		
	instruments.	introduce safety					
		hazards to the vessels.			We note that there are		
	Canada believes there is	Consequently, open-air			existing rules on		
	an important difference	burning remains an			shipboard incineration		
	between open burning	indispensable waste			and waste disposal:		
	and incineration. Open	disposal method that			NA PROF. A. TH		
	burning results in air	shall be preserved.			MARPOL Annex VI		
	pollution that can be	G1 11 :			Regulation 16 specifies		
	harmful to the	Should open-air			that shipboard		
	environment	burning be prohibited, China seeks			incineration shall be		
	(https://www.canada.ca	clarification on the			allowed only in a		
	/en/environment- climate-	prescribed method for			shipboard incinerator		
	change/services/managi	waste incineration.			(or main auxillary		
	ng-reducing-	Specifically, are there			power plant or boilers for certain wastes).		
	waste/municipal-	particular requirements			New Zealand's Marine		
	solid/environment/open	or recommended			Protection Rules Part		
	-burning-garbage-	devices for waste			199.143 and 199.443		
	health-risks.html).	ucvices for waste			specify the		
	meatur-risks.humi).		1		specify the		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				1			
amendment]							
	Whereas, incineration is	processing that could be			requirements of		
	permitted under	employed?			shipboard incineration		
	MARPOL.				and gives effect to		
		Additionally, noting			MARPOL in this		
	Our intention with the	that Canada operates			regard.		
	inclusion of open	fishing vessels in the					
	burning was to mitigate	North Pacific for			For ships built after 1		
	the impacts of air	albacore tuna, China			January 2000 (or		
	pollution caused by	inquires about the waste			incinerators installed		
	fishing vessels.	management practices			after this date) they		
		employed by Canadian			have to be approved		
	Open burning of waste	fishing vessels.			incinerators.		
	aboard Canadian				The definition of		
	fishing vessels is not	It is also observed that			shipboard incineration		
	permitted under	fully enclosed			from MARPOL Annex		
	Canadian regulations.	incineration without air			VI is 'the incineration		
	Additionally, Section	is infeasible. Any			of wastes or other		
	114 of the Vessel	incinerator with an			matter on board a ship,		
	Pollution and	opening will inevitably			if such wastes or other		
	Dangerous Chemicals	produce emissions, the			matter were generated		
	Regulations prohibits	magnitude of which			during the normal		
	incineration of waste on	correlates with the			operation of that ship		
	board unless it is	quantity of waste			[underlined for		
	carried out in a	incinerated rather than			emphasis]'.		
	shipboard incinerator	whether the process is					
	that meets regulatory	conducted in open air.			Assuming those wastes		
	standards ( <u>https://laws-</u>				were generated during		
	lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/re				the normal operation of		
	gulations/sor-2012-				that ship, the waste		
	69/page-14.html#h-				specified under the		
	<u>791296</u> ).				proposal (fishing gear,		
					e-waste, garbage, and		
					plastics) are all		
					included in the		
					MARPOL Annex V		
					definition of waste and		
					cannot be disposed of		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
					on a vessel other than		
					through appropriate		
					incineration or disposal		
					at port reception		
					facilities.		
d. (NPFC) Plastics: a	Canada's preference is		Suggest simplifying the		Consider using the		
material which contains	to align the plastic		definition of plastic by		MARPOL definition of		
as an essential	definition with that		removing the NPFC		plastic. "Plastic means		
ingredient one or more	adopted by the IMO as		definition, and instead		a solid material which		
high molecular mass	much as possible.		use the existing		contains as an essential		
polymers and which is formed during either	Proposal: "Plastics: a		reference outlined in paragraph 2 of the		ingredient one or more high molecular mass		
manufacture of the	solid material which		current measure (CMM		polymers and which is		
polymer or the	contains as an essential		2017-04): "plastics		formed (shaped) during		
fabrication into a	ingredient one or more		includes plastic		either manufacture of		
finished product by heat	high molecular mass		packaging, items		the polymer or the		
or pressures.	polymers and which is		containing plastic and		fabrication into a		
or pressures.	formed (shaped) during either manufacture of		polystyrene, but not		finished product by heat		
d. alt [FFA: (WCPFC)	the polymer or the		including fishing gear".		and/or pressure.".		
Plastics: includes	fabrication into a		8 88		1		
plastic packaging, items	finished product by heat						
containing plastic and	and/or pressure. Plastics						
polystyrene, but not	include, though are not						
including fishing gear.]	limited to, plastic						
	packaging, items						
Chair: Consider	containing plastic and						
definition of plastics.	polystyrene."						
The MARPOL							
definition is "Plastic							
means a solid material							
which contains as an							
essential ingredient one							
or more high molecular							
mass polymers and							
which is formed							
(shaped) during either							
manufacture of the							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
polymer or the							
fabrication into a							
finished product by heat							
and/or pressure. Plastics							
have material properties							
ranging from hard and							
brittle to soft and							
elastic. For the purpose							
of this annex, "all							
plastics" means all							
garbage that consists of							
or includes plastic in							
any form, including							
synthetic ropes,							
synthetic fishing nets,							
plastic garbage bags							
and incinerator ashes							
from plastic products".							
[ANCORS: e.	Canada suggests	We disagree with					ANCORS: Add
"Underwater noise	removing "pollution".	adding a definition of					definition of
[CA: pollution]" means	While the IMO	noise, as there is no					underwater noise
energy from	recognizes the issue of	recognized definition					pollution
anthropogenic sources	underwater vessel noise	under the Commission's					
introduced into the	and its harmful impacts	Convention. Currently,					
marine environment	on aquatic ecosystems,	the scientific					
that has the potential to	it stops short of	community's					
cause harm to marine	qualifying it as	understanding of the					
life, including but not	"pollution".	specific impacts of					
limited to vessel engine		fishing vessel noise on					
noise, propellor		highly migratory fish					
cavitation, sonar use,		species such as tuna					
echo sounders, and		under WCPFC					
noise associated with		jurisdiction remains					
fishing activities such		unclear, with					
as gear deployment and		insufficient scientific					
retrieval.]		evidence to support it.					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
Chair: Consider inclusion of noise pollution.		In fishing practices, particularly for tuna longline and purse seine vessels, we lack of scitific advice on any significant impact of operational noise on target fish species or other marine life. Tuna longline vessels operate at slow speeds, with relatively low noise from main engines and propellers, and the PS process produces no significant noise compared to commercial cargo vessel. Therefore, it should not be classified as 'persistent noise pollution.'  We believe it is premature to introduce noise-related issues in the preamble before the SC provides clear and specific scientific advice on this matter.					
General Provisions  Chair: Consider alignment with MARPOL requirements					The proposed obligations must be clear about how they will fit with existing international		
and reference to other international					requirements (for fishing vessels) such as		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
discussions such as the					MARPOL – in some		
IMO work on gear					places the proposed		
marking and marine					obligations go beyond		
debris.					existing MARPOL		
					requirements.		
					XX 1 1.		
					We also need to consider other current		
					international		
					discussions such as the		
					IMO work on gear		
					marking and marine		
					debris.		
[1. bis This measure	Canada's preferred	Among all vessels	There is a need to be	Addition of an area of	It would also be useful	Scope of the Measure:	
shall apply to the following categories of	scope is to include all vessels on the WCPFC	listed in the WCPFC Record of Fishing	more specific on the scope of the measure,	application within the WCPFC Convention	to set out clearly the scope or area of		
fishing vessels	RFV, regardless of	Vessels, many do not	and as written, the	Area.	application for this	Area: for consistency	
authorized to fish in the	where they operate.	operate in the	Measure (including the	Tired.	CMM. This could	with the NPFC and	
Convention Area:	Rationale being that	Convention Area	existing measure)	"This measure shall	include the Convention	SPRFMO the measure	
	marine pollution is	during a given year due	applies to all fishing	apply to the following	Area (high seas and	should apply in the high	
a. vessels fishing on the	marine pollution	to port repairs or	vessels flagged by a	categories of fishing	EEZs) – but excluding	seas of the WCPFC	
high seas in the	regardless of vessel size	maintenance. We	CCM and operating	vessels authorized to	territorial seas and	Convention Area Vessels: The proposed	
Convention Area;	and where it is discharged. WCPFC's	suggest revising 'd. all vessels listed in the	within the WCPFC Convention Area. This	fish in the Convention Area:	archipelagic waters – also all vessels on the	revised CMM (and	
b. vessels fishing on the	mandate covers high	WCPFC Record of	would mean extending	a. vessels fishing	WCPFC RFV.	CMM 2017-04) apply	
high seas and in coastal State EEZs;	seas and EEZs, unlike	Fishing Vessels' to 'all	the WCPFC	exclusively on the high	Well e la V.	to all fishing vessels	
c. vessels fishing in the	non-tuna RFMOs like	vessels in the RFV list	compliance process to	seas in the Convention		"operating within the	
EEZs of two or more	NPFC and SPRFMO,	with annual Fished	vessels fishing on other	Area; and		WCPFC Convention	
coastal States; and	so we hope CCMs will	status.	non-WCPFC regulated	b. vessels fishing on the		Area". This would seem to include vessels	
d. all fishing vessels on	consider expanding the		fisheries.	high seas and in coastal State EEZs; and		covered by NPFC and	
the WCPFC Record of	scope.		FFA suggests:	c. vessels fishing in the		SPRFMO. As we read	
Fishing Vessels.]	Canada's preference		- the application of	EEZs of two or more		it, this would mean that	
[PNAO: 1.bis alt This	would be to reference		the measure to	coastal States."		the reporting	
measure shall apply to	the work of the		cover EEZ's and			requirements in the	
all vessels on the	FADMO-IWG rather		High Seas within			proposed revision	
WCPFC Record of	than exclude it		the CA			would result in an	

