



WCPO Bigeye and Yellowfin TRPs (WCPFC21-2024-31)

COMMISSION Twenty First Regular Session Suva, Fiji

SPC-OFP 28 November – 3 December 2024

Introduction



- WCPFC HS workplan BET and YFT TRPs to be adopted this year (WCPFC21)
- No clear guidance yet from managers on levels
 - Exception comment at WCPFC20 re incorporating FAD closure considerations
- To provide some information, re-ran analyses of WCPFC18-2021-11
 - Used analyses underpinning TT CMM discussions at WCPFC20

• Projection analyses

Approach

- Adjust future fishing to achieve desired candidate depletions in the long term
- BET for two recruitment scenarios
 - 'recent'
 - 'long term'









- TRPs could be achieved with many different balances of PS effort and LL catch
- Two approaches for future fishing levels:
 - Equal proportional change in PS effort and LL catch cf 2019-2021 levels (see WCPFC18-2021-11)
 - Incorporate recent CMM decisions
 - Fix PS effort at 2012 levels (CMM 2022-01)
 - Incorporate shortened FAD closure (CMM 2023-01) for BET only
 - Adjust LL catches to achieve future depletion levels
- Re PS: SKJ and YFT affected by overall effort, BET by effort <u>AND</u> FAD closure
- YFT Region 2 'other' gear fishing levels set to 2016-2018 effort
- SC20 request for SPA outcomes assume tropical LL change affects fishing levels in 0-10°S area of SPA assessment



• PS defined through CMMs

2012-2015 levels BET: 34%SB_{F=0} YFT: 44%SB_{F=0}

• Table 8, cut down; PS mult either +40% or +62%

	BET: recent rec	cruitment					
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	Change in LL fishing from 2019-2021	Risk SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP	Notes	Equiv. SKJ SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. YFT SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. SPA SB/SB _{F=0}
0.46	+35%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%



• PS defined through CMMs

2012-2015 levels BET: 34%SB_{F=0} YFT: 44%SB_{F=0}

• Table 8, cut down; PS mult either +40% or +62%

	BET: recent recruitment							
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	Change in LL fishing from 2019-2021	Risk SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP	Notes	Equiv. SKJ SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. YFT SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. SPA SB/SB _{F=0}	
0.46	0.46 +35% 0% 0% E		Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%		
0.30	-12%	+70%	4%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	34%	46%	
0.34	0%	+50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015	50%	35%	47%	
0.37	+9%	+25%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	50%	37%	49%	
0.32	-6%	+50%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD	50%	34%	47%	

Take 2012-2015 level PS/LL fishing conditions

Calculate impact on PS FAD set multiplier of removing FAD closure (Table 1 effort multiplier)

Identify resulting depletion level

For this table - look at LL change needed to achieve that depletion level



- PS defined through CMMs
 - Table 8, cut down; PS mult either +40% or +62%

2012-2015 levels BET: 34%SB_{F=0} YFT: 44%SB_{F=0}

	BET: recent rec	cruitment					
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	Change in LL fishing from 2019-2021	Risk SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP	Notes	Equiv. SKJ SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. YFT SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. SPA SB/SB _{F=0}
0.46	+35%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%
0.30	-12%	+70%	4%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	34%	46%
0.34	0%	+50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015	50%	35%	47%
0.37	+9%	+25%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	50%	37%	49%
0.32	-6%	+50%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD closure	50%	34%	47%
0.46	+35%	-35%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000-04	50%	36%	52%
0.29	-15%	+85%	10%	10% risk re LRP	50%	33%	46%
0.26	-24%	+100%	20%	20% risk re LRP	50%	32%	45%



• PS defined through CMMs

• Table 8, cut down; PS mult either +40% or +62%

2012-2015 levels BET: 34%SB_{F=0} YFT: 44%SB_{F=0}

	BET: recent rec	cruitment					
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	Change in LL fishing from 2019-2021	Risk SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP	Notes	Equiv. SKJ SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. YFT SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. SPA SB/SB _{F=0}
0.46	+35%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%
0.30	-12%	+70%	4%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	34%	46%
0.34	0%	+50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015	50%	35%	47%
0.37	+9%	+25%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	50%	37%	49%
0.32	-6%	+50%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD closure	50%	34%	47%
0.46	+35%	-35%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000-04	50%	36%	52%
0.29	0.29 -15% +85% 10%		10% risk re LRP	50%	33%	46%	
0.26	-24%	+100%	20%	20% risk re LRP	50%	32%	45%

