

## Summary of issues arising from the Independent Review of the Commission's Transitional Science Structure and Functions

WCPFC-NC6/WP-05

## **Prepared by the Secretariat**

1. In accordance with the outcomes of the Final Report of Working Group II at the Inaugural Session of the Commission in December 2004, an independent review of the Commission's transitional science structure and functions was contracted out to Marine Resources Assessment Group (MRAG, UK) in 2008.

2. An interim Report was presented to the Fifth Regular Session of the Commission at Busan in December 2008 and, on the basis of additional comments subsequently submitted to the consultants by CCMs, a Final Report was received in May 2009.

3. This document summarizes key recommendations from the independent review, with outcomes as agreed and adopted at SC5 and SC6. Reference document is WCPFC-SC5-2009/GN-WP-08 (Summary of issues arising from Independent Review).

## SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE

## COMMISSION'S TRANSITIONAL SCIENCE STRUCTURE AND FUNCTIONS **Recommended Item Response from SC5** I. DATA CUSTODIANSHIP 1) Data gaps 1) SC5 supported. 2) SC5 supported. 2) Data management and confidentiality 3) Data custodianship service 3) SC5 supported. 3b) Three-year service agreement with SPC to 3b) SC5 supported. secure resources 3d) Incorporation of ISC data into the WCPFC 3d) Addressed and reported in SC6 summary holdings report agenda item 9.1.c **II. SCIENCE STRUCTURE AND FUNCTIONS** 4) Process of project contract 4) Addressed and adopted. a) Update guidelines for SC work programme b) Improve the level of advertising, funding and scope of candidate projects 5) Quality of scientific advice available to the 5) Commission a) Implement periodic external peer review a) Addressed and reported in SC6 summary process report agenda item 13.2 b) Recommendation not accepted by SC. b) Develop a standard procedure for CCMs to undertake duplicate assessments c) Maintain transparency by posting research c) SC5 supported. inputs and outputs on website d) Develop a strategy to maximize the use of d) By posting on the Commission's website. science knowledge of the SPC-OFP and other existing or potential contractors e)SPC to continue training of talented e) SC5 supported. individuals from developing CCMs to enhance their full participation in the scientific activities of the Commission 6) International Scientific Committee (ISC) 6) a) Amend MOU to allow for ISC work to be a) While non-ISC Members support this requested by the SC amendment, most ISC Members wanted to discuss this at WCPFC6. b) MOU to be reviewed at WCPFC7 b) To support robust science within the ISC i) Additional review by the SC and external i) peer review ii) For transparency, promote wider ii) participation in ISC's assessment activities, and sufficient funding iii) SC and NC, with funding support, iii)

c)

request ISC of validation work on key ISC

assessments

c) Improve support to ISC

<ul> <li>i) Promote ISC officers' attendance at SA-SWG to present their assessments</li> <li>ii) On-time submission of ISC documents to SC</li> <li>iii) Allow sufficient time for SC to review ISC's assessments and advice</li> <li>d) To promote harmonization of the Commission's science functions, the SC's research plan should include the ISC's work plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>i) SC noted it's feasible but cost implications here.</li> <li>ii) Recommend to ISC to post ISC's working papers on the ISC website. SC noted that ISC working papers require authorization by authors.</li> <li>iii) SC thought it feasible but need to adjust meeting time table with a suitable time gap between meetings.</li> <li>d) SC recommends that the SC work plan and the ISC work plan reference each other.</li> </ul>
<ul><li>7) Restructuring the SC process</li><li>a) To clarify the role of SC and ISC in advising NC, the SC, as the statutory WCPFC body, should take the lead in endorsing the</li></ul>	<ul><li>7)</li><li>a) The Commission to decide.</li></ul>
scientific work done by the Commission's Science Services Provider and SWGs, and providing advice to the NC and Commission, even if this advice is a simple endorsement of the advice of other bodies such as the ISC i) The SC Chair introduces the SC report to NC	i) SC supported. ii) SC supported.
<ul> <li>ii) The ISC Chair presents and provides technical explanation to NC in a non- confrontational way between SC and ISC</li> <li>b) Specialist Working Groups and related processes.</li> </ul>	b)
<ul> <li>i) Strengthening Stock Assessment</li> <li>Preparatory Workshop (SAPW) by the</li> <li>WCPFC taking ownership of that activity</li> <li>with appropriate funding support</li> <li>ii) Incorporate the BI-SWG and ME-SWG</li> <li>into SAPW</li> <li>iii) Revise the Terms of Reference of the</li> <li>SAPW to include provision for agreement</li> <li>on data inputs, model runs and the setting</li> <li>of an appropriate timetable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>i) Generally support SAPW, strongly support to remain as an informal meeting, including relevant biological and methodological papers. SPC will facilitate the workshop</li> <li>ii) Incorporate relevant biology and methods papers into SAPW</li> <li>iii) Revised TOR as Attachment L of SC6 Summary Report.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>iv) Identify risks and seek solutions to address such risks when SAPW is expanded</li> <li>v) Promote the participation of ISC and IATTC in the SWGs to promote coordination of ocean-wide assessments and include northern stocks in the agenda of the SAPW</li> </ul>	<ul><li>iv) Inclusion of presentations within the SC of significant issues as identified in the SAPW.</li><li>v) Funding considerations.</li></ul>

<ul> <li>c) Consider other workshops on species not included in the main SPC-OFP work programme</li> <li>d) Allocate significantly more time to SA-SWG to thoroughly review all assessment-related outputs from SPC-OFP, ISC and CCMs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>c) Generally support, perhaps as a special session within SC or as standalone workshops. Other bycatch species could be included as a special session into SC.</li> <li>d) Considered adequate for present schedule, reconsider with regard to work schedule.</li> </ul>	
e) Restructuring of the SWGs	e) Alternative idea not reflected in consultancy	
<ul> <li>i) Have an annual meeting for EB-SWG and SA-SWG only</li> <li>ii) Have biennial or occasional meetings for the FT-SWG and ST-SWG</li> <li>iii) BI-SWG and ME-SWG to be absorbed into SAPW (or SA-SWG) or have occasional meetings</li> <li>iv) Less formal process of the SWG</li> </ul>	report was adopted. Have one continuous SC session structured thematically, chaired by individual conveners. Secretariat, Chair, Vice-Chair and Conveners to plan details of new thematic structure intersessionally and post agreed plan on Commission's website.	
meetings, including no national	Pavian of new SC structure reported under	
representation and more intensive	Review of new SC structure reported under	
involvement of experts f) Establish an Ad-hoc Group on Socio-	Agenda item 13.3 of SC6 Summary Report f) Consider items as special sessions of i)-iii) as	
economic Issues which identifies:	interest arises.	
i) socio-economic issues and how they		
might be addressed		
ii) types of information and analyses		
required to generate appropriate		
management advice iii) availability of		
expertise within the CCMs and/or		
potential service providers to undertake		
the necessary work		
III. IMPLEMENTATION		
8) Implementation of the recommendations a)	8) a) No work plan required as all matters have	
Develop a work plan for the implementation of	been addressed.	
these recommendations.		
	1 1	