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
Fishing Vessels fishing on the high seas in the Convention Area.]  Chair: Consider area of application and its alignment with other international instruments. Consider language to reference the FADMO-IWG.  Note that the scope of the ROP includes: a. vessels fishing exclusively on the high seas in the Convention Area; and b. vessels fishing on the high seas and in waters under the jurisdiction of one or more coastal States and vessels fishing in the waters under the national jurisdiction of two or more coastal States.			- clearly excluding territorial seas and archipelagic waters from the scope, - the measure to apply to all vessels on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels Suggests proponents to explore other ways to define the scope appropriately, eg. by looking at other measures such as the ROP.  The scope of this measure to clearly exclude FAD management, or to clearly reference to the FAD Management Options IWG, as in CMM 2023-01.			obligation for WCPFC CCMs of vessels such as salmon and mackerel trawlers to provide reports to the WCPFC Secretariat and require the WCPFC compliance process to be extended to cover reporting by those vessels. We doubt the merit of that outcome.  Instead, the requirements in the Measure should apply to all vessels on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels.	
2. [(NEW) Provisions in this measure shall not prejudice the legitimate rights and obligations under international law of Commission Members, Cooperating Non-	Canada proposed this text to acknowledge that some CCMs are not a party to the international instruments referenced in the measure; however, we have no		This language is typically used in CMMs to protect the legitimate rights and obligations of SIDS under international law to develop their fisheries.		The intent of this paragraph is not clear. It would be useful to have WCPFC legal advice on the need for such a paragraph. Not sure that this kind of		

Canada China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
issue with removing this language.	eg. CMM 2023-01 para 5 "nothing in this Measure shall prejudice the rights and obligations of those small island developing State Members and Participating Territories in the Convention Area seeking to develop their domestic fisheries".  In the context of this draft proposal, it would be useful to get clarification from the proponent regarding the intent of this paragraph.		paragraph has been used in other CMMs.		
Canada supports the MARPOL definition of fishing gear and the inclusion of longline gear given risks associated with this gear type have yet to be clearly identified.  A recent CSIRO article estimates that annually more than 740,000km of longlines, and nearly 14 billion longline hooks are lost (article is here).	paragraphi	Japan would like to propose this paragraph from ICCAT Rec. 19-11		The PNAO supports this proposal by Japan, although it seems more appropriate to place it in para 1 with definitions as indicated by the Chair and by the PNAO comment on para 1.	
estimates that annua more than 740,000k of longlines, and ne 14 billion longline hooks are lost (artic	ally km early	ally km early	ally km early	ally km early	ally carly

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
gear and its inclusion in	The following project						
the definition section.	proposal on longline						
	gear may help inform						
	this review: (Rev.01)						
	An assessment of the						
	quantity and potential						
	impact of abandoned,						
	lost, or discarded						
	pelagic longline fishing						
	gear in the Western and						
	Central Pacific						
	Fisheries Convention						
	Area   WCPFC						
	Meetings						
	Significant risk has not been defined, though Canada is of the view that a risk is present when ALDFG can: - ghost fish, entangle, or be ingested by an animal, - contribute to marine plastics, and - present a navigational hazard.						
3. Commission Members, Cooperating Non-Members and Participating Territories							
(CCMs) that are							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
entitled to ratify,							
accept, approve or							
accede to the annexes							
of MARPOL and the							
London Protocol are							
encouraged to do so at							
the earliest possible							
opportunity if they have							
not already done so.							
CCMs that experience							
difficulties in becoming							
Parties to the MARPOL							
or London Protocol are							
encouraged to inform							
the International							
Maritime Organization							
of the circumstances							
thereof, so that							
consideration can be							
given to take							
appropriate action in							
this respect, including							
providing necessary							
technical assistance.							
4. [FFA and CN:	We agree with the FFA	China also proposed for	This is a general				ANCORS: Amend
proposed for deletion]	and China.	deletion.	requirement across				Paragraph 4 to read as
(NEW) The WCPFC			many areas of the				follows: "The WCPFC
Secretariat is			Commission's work,				Secretariat is
encouraged to engage			and does not see value				encouraged to engage
with the Secretariats of			in having it specified in				with the Secretariats of
other international			the measure.				other international
organizations, including							organizations, including
Regional Fisheries							Regional Fisheries
Management							Management
Organizations,							Organizations, IMO,
[ANCORS: IMO, and							and the CBD, to discuss
the CBD,] to discuss							shared challenges and

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
shared challenges and							identify potential
identify potential							pathways for
pathways for							cooperation on
cooperation on							addressing marine
addressing marine							pollution from fisheries,
pollution from fisheries							including underwater
[ANCORS: , including							noise pollution."
underwater noise							
pollution].							
<b>Prohibition on Marine</b>							
Pollution							
7 COLF 1 11 1 1 1 1	G 1	777 - 1.4 T	D1 0	Ŧ 1119 .	1 0 1		
5. CCMs shall prohibit	Canada supports	We agree with Japan's	Please refer to	Japan would like to	As above on definitions		
their fishing vessels	moving all definitions	intervention, and the	comments on the scope	propose this	– the references to		
operating within the	to the definition	provision should also	of the measure, and on	amendment. MARPOL	abandoned fishing gear		
WCPFC Convention	section.	include the exceptions	definitions and	Annex V prohibits from	are not consistent with		
Area from abandoning	C1	listed in MARPOL Annex V. The	consider:	abandoning or	other international references. SPRFMO		
or discarding any	Canada supports the exclusion of FADs in	stipulation that	- excluding reference	discarding with some exemption.	has defined		
fishing gear into the water, except where	paragraphs ii. and iii.	"unrecovered fishing	to FADs (as they are managed by	exemption.	"abandoned" fishing		
fishing gear is	given work underway	gear" is to be deemed	TTM and work		gear as the result of		
considered lost	by the FADMO-IWG,	abandoned may not be	underway by		force majeure.		
pursuant to Paragraph	though our preference	equitable in all	FADMO-IWG)		Torce majeure.		
7. or as would be	would be to reference	circumstances. For	- refer to the		Note that the FADMO		
permitted under	the work and commit to	instance, in the event of	different		IWG is actively		
applicable international	including text, as	extreme adverse	definitions of		working on the		
instruments.	deemed appropriate by	weather or unforeseen	abandoned, lost		marking, tracking and		
	the FADMO-IWG, SC	emergencies, crew	and discarded		monitoring of FADs.		
i. Fishing gear attached	and TCC in future	members may be	fishing gear in				
to, or controlled from a	years.	unable to retrieve	paragraph 1				
vessel is not considered		fishing gear promptly.					
abandoned or	On the topic of whether	Such situations do not					
discarded.	longline gear poses a	constitute intentional					
	significant risk, please	abandonment.					
[ii. Fishing gear such as	see comment above.	Enforcing this					
FADs, traps, static nets,		regulation strictly could					
released from the		impose undue stress on					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				1			
amendment]							
control of a vessel to	We support maintaining	crew members and lead					
undertake fishing	the original language	to violations.					
activities, is not	(5.alt) if the definitions						
considered abandoned	are clearly outlined	Furthermore, during					
or discarded, at the time	above and there is a	fishing operations, gear					
of release or while	commitment to	such as Fish					
being used.	consider how the work	Aggregating Devices					
	of the FADMO-IWG	(FADs), traps, and set					
iii. Fishing gear such as	could be included in	nets, when deployed or					
FADs, traps, static nets,	this measure and further	,					
released from the	considered in future	considered abandoned					
control of a vessel to	reviews.	or discarded. It is					
undertake fishing		China's position that					
activities that is not in		this principle should					
use and is not recovered		extend to longline					
is considered		fishing gear, including					
abandoned.]		main lines, branch lines					
		(nylon monofilament),					
5. alt [Japan: CCMs		hooks, and ropes. These					
shall prohibit their		are indispensable					
fishing vessels		components of fishing					
operating within the		vessels' equipment and					
WCPFC Convention		are not deliberately					
Area from abandoning		discarded. Any loss					
or discarding any		typically results from					
fishing gear into the		operational mishaps,					
water, except as would be permitted under		representing an economic detriment to					
applicable international		the fishing vessel rather					
instruments.]		than intentional					
mstruments.]		discarding. Moreover,					
Chair: Explore options		main lines, branch lines					
to reference FADs		(nylon monofilament),					
and/or the work of the		hooks, and ropes of the					
FADMO-IWG.		longline cannot create					
I ADMO-I WO.		so called ghost fishing.					
		so called gliost fishiling.					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Consider ALDFG							
prohibition.							
Exemptions outlined in							
MARPOL Annex V							
include:							
1. the discharge of							
garbage from a ship							
necessary for the							
purpose of securing the							
safety of a ship and							
those on board or							
saving life at sea; or							
2. the accidental loss of							
garbage resulting from							
damage to a ship or its							
equipment, providing that all reasonable							
precautions have been							
taken before and after							
the occurrence of the							
damage, to prevent or							
minimize the accidental							
loss; or							
1055, 01							
3. the accidental loss of							
fishing gear from a ship							
provided that all							
reasonable precautions							
have been taken to							
prevent such loss; or							
4. the discharge of							
fishing gear from a ship							
for the protection of the							
marine environment or							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				-			
amendment]							
for the safety of that							
ship or its crew.							
6. CCMs shall prohibit their fishing vessels from discharging any e-waste, garbage, plastics, (including plastic packaging, items containing plastic and polystyrene) but not including fishing gear. except as would be permitted under applicable international instruments.  Chair: Requesting WCPFC legal support to address IPNLF's comment.	In response to IPNLF, our understanding is that it would be permitted in cases of force majeure or to secure the safety of crew, for example.					Subject to some clarification about the implication of "would be permitted under applicable international instruments", the PNA Office supports some extension of the prohibition on discharging non-biodegradable materials. However, we are concerned at the impact of increasing garbage going to landfill on some small islands, if discharging bio-degradable materials and open	IPNLF: We would like to clarify what e-waste, plastics or garbage discharge is permitted under international instruments, as our understanding of MARPOL is that these actions are prohibited.
						burning are banned.	
[FFA: 6.bis CCMs [NZ: , other than SIDS,] shall [NZ: take all necessary measures] [NZ: meet their obligations] to prevent, reduce and control marine pollution [NZ: from] [NZ: of the marine environment] anthropogenic GHG emissions from their fishing operations within the Convention Area.]	Canada supports efforts to take further action to reduce GHG emissions.  We note that the IMO Net Zero Framework, which will establish a global standard for GHG emissions for international shipping once it comes into force in 2027, only applies to vessels 5,000GT and above – which would essentially exclude	Please list the international legal bases for excluding SIDS, the current levels of GHG emissions, and the methods to prevent, reduce, and control them.	Requests the inclusion of a new paragraph in response to the 2024 ITLOS Advisory Opinion on Climate Change regarding marine pollution:  "the Tribunal found that anthropogenic GHG emissions constitute "pollution of the marine environment" under the Law of the sea convention (para 173).		NZ proposed edits.		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
	most fishing vessels.		Therefore, States				
	The Framework does		Parties to the Law of				
	leave open the		the Sea Convention				
	possibility to revisit the		'have the specific				
	minimum vessel size		obligations to take all				
	threshold going		necessary measures to				
	forward.		prevent, reduce and				
			control marine				
			pollution from				
			anthropogenic GHG				
			emissions and to				
			endeavour to harmonize				
			their policies' (para				
			243).				
			Additionally, 'States				
			Parties have the specific				
			obligation to take all				
			measures necessary to				
			ensure that				
			anthropogenic GHG				
			emissions under their				
			jurisdiction or control				
			do not cause damage to				
			other States and their				
			environment, and that				
			pollution from such				
			emissions under their				
			jurisdiction or control				
			does not spread beyond				
			the areas where they				
			exercise sovereign				
			rights' (para 258)"				
			Suggested new				
			paragraph: "6bis.				
			CCMs, other than				

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
			SIDS, shall take all				
			necessary measures to				
			prevent, reduce and				
			control marine				
			pollution from				
			anthropogenic GHG				
			emissions from their				
			fishing operations				
			within the Convention				
			Area"				
			*SIDS can be exempted				
			(blue text) from this				
			provision if needed,				
			considering the low				
			contribution to the				
			global climate crisis.				
7. [Japan: Fishing gear,	Canada supports	We agree with Japan	Please align with the	Japan would like to	See above suggestion		
e-waste, garbage, and	moving all definitions	intervention. The	definition of Lost	propose to delete this	about definition of		
plastics released due to	to definition section.	directive to "make	Fishing Gear in	paragraph with same	abandoned/discarded/lo		
the following		every effort to recover"	Paragraph 1, and in	reason as mentioned in	st fishing gear.		
circumstances whereby		items is not sufficiently	such case the definition	paragraph 5.	SPRFMO defines		
every effort is made for retrieval, but retrieval is		precise, as it lacks	includes these circumstances, then this		"abandoned" fishing		
impossible, are		concrete and measurable	paragraph 7 can only		gear as a result of force majeure – which would		
considered lost:		benchmarks. This	refer to e-waste,		be consistent with the		
i. For the purposes of		imprecision may give	garbage and plastics,		intent of para 7.		
securing the safety of a		rise to disparate	and can be merged with		intent of para 7.		
vessel and those on		interpretations and	paragraph 6.				
board;		practices among					
ii. In an effort to save a		various crew members					
life; or		or maritime operators,					
iii. Due to		thereby complicating					
environmental factors		the objective					
(severe weather, strong		assessment of whether					
tides or currents,		an item qualifies as					
underwater snag).]		"lost." For instance,					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
8. (NEW) CCMs shall	Canada supports the	there may be differing	Suggest exploring of	Add fishing in front of	What does "open	On FADs, the PNA	
prohibit their [Japan:	FFA's statement. If	views on whether a	environmental risks and	vessels.	burning" mean in this	Office notes that the	
fishing] vessels from	open burning of	single recovery attempt	practicabilities of		paragraph? Does "open	issues related to marine	
the open burning of	biodegradable waste is	under adverse weather	considering		burning" refer only to	pollution from FADs	
fishing gear, e-waste,	not considered harmful	conditions constitutes	biodegradable waste to		burning without	are being addressed in	
garbage, and plastics.	to the environment and	sufficient effort, or	be banned from		emission control? Does	the FAD Management	
CCMs are encouraged	can be done safely, this	whether multiple	burning, as it reduces		this mean that burning	Options Working	
to prohibit their fishing	seems like a practical	attempts are required.	the volume of waste		with emission control is	Group. It is proposed,	
vessels operating within	approach to minimizing		brought into SIDS'		permitted?	therefore, that footnote	
the WCPFC	waste being offloaded	Furthermore, with	ports and whether			1 in the current CMM	
Convention Area from	in ports. That said, it	respect to the	burning of			should be maintained	
discharging:	would be difficult to	stipulation that "fishing	biodegradable waste			and extended along the	
a) oil or fuel products	monitor and enforce	gear, electronic waste,	does not constitute			following lines:	
or oily residues into the	this. For example, how	garbage, and plastics,	major pollution,				
sea;	would we be able to tell	which remain	compared with			"FADs released into	
b) garbage, including	whether biodegradable	unrecoverable despite	challenges of waste			the water with the	
fishing gear <sup>1</sup> , food	versus non-	exhaustive efforts, shall	management at land.			intention and capacity	
waste, domestic waste,	biodegradable items	be classified as lost,"				of later retrieval, are	
incinerator ashes and	were being burned?	we kindly request				not considered a	
cooking oil; and		clarification on the				marine pollutant, or	
c) sewage, except as	Domestically, Canada	specific meaning of				abandoned, lost, or	
would be permitted	only allows shipboard	"garbage" in this				discarded."	
under applicable	incineration of waste,	context.					
international	with clear exceptions					We appreciate the	
instruments.	(see paras 113-115 of	Additionally, the				reframing of the	
	Vessel Pollution and	requirement to				previous PNAO	
[PNAO: 1 Fishing gear,	Dangerous Chemicals	"diligently document				proposal above but note	
for the purposes of this	Regulations).	the storage positions				that the highlighted text	
measure, that are		and categorization of				is current text from	
released into the water	We could reframe to	the aforementioned				footnote 1 of CMM	
with the intention of	only allow incineration	items within the				2017-04 and perhaps it	
later retrieval such as	of materials in	vessel's stowage plan"				should be in black.	
FADs, traps and static	accordance with	is not applicable to					
nets, are not considered	MARPOL obligations.	fishing vessels. Due to					
garbage. FADs released	This approach might	the fact that garbage					
into the water with the	address concerns shared	storage locations on					
intention and capacity	on the	such vessels are not					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
of later retrieval, are not	definition/ambiguity of	fixed; they vary and					
considered a marine	open burning.	may change depending					
pollutant, or		on the fluctuating					
abandoned, lost, or	We agree with the	capacity of the cargo					
discarded.]	agree with PNA office	hold.					
	on maintaining						
Chair: Consider how best to reference and/or	language on FADs and						
implement the work of	would suggest including it within the						
the FADMO-IWG in	definition section.						
the measure.	definition section.						
8.bis [PNAO:	The inclusion of FADs	Disagree. While purse				The PNAO withdraws	
(WCPFC) To reduce	could be considered in	seine fisheries have				these proposals for now	
the amount of synthetic	this provision.	made some progress in				and supports the	
marine debris, CCMs	ans provision.	degradable				Chair's proposal to	
shall encourage vessels		technologies, longline				take into account the	
flying their flag to use,		fishing gear still relies				work of the FADMO-	
or transition towards		on traditional materials				IWG.	
using, non-plastic and		like nylon and					
biodegradable materials		monofilament, with no					
in the construction of		mature alternatives					
fishing gear.]		available. Premature					
		implementation of such					
		requirements without					
		proven substitute materials would impose					
		technical challenges on					
		longline fisheries.					
8. ter [PNAO:	We note there has been	Considering the					
(WCPFC) No later than	recent developments in	difficulties associated					
[20XX], the	this area ( <u>Can</u>	with longline fisheries,					
Commission shall take	biodegradable materials	it is recommended to					
a decision on the	reduce plastic pollution	exclude longlining.					
implementation of bio-	without decreasing	Purse seines as the					
degradable fishing gear	catch efficiency in	primary fishing gear					
requirements.]	longline fishery?;	should also be					
	Biodegradable fishing	excluded.					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
	gears: A potential solution to ghost fishing						
	and marine plastic pollution -						
	ScienceDirect).						
	Could this maybe be						
	considered in future						
	work/ review once more information is						
	available?						
Retrieval							
8.quater [ANCORS:		We disagree for reasons					ANCORS: Addition of
CCMs shall encourage		previously stated. If					8bis. related to
their fishing vessels		research is to proceed,					underwater noise
operating in the		it must follow this					mitigation (insert after
WCPFC Convention		sequence: purse seine,					Paragraph 8)
Area to assess and, where feasible, mitigate		trolling, shallow-set					
sources of underwater		longline, and deep-set longline. However,					
noise generated by		China would find it					
vessel operations,		difficult to agree to					
particularly through the		supporting this research					
adoption of quiet vessel		from the Commission's					
technologies (e.g.,		budget at this stage.					
quieter propellers, hull							
coatings, machinery							
insulation) and							
operational practices							
(e.g., speed reductions							
and route adjustments							
in sensitive areas).]							
9. CCMs shall [IPNLF:	Canada prefers this			Since Japan proposes to		If the reference to port	IPNLF: We find the use
require] [IPNLF:	provision remain an			delete paragraph 7,		reception facilities is	of the word 'encourage'
encourage] their fishing	encouragement as some			"lost" should be deleted		retained, there needs to	to be too weak to result
vessels within the	vessels are small and			here.		be a reference to	in significant change on

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
WCPFC Convention Area to retrieve abandoned, [Japan: lost] or discarded fishing gear. and retain the material on board, separate from other waste for discharge to port reception facilities. Where retrieval is not possible or does not occur, CCMs shall encourage their fishing vessels to report the latitude, longitude, type, size and age of abandoned, lost or discarded fishing gear. Chair: Consider proposed changes.	encounter a significant amount of ALDFG during their trips, or in some instances, vessels are not able to retrieve safely.  Should ALDFG be retrieved, our preference is to ensure reporting is made a requirement to contribute to research.  Canada would also like to maintain the word lost. When ghost gear is encountered, there is no way to differentiate between abandoned, lost and discarded.  While in that moment, it is technically found by a vessel, it may still be considered lost gear from another vessel.					carriers in relation to vessels that offload to carriers.	the water and would therefore like to suggest changing this word to 'require'. We wonder if you would consider a clause to require coordination with national authorities and compensation for pollution in national waters? This would be particularly relevant in cases of repeat pollution and for fishing gears like FADs which heavily pollute coastlines and create a real financial burden for coastal states.
Storage, Retention and Disposal  Chair: Explore at-sea monitoring and transshipment considerations.						Monitoring imbalance: The particular concern of the PNA is to address the imbalance in the monitoring of the current CMM in which there is 100% observer coverage on purse seine vessels for monitoring plastic waste discharges by purse seine vessels,	

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
						and a very low level of	
						monitoring plastic	
						waste discharges by	
						longline vessels. This	
						imbalance deeply	
						undermines the effectiveness of the	
						current measure, and it	
						will need to be	
						addressed if the	
						measure is to be	
						effective and before the	
						scope of the measure	
						can be extended.	
						The monitoring	
						imbalance is	
						particularly important	
						on this issue because:	
						a) FFA analysis has	
						estimated that plastic	
						waste strapping and	
						lining from longline	
						bait boxes is the major	
						source of plastic waste	
						from fishing vessels in	
						the WCPO; and	
						b) a large share of the	
						longline catch is	
						transhipped at sea,	
						creating increased	
						incentive to dump	
						waste.	

Involving observers on carriers to monitor the disposal of this plastic waste from longlines transhipping at sea could partially make up for the laak of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.    Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overchooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in each transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both; a) a challenge because it complements the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers.	Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
Involving observers on carrier to monitor the disposal of this plastic waste from longimers transhipping at sea could partially make up for the lack of observers on longiners, and reduce the current invaluance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhippment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on traps where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers because waste movement can be monitored by observers.								
carriers to monitor the disposal of this plastic waste from longliners transhipping at sea could partially make up for the lack of observers on longliners, and reclace the current imbelance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in carch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste, and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers	amendment]							
disposal of this plastic waste from longliners transhipping at sea could partially make up for the lack of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment and transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							Involving observers on	
waste from longliners transhipping at sea could partially make up for the lack of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
transhipping at sea could partially make up for the lack of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CCMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in eatch transhipment to mother vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is hoth: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
could partially make up for the lack of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CCMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CCMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
for the lack of observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							aculd partially make up	
observers on longliners, and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is a coverlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
and reduce the current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
current imbalance in the monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							and reduce the	
monitoring of this CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
CMM.  Transhipment: The PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							CMM.	
PNA Office is concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
concerned that the management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of funloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							Transhipment: The	
management of waste on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both:  a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
on trips where catches are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
are transhipped is overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
overlooked. Most trips covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
covered by this CMM result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
result in catch transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
transhipment to another vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
vessel and not unloading at a dock. For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
For marine pollution mitigation, this pattern of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
of unloading is both: a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							For marine pollution	
a) a challenge because it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
it complicates the unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							of unloading is both:	
unloading of waste; and b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers							a) a challenge because	
b) an opportunity because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
because waste movement can be monitored by observers								
movement can be monitored by observers							b) an opportunity	
monitored by observers								
On carriers							on carriers.	
on carriers.							on carriers.	

Text [Proponent: (source)	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
amendment]						In addition, focusing on the adequacy of port facilities without addressing the adequacy of arrangements for waste management where catches are transhipped at sea will increase the incentive to tranship at sea.	
their vessels within the WCPFC Convention Area safely store and retain all unusable and retrieved fishing gear separately from e-waste, garbage, and plastics and have this information detailed in a vessel stowage plan. the material on board, separate from other waste for discharge to port reception facilities. Where retrieval is not possible or does not occur, CCMs shall encourage their fishing vessels to report the latitude, longitude, type, size and age of abandoned, lost or discarded fishing gear.	Our vision for the stowage plan was to identify locations on the vessel earmarked for retrieved ALDFG vs useable fishing gear vs other waste. This could be done by simply indicating specified areas on a vessel map onboard the vessel. The intent is to ensure vessels are in compliance with the measure. For example, not fishing with other gear types, and not discarding pollutants while at sea.  We would welcome views on what may be a practical way forward.  Proposed textual edit to Japan's alt text	Agree with Japan's interevention. According to MARPOL Annex V, vessels already have a Garbage Record Book. Recording should be done directly in the Garbage Record Book rather than in the vessel stowage plan. Since the location of garbage placement on fishing vessels is not fixed for each voyage, recording the location directly in the Garbage Record Book is more practical.	Para 10 requires information about storage to be detailed in a vessel stowage plan. It is unclear what information is required in this stowage plan. It would be useful to get clarification from the proponent on what a stowage plan would entail. For clarity, it may be useful to outline this in a template. Would also be helpful to get a proposal on its relationship to the reporting requirements under current Paragraphs 11 and 18.  Also please refer to the comment on the scope of the measure above.	Japan supports original para 5 of the CMM 2017-04. MARPOL Annex V does not request this. If this separation request retains, it should be "shall encourage" as CMM2017-04.	Need clarity on what would be contained in the "vessel stowage plan"? What would it cover? Would it only cover management of waste or would it cover other stowage matters? This paragraph suggests it would include details on the separation of waste?  For clarity, it would be useful to outline how this would be implemented – perhaps a template for the "vessel stowage plan"?		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
10. alt [Japan: CCMs	retrieve [and safely						
shall encourage their	store] abandoned						
fishing vessels within							
the WCPFC							
Convention Area to							
retrieve [CA: and safely							
store] abandoned, lost							
or discarded fishing							
gear and retain the							
material on board,							
separate from other							
waste for discharge to							
port reception facilities.							
Where retrieval is not							
possible or does not							
occur, CCMs shall							
encourage their fishing							
vessels to report the							
latitude, longitude,							
type, size and age of							
abandoned, lost or							
discarded fishing gear.]							
C1 : F- 1 -1 4							
Chair: Explore what							
may constitute a stowage plan in relation							
to proposed reporting							
requirements.							
11. (NEW) CCMs shall	Canada supports	China has already	MARPOL Annex V	Add fishing in front of	This requirement to	Any requirements in	
ensure their [Japan:	alignment with	acceded to MARPOL	mandates a Garbage	vessels.	maintain a record of all	relation to ports should	
fishing] vessels [Japan:	MARPOL Annex V,	Annex V and enacted	Record Book, providing	, 000010.	fishing gear, ewaste,	as far as possible apply	
<sup>2</sup> ][FFA: greater than	Regulation 10,	corresponding domestic	a comprehensive log of	Japan proposes to add a	garbage, and plastics	to vessels such as	
100 GT] carry and	including provisions for	regulations. However,	all garbage handling	footnote to clarify the	that is onboard the	carriers.	
maintain a record of	vessels 100GT and	enterprises have	activities on a vessel,	application of this	fishing vessel when		
fishing gear, e-waste,	above, as well as	generally reported	for vessels greater than	paragraph taking into	they leave port goes		
garbage, and plastics	400GT and above.	difficulties in	100 GT, or vessels	account the MARPOL	beyond what is already		
that is onboard [CA:		implementing the	carrying more than 15	Annex V.	required under		

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
and transshipped by]	We agree with the	requirement to record	persons engaged in		MARPOL and will		
the vessel when they	FFA's suggestion on	"the quantity of fishing	international voyages,		require further		
leave port [PNAO: or is	the need to make	gear, electronic waste,	and fixed/floating		consideration. Need		
transferred onboard	reference to activities	garbage, and plastics	platforms.		clarity as to which		
from another vessel at	related to carrier vessels	carried onboard upon			vessels this would		
sea] and how/when	involved in	departure, as well as	Since the draft measure		apply to, and how it		
these are discarded.	transshipment from	their discharge methods	applies to all fishing		would be implemented		
Vessels flagged to	longline vessels, to	and timing," as the	vessels operating within		and monitored.		
CCMs who are	enhance monitoring of	diversity and	the Convention Area,				
signatories to	plastic waste from	complexity of items	this requirement will		Consider how to align		
MARPOL Annex V can	longline bait boxes that	onboard make accurate	also apply to small and		this provision with		
use the Garbage Record	do not unload in port.	accounting impractical	domestic vessels. This		MARPOL requirements		
Book to satisfy this		and lacking in	could be unnecessarily		and WCPFC reporting		
requirement.	Could this be addressed	operability.	burdensome for smaller		requirements and		
	by adding: " is		vessels that may not		monitoring		
[Japan: <sup>2</sup> This	onboard [and	China respectfully	generate or carry such		programmes. Clarify		
paragraph applies to	transshipped by] the	submits that the	garbage. Therefore, it		which vessels this		
fishing vessels of 400	vessel"?	requirement for vessels	may be useful to		would apply to for		
gross tonnage and		to "accurately record	include a qualifier for		example should there		
above and every ship		the types and quantities	this requirement eg.		be a focus on		
which is certified to		of fishing gear,	limit to vessels over a		monitoring of longline		
carry 15 or more		electronic waste,	certain size etc.		vessels via		
persons engaged in		garbage, and plastic			transshipment		
voyage to ports under		items carried upon	Also, it would be useful		activities?		
the jurisdiction of		departure, and to	to get clarifications on				
another CCM.]		document the disposal	the practicability of				
		methods and timelines	recording e-waste and				
Chair: Consider		throughout the voyage"	garbage at the moment				
alignment with		is operationally	the vessel leaves port. If				
MARPOL		cumbersome and	the vessel generates the				
requirements, and		impractical. In actual	waste and garbage				
WCPFC reporting		practice, the large	during the trip, it seems				
requirements and		volume and diverse	not practical to make a				
monitoring programs.		range of items on board	reporting requirement				
Consider practical		would demand frequent	before this is generated.				
record-keeping options.		and detailed logging by					
Explore options to		crew members. This					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
increase monitoring of		process is prone to	Suggests inclusion of a				
longline vessels via		errors or omissions due	provision mandating				
transshipment		to oversight or memory	vessels to provide the				
activities.		lapses, which could	information in				
		undermine the	paragraph 11 to the				
		reliability of subsequent	authorities of their flag				
MARPOL Annex V,		regulatory oversight	states within a				
Regulation 10 states:		and traceability efforts.	reasonable time frame				
"2 Every ship of 100			(eg. 28 days), and for				
gross tonnage and		Furthermore, the	the flag state to provide				
above, and every ship		imposition of such	an annual summary of				
which is certified to		additional record-	this information in their				
carry 15 or more		keeping duties is likely	Part 2 report. To avoid				
persons, and fixed or		to encounter resistance	duplication of efforts,				
floating platforms shall		from crew members, as	these requirements				
carry a garage		it significantly	should not apply to				
management plan		increases their	trips on which an ROP				
which the crew shall		workload. This may	observer is carried or an				
follow. This plan shall		lead to a lack of	electronic monitoring				
provide written		diligence in performing	programme meeting the commission standards				
procedures for		these responsibilities,					
minimizing, collecting,		thereby reducing the accuracy and	is implemented.				
storing, processing and disposing of garbage,		completeness of the	Suggestion to also				
including the use of the		records. As a result, the	make reference to				
equipment on board. It		effectiveness of the	activities related to				
shall also designate the		proposed record-	carrier vessels involved				
person or persons in		keeping system could	in transhipment from				
charge of carrying out		be substantially	longline vessels, to				
the plan. Such a plan		compromised.	enhance monitoring of				
shall be based on the		Tompromiseu.	plastic waste from				
guidelines developed		With specific regard to	longline bait boxes that				
by the Organization†		the requirement to	do not unload in port.				
and written in the		"accurately record the	1				
working language of		types and quantities of					
the crew.		fishing gear, electronic					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
3 Every ship of 400		waste, garbage, and					
gross tonnage and		plastic items carried					
above and every ship		upon departure":					
which is certified to							
carry 15 or more		Clarification on					
persons engaged in		"fishing gear": Does					
voyages to ports or		this term refer solely to					
offshore terminals		unusable or recovered					
under the jurisdiction of		fishing gear?					
another Party to the							
Convention and every		Clarification on "plastic					
fixed or floating		items": Does this					
platform shall be		pertain exclusively to					
provided with a		plastic waste? If it					
Garbage Record Book.		encompasses usable					
The Garbage Record		plastic items, their					
Book, whether as a part		variety is too extensive					
of the ship's official		to be feasibly					
logbook or as an		documented. We					
electronic record book		respectfully suggest					
which shall be		that a detailed					
approved by the		distinction be provided					
Administration taking		to specify which plastic					
into account the		items qualify as plastic					
Guidelines‡ developed		waste. It should be					
by the Organization, or		noted that many plastic					
otherwise, shall be in		items are essential for					
the form specified in		the vessel's operations,					
the appendix II to this		and their loss would					
Annex:.1 Each		constitute an economic					
discharge into the sea		disadvantage rather					
or to a reception		than an intentional act					
facility, or a completed		of disposal as waste.					
incineration, shall be							
promptly recorded in		Regarding para 13, we					
the Garbage Record		suggest that based on					
Book and signed for on		Japan's intervention,					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
the date of the		'ensure' be changed to					
discharge or		'request'.					
incineration by the							
officer in charge. Each							
completed page or							
group of electronic							
entries of the Garbage							
Record Book shall be							
signed by the master of							
the ship. The entries in							
the Garbage Record							
Book shall be at least in							
English, French or							
Spanish. Where the							
entries are also made in							
an official language of							
the State whose flag the							
ship is entitled to fly,							
the entries in that							
language shall prevail							
in case of a dispute or							
discrepancy.							
.2 The entry for each							
discharge or							
incineration shall							
include date and time,							
position of the ship,							
category of the garbage							
and the estimated							
amount discharged or							
incinerated.							
.3 The Garbage Record							
Book shall be kept on							
board the ship or the							
fixed of floating							
platform, and in such a							
place as to be readily							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
available for inspection							
at all reasonable times.							
This document shall be							
preserved for a period							
of at least two years							
from the date of the last							
entry made in it.							
.4 In the event of any							
discharge or accidental							
loss referred to in							
regulation 7 of this							
Annex an entry shall be							
made in the Garbage							
Record Book, or in the							
case of any ship of less							
than 400 gross tonnage,							
an entry shall be made							
in the ship's official							
logbook, of the							
location, circumstances							
of, and the reasons for							
the discharge or loss,							
details of the items							
discharged or loss, and							
the reasons for the							
discharge or loss,							
details of the items							
discharged or lost, and							
the reasonable							
precautions taken to							
prevent or minimize							
such discharge or							
accidental loss."							
12 CCM : 1							
12. CCMs are requested							
to ensure adequate port							
reception facilities are							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				-			
amendment]							
provided to receive							
waste fishing gear, e-							
waste, garbage, and							
plastics from fishing							
vessels. SIDS CCMs							
are requested to utilise,							
as appropriate, regional							
port reception facilities							
in accordance with							
international standards.							
13. CCMs are	We agree with the FFA		Para 13 here is para 7	Japan propose to retain			
encouraged to ensure	in that the term		of CMM 2017-04. The	original paragraph 7 of			
that shall encourage	adequate is subjective.		latter encourages	CMM 2017-04. The			
their [CN: are			fishing vessels "to	purpose of this			
encouraged to request	Domestically, Canada		inform their flag State	paragraph is that fishing			
that] fishing vessels	evaluates the adequacy		of ports in countries	vessels are encouraged			
flying their flag and	of port reception		that are Party to the	to inform their flag			
operating within the	facilities in accordance		annexes of MARPOL	state of ports if the			
WCPFC Convention	with the IMO		which do not have	ports do not have			
Area to inform their	guidelines outlined in		adequate port reception	adequate facilities to			
flag State of ports in	MEPC.83(44):		facilities for MARPOL	receive wastes even			
countries that are Party	Guidelines for Ensuring		wastes". The	though the port state is			
to the annexes of	the Adequacy of Port		amendment to para 13	a member of			
MARPOL which that	Waste Reception		now requires flag	MARPOL. The			
do not have adequate	Facilities. We propose		vessels to inform flag	information to be			
port reception facilities [CA: in accordance	adding the following text to better define		State of ports that do	collected by Canada's			
with international	adequate: "in		have adequate port	proposal is covered by the existing system,			
guidelines] for	accordance with		reception facilities.	namely Global			
MARPOL wastes.	international		The use of the term	Integrated Shipping			
WART OL Wastes.	guidelines".		"adequate" in para 13-	Information System.			
[13. alt Japan: CCMs	guiucinics .		15 is subjective, but	information System.			
are encouraged to	With regards to Japan's		noting this is in the				
ensure that fishing	comment on the		current measure too.				
vessels flying their flag	reporting redundancy,		Para 14 qualified this				
and operating within	we understand that the		by stating it should be				
the WCPFC	GSIS information may		"in accordance with				

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
Convention Area	not be available to all		international				
inform their flag State	CCMs. Our intent was		standards".				
of ports in countries	to solicit a list of ports						
that are Party to the	that would be adequate		Para 14 is binding and				
annexes of MARPOL	according to		as currently drafted,				
which do not have	international standards		appears to be applicable				
adequate port reception	and that all WCPFC		to all CCMs. It may be				
facilities for MARPOL	registered vessels can		worth adding a qualifier				
wastes.]	have access to that list		to para 14 to limit this				
	to responsibly plan		reporting requirement				
Chair: The following	fishing trips. Also, we		to those CCMs that				
may be useful	expect that vessels/		have 'adequate port				
references: Reception	CCMs are not likely to		reception facilities'. A				
facilities; MEPC.1-	report which ports do		possible suggestion is				
Circ.834-Rev.1.pdf.	not have adequate port		provided.				
Canada proposed to	reception facilities so thought this approach						
target the encouragement in	would yield a better						
identifying ports in	outcome.						
countries that do have	outcome.						
adequate port reception	We could also consider						
facilities so that vessels	adding an obligation for						
can plan accordingly.	the Executive Director						
can plan accordingly.	to add the list of ports						
	to the website to						
	support CCMs and their						
	fishing vessels.						
14. [Japan: (NEW)	See above comment re:	At present, many	1	Japan proposes to		Adequacy of Port	
CCMs [FFA: with	GSIS.	Pacific island nations		delete this paragraph		Facilities: The PNA	
adequate port reception		face significant		since CCMs can collect		Office is concerned	
facilities] shall provide		challenges due to the		the information from		about the implications	
a list of its ports with		absence or		the Global Integrated		of the proposed new	
adequate reception		insufficiency of port		Shipping Information		paras 14 and 15 for use	
facilities, in accordance		waste disposal		System.		of SIDS ports. There	
with international		facilities. It is				would need to be a	
standards, to the		recommended that				substantial commitment	
WCPFC Secretariat for		priority be given to				of resources for port	

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
its dissemination to all		enhancing shore-based				improvements before	
CCMs.]		waste management				this approach could be	
15. [PNAO proposed		capabilities in these				agreed.	
for deletion] (NEW)		nations before further					
CCMs shall encourage		enforcing pollution				The PNA Office does	
their vessels to utilize,		prevention measures on				not support additional	
as appropriate, the ports		vessels.				provisions relating to	
identified as having						ports without	
adequate port reception		In the practical context				provisions relating to	
facilities.		of maritime operations,				transshipment.	
		vessels may encounter					
Chair: Consider		difficulties such as poor					
language that would		communication signals,					
better address at sea		which could impede					
monitoring.		their ability to report					
		relevant information to					
		national authorities					
		within the stipulated					
		72-hour timeframe.					
		Additionally, fishing					
		gear that lacks					
		positioning systems					
		cannot be accurately					
		tracked for loss or					
		abandonment.					
		Consequently, crew					
		members are unable to					
		provide precise reports					
		on the quantity of lost					
		fishing gear.					
		In light of these					
		considerations, it is					
		suggested that the					
		implementation of					
		pollution prevention					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]		measures take into					
		account the current					
		operational constraints					
		faced by fishing vessels					
		in the region.					
16. CCMs shall							!
cooperate, consistent							
with national laws and regulations, directly or							
through the							
Commission, and in							
accordance with their							
capabilities, to actively							
support SIDS and							
Territories through the							
provision of adequate port facilities for							
receiving and							
appropriately disposing							
of waste fishing gear, e-							
waste, garbage, and							
plastics from fishing vessels.							
Reporting							
Requirements							
11							
17. (SPRFMO) CCMs	Canada prefers to make	We support the Chair's	FFA (paras 17-20):	Japan proposes the	Note that these	The PNA Office	
shall [Japan: encourage	ALDFG reporting an	view and disagree with	Please align these	amendments.	provisions (17-19)	supports, in principle,	
their fishing vessels to notify their competent	obligation. From a practical standpoint, we	the '72-hour' timeframe, which has no value.	reporting requirements with definitions for	MARPOL does not	copied from SPRFMO	enhanced recording and	
authority within 72	believe most reporting	which has no value.	ALDFG in paragraph 1,	have this requirement.  Japan can consider it if	only apply to the high seas. If adopted, it	reporting of waste management, including	
hours of the following	could be done as part of	At present, many	and scope, and clearly	this is not compulsory.	would impose	waste discharge and	
information when the	the annual report.	Pacific island nations	define which exact	nev temp #1501 j .	significant new	fishing gear-related	
[Japan: ensure that	Should the ALDFG be	face significant	fishing gear these		obligations for CCMs	incidents. The preferred	
when their] vessels	considered to pose a	challenges due to the	reporting requirements		in WCPFC.	form of any enhanced	
cannot retrieve	significant risk of ghost	absence or	will apply to.		These proposals should	recording and reporting	
abandoned, [Japan:	fishing or to	insufficiency of port			first and foremost be	would depend on the	

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
lost] or discarded	navigational safety, we	waste disposal	For practicability		focused on vessels	purpose and volume of	
fishing gear (ALDFG)	are of the view that	facilities. It is	reasons, reporting by		fishing on the high	that reporting. A more	
linked to that vessel	every effort should be	recommended that	logsheet would be the		seas/outside of their	precise definition of	
[Japan:, the vessel	made to retrieve the	priority be given to	main alternative.		flag's national	what is to be reported,	
notifies its competent	ALDFG and reporting	enhancing shore-based			jurisdiction.	as referred to above	
authority within 72	timelines should reflect	waste management	Appreciate an early			would be helpful for	
hours of the following	that. However, this	capabilities in these	circulation of draft		These proposals should	that purpose.	
information]:	approach would be	nations before further	Audit Points to discuss		first and foremost be		
a. the vessel name;	open to interpretation as	enforcing pollution	also how compliance is		focused on vessels		
b. the type/material of	'significant risk' has	prevention measures on	going to be assessed.		fishing on the high		
the gear lost;	not been defined.	vessels.			seas/outside of their		
c. the quantity of the	We would welcome		Paragraph 17 requires		flag's national		
gear lost;	views from others,	In the practical context	vessels to report certain		jurisdiction.		
d. the date (DD-MM-	understanding WCPFC	of maritime operations,	information to their				
YYYY) that the gear	and SPRFMO contexts	vessels may encounter	competent authority		At present, the proposal		
was abandoned, [Japan:	are very different.	difficulties such as poor	within 72hrs when they		would go beyond		
lost,] or discarded;	T 1. DIAG	communication signals,	cannot retrieve ALDFG		MARPOL requirements		
e. the position	To respond to PNAO	which could impede	linked to their vessel.		and would require		
(longitude/latitude)	comments, the purpose	their ability to report	Please refer to the		consultation with		
where the gear was	of this reporting, in our	relevant information to	comment made for		industry and		
abandoned, [Japan:	view, is to increase our	national authorities	paragraph 11, on		consideration as to the		
lost,] discarded;	understanding of ALDFG and reduce the	within the stipulated	timeframe for submission:		means to implement		
f. measures taken by the		72-hour timeframe.	submission:		domestically.		
vessel to retrieve the ALDFG; and,	stigma around ALDFG.	A 444:	C		N 71 1		
7 7	Domostically Canada	Additionally, fishing gear that lacks	Suggests inclusion of a		New Zealand supports		
g. the circumstances, if known, that led to the	Domestically, Canada requires its vessels to	positioning systems	provision mandating vessels to provide the		JPN's proposed		
gear being abandoned,	provide the following	cannot be accurately	information to the		wording.		
[Japan: lost,] or	information within 24	tracked for loss or	authorities of their flag				
discarded.	hours of returning to	abandonment.	states within a				
uiscarucu.	port:	Consequently, crew	reasonable time frame				
Chair: Consider	- Individual	members are unable to	(eg. 28 days), and for				
reporting timeframe	information: Region of	provide precise reports	the flag state to provide				
and the value of making	licence issuance (DFO	on the quantity of lost	an annual summary of				
this provision	regions- Arctic, Gulf,	fishing gear.	this information in their				
compulsory.	etc.), Date of report,	moning gour.	Part 2 report. To avoid				
compaisory.	First and last name of		duplication of efforts,				
	First and last name of		auplication of efforts,				

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				1			
amendment]							
	fishing vessel operator,	In light of these	these requirements				
	Fisher Identification	considerations, it is	should not apply to				
	Number, Licence	suggested that the	trips on which an ROP				
	number, VRN, Email	implementation of	observer is carried or an				
	- Where gear was lost:	pollution prevention	electronic monitoring				
	last date the gear was	measures take into	programme meeting the				
	known to be at this	account the current	commission standards				
	location, last known	operational constraints	is implemented.				
	position of lost gear,	faced by fishing vessels					
	target species, Fishery Management Area	in the region.					
	- Describe lost gear:						
	Gear type, Number of						
	units lost, description of						
	gear lost, estimated						
	length of rope lost (in						
	feet)						
	- Optional: reason for						
	loss						
18. (SPRFMO) CCMs	We welcome China's	Regarding paragraphs	Paragraph 18 is non-	Add 'their fishing' in			
shall encourage [Japan:	comment and propose	17-18, we support	binding and requires	front of vessels.			
their fishing] vessels to	the following for	Japan's view. MARPOL	vessels to report to their				
report the following	consideration:	Annex V does not	authority certain				
information to its	1 77 1 77 6 6	mandate reporting of all	information of any				
competent authority	1. Timely Transfer of	ALDFG incidents, and	retrieved ALDFG. It				
regarding any retrieved ALDFG:	Information: While we support the inclusion of	its focus is on incidents that pose a 'significant	would be useful to get clarification from the				
a. the vessel name;	a defined timeline to	threat' to the marine	proponent on the				
b. the name and call	facilitate data	environment or	volume of reporting,				
sign of the vessel that	collection, our intent	navigation. MARPOL	and get advice from the				
abandoned or lost the	with this provision was	Annex V aims to	SSP.				
gear (if known);	to make it as easy as	prevent pollution from					
c. the type of gear	possible to share	ships, and its reporting					
retrieved;	information on	requirements for lost					
d. the quantity of gear	retrieved ALDFG. We	fishing gear are based					
retrieved;	would welcome	on assessing 'significant					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
e. the date (DD-MM-	suggestions on a	threats' that may be					
YYYY) that when the	timeline.	posed to the marine					
gear was retrieved;		environment or					
f. the position	2. Distribution	navigational safety.					
(longitude/latitude)	Standards: We agree	This means that not all					
where the gear was	and suggest this be	minor fishing gear loss					
retrieved; and,	included as part of the	incidents require					
g. if possible,	Annual Report part 2,	mandatory reporting,					
photographs of the gear	perhaps? This language	which is more aligned					
retrieved.	was taken from	with the practical					
	SPRFMO and not	realities of fishing					
Chair: Requesting	tailored to WCPFC's	operations and avoids					
advice from the	framework. We	unnecessary					
WCPFC Secretariat and	welcome views from	administrative burdens.					
SSP on the volume of	CCMs and TCC.	Therefore, when					
reporting that may be		incorporating					
associated with this	3. Fishing gear	MARPOL's reporting					
provision.	permitted to be	obligation into					
	discharged, in	WCPFC, the focus					
	accordance with	should be on ALDFG					
	WCPFC CMMs: We	incidents with					
	agree we should	potentially significant					
	reference this element	environmental impacts,					
	via the following	rather than					
	"unless gear permitted	comprehensive					
	to be discharged, in accordance with	mandatory reporting of					
	WCPFC obligations",	all types of fishing gear					
	though would prefer we	loss. Regarding paragraphs					
	still include this as part	19-20, we agree with					
	of the Annual Report as	the Chair's view.					
	this is likely a relatively	uie Chan S view.					
	significant contributor	With respect to paras					
	to ALDFG. These	18-20, China					
	obligations are not	respectfully submits the					
	meant to be punitive in	following observations:					
	nature, rather a means	Tollowing ouser various.					
	nature, ramer a means						

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
	to collect the	1. Timely Transfer of					
	information we can to	Information: The					
	better understand our	requirement to					
	overall impact on the	"promptly transfer					
	oceans we rely on.	information" lacks a					
19. (SPRFMO) CCMs	-	clearly defined time			7		
shall promptly transmit		limit, which may lead					
the information		to ambiguity in its					
received pursuant to		implementation.					
paragraphs 17 to the							
Secretariat for its		2. Distribution					
dissemination to all		Standards: The phrase					
CCMs, as appropriate,		"distribute as					
so that every attempt		appropriate" could					
can be made to retrieve		result in unclear					
the lost gear.		standards for					
		distribution, potentially					
Chair: Consider		causing confusion and					
defining 'promptly' and		inconsistency in					
setting 'dissemination'		practice.					
standards. Requesting							
the WCPFC		Additionally, regarding					
Secretariat's views on		the abandonment and					
what would align with		loss of auxiliary fishing					
other adopted practices.		gear, it is challenging to					
20. (NEW) Starting in		accurately quantify	This report may be				
2027, the Secretariat		such occurrences. For	useful to go to SC and				
shall create and present		instance, in situations	TCC, rather than (or in				
an annual summary		where hooks and lines	addition to) the				
report to the		are bitten by sharks,	Commission noting that				
Commission [and		they are often cut off to	the information				
relevant subsidiary		prevent the shark from	required to be reported				
bodies] on ALDFG		boarding the vessel.	to the WCPFC				
related data using the		This practice is	Secretariat is paragraph				
information provided in		intended to release the	14 (ports with adequate				
CCM annual reports.		shark, in compliance	reception facilities) and				
		with established shark	paragraph 19				

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)				•			
amendment]							
Chair: Seeking the		conservation and	(information from				
WCPFC Secretariat's		management measures,	paragraph 17 on				
advice on the amount of		and should not be	ALDFG).				
work that may be		classified as discarding					
associated with this		garbage.					
provision, based on							
discussions with the		Moreover, the types of					
SPRFMO Secretariat.		fishing gear used by					
		vessels in the Western					
		and Central Pacific					
		differ significantly from					
		those managed by the South Pacific Regional					
		Fisheries Management					
		Organisation					
		(SPRFMO), rendering					
		direct comparisons					
		inappropriate.					
		Therefore, a tailored					
		analysis specific to each					
		region is necessary.					
		Furthermore, the					
		obligation to notify the					
		secretariat would					
		impose a considerable					
		additional workload.					
		Observations from					
		SPRFMO's					
		implementation indicate					
		that this requirement					
		has not been executed					
		smoothly, and its					
		practicality remains a					
		matter of debate.					

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
		In light of these considerations, China					
		regrets that it cannot					
		endorse the proposed modifications.					
Capacity development, training					We see value in including an obligation		
and research					to require consistent		
					and durable gear		
Chair: Consider					marking, to ensure that		
including a provision					vessels and CCMs can		
on gear marking.					be held to account		
Consider including a					when gear is abandoned		
provision to encourage CCMs to increase the					or lost. Gear marking requirements has been		
number of adequate					shown to reduce the		
port reception facilities.					rates of gear loss when		
port reception racinities.					implemented		
					effectively. In addition		
					to gear marking,		
					ensuring gears are not		
					used past its "life", is		
					also a useful practice to		
					reduce gear loss.		
21. CCMs are							ANCORS: Amend
encouraged to							Paragraph 21 to read as
undertake research into							follows: "CCMs are
marine pollution							encouraged to
[ANCORS: (including underwater noise)]							undertake research into marine pollution
related to fisheries in							(including underwater
the WCPFC							noise), related to
Convention Area to							fisheries in the WCPFC
further develop and							Convention Area to
refine measures to							further develop and
reduce marine							refine measures to
pollution, and are							

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
encouraged to submit to							reduce marine
SC and TCC any							pollution"
information derived							
from such efforts.							
22. CCMs are							
encouraged to develop							
communication							
frameworks to enable							
the recording and							
sharing of information							
on lost fishing gear loss							
in order to reduce loss							
and facilitate recovery							
of fishing gear.							
23. CCMs are further							
encouraged to develop							
frameworks or systems							
to assist fishing vessels							
to report the loss of							
gear to their flag State,							
relevant coastal States,							
and the Commission.							
24. CCMs are							ANCORS: Amend
encouraged to conduct							Paragraph 24 to read as
training and awareness							follows: "CCMs are
programs for the crew							encouraged to conduct
and master of fishing							training and awareness
vessels flying their flag							programs for the crew
regarding the impacts							and master of fishing
of marine pollution							vessels flying their flag
[ANCORS: , including							regarding the impacts
underwater noise,] and							of marine pollution,
operational practices to							including underwater
prevent and eliminate							noise, and operational
marine pollution caused							practices to prevent and
by fishing vessels.							eliminate marine

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source) amendment]							
							pollution caused by fishing vessels."
Review and implementation							
25. This measure will be reviewed by the Commission in [2028] to consider strengthening the measure. every 3 years to consider expanding the scope of measure with respect to the elimination of marine pollution caused by fishing vessels.  Chair: Consider revision period.	Canada agrees with the FFA. Our preference would be to include a date and recurrence period so that it is included in future workplans of the Commission.  For example, "This measure will be reviewed by the Commission every 3 years, starting in [20XX], to consider []."		Revision period to be discussed within Subsidiary Bodies, making sure it aligns with Subsidiary Bodies and Commission's workplans.				
26. The date of implementation for this measure is 1 January 202619 [FFA: 2028].  Chair: Consider implementation date.	We agree that implementation start date would need to be at least one year after the Commission adopts the measure.	Overall, given the current state of fishing vessel facilities, the operational environment for crew members, and the port disposal capabilities in Pacific island nations, there exists a notable discrepancy with the requirements outlined in Canada's revised measures.  Consequently, achieving full compliance with all	Implementation start date at least a year after approval by WCPFC.				

Text	Canada	China	FFA	Japan	New Zealand	PNAO	Observers
[Proponent: (source)							
amendment]							
		stipulated requirements					
		within a short					
		timeframe presents					
		significant challenges.					