• YFT – Few TRP scenarios are achievable within the range of LL catch multipliers examined (more than 50% reduction or 100% increase in catches needed)

• PS CMM



Pacific Community

2012-2015 levels

Communauté du Pacifique

Pacific Community Communauté du Pacifique

2012-2015 levels

BET: 34%SB_{F=0}

• PS CMM



Pacific Community Communauté du Pacifique

2012-2015 levels

BET: 34%SB_{F=0}

• PS CMM



Vulnerable biomass



BET: recen	t recruitment		rable biomass 021 average)	Yellowfin vulnerable biomass (rel. 2019-2021 average)		
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	'Tropical' longline	'Southern' longline	'Tropical' longline	'Southern' Iongline	
0.46	+35%	0.97	1.64	0.84	1.01	
0.30	-12%	0.50	1.35	0.61	1.40	
0.34	0%	0.58	1.42	0.69	1.47	
0.37	+9%	0.67	1.50	0.79	1.55	
0.32	-6%	0.48	1.37	0.69	1.47	
0.46	+35%	0.87	1.66	0.98	1.70	
0.29	-15%	0.43	1.28	0.54	1.33	
0.26	-24%	0.37	1.22	0.48	1.28	

Table 9 (BET recent recruitment)

- Main impacts in the tropical LL fishery for all stocks
- Impact on 'southern' LL fishery is less
 - Generally increases for BET and YFT across candidate TRPs

YFT – higher catches in region 2

- Evaluated the impact of higher recent fishing levels (effort) for YFT
- Results in Appendix 2
- Surprisingly little impact on PS/LL conditions to achieve candidate TRPs
 - Level of <u>effort</u> increase leads to limited extra catches given level of depletion in region 2



2023 YFT assessment Figure 4, western Tropical region catch by gear group Yellow = Miscellaneous gears







- Using SC-suggested 'objectives' managers have not defined candidate levels
- Challenges in simultaneously achieving current TT CMM objectives across stocks
 - Likely to require trade offs between stock objectives
 - SPA: While baseline conditions achieve recalibrated iTRP, scenarios with increases in longline fishing may reduce SPA stock below that target.

• 'Threshold' TRPs

- Status needs manager's clarification
- 'target' achieved on average, limit not exceeded/permissible to exceed with a set risk?
- Specify the probability of being 'at or above'





- Commission identify the bigeye TRP stock level achieving desired outcomes
 - Assists design of BET MP
 - Define a single TRP level to achieve on average?
- Commission identify 'baseline' BET levels
 - e.g. FAD closure duration, longline catch levels
- Noting not all fisheries taking YFT will be controlled by an MP in the mixed fishery framework
 - Yellowfin TRP defined by outcomes of other stock's MPs?
 - How should the catch of relevant components of 'other fisheries' be dealt with within evaluations for yellowfin? [Here - set at levels consistent with 2016-18 levels (see CMM 2022-01)]

WCPFC21



• Invited to:

- Discuss the outcomes for bigeye and yellowfin tuna under the different SC16 candidate TRP levels examined to aid their scheduled decisions on bigeye and yellowfin TRPs.
- Note the assumptions made for fisheries (baselines, effort/catch) within these evaluations.
- Consider how a threshold target reference point may be specified





BET recent, equal change



		BET: recent rec		Equiv.	Equiv.				
Median depletion	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from	Change in f 2019-20	ishing from 21 levels	Risk	Notes	SKJ	YFT	Equiv. SPA
level	2012-2015	2018-2021	Purse seine	Longline	SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP	Notes	SB/SB _{F=0}	SB/SB _{F=0}	SPA SB/SB _{F=0}
(%SB _{F=0})	average	average					Ť	Ť	- 1-0
0.46	+35%	+31%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%
0.30	-12%	-14%	+60%	+60%	5%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	48%	33%	47%
0.34	0%	-3%	+45%	+45%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015	50%	36%	48%
0.37	+9%	+6%	+30%	+30%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	52%	38%	49%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+50%	+50%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD			47%
						closure	52%	37%	
0.46	+35%	+31%	0%	0%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000-04	53%	41%	50%
0.29	-15%	-17%	+65%	+65%	10%	10% risk re LRP	46%	32%	47%
0.26	-24%	-26%	+80%	+80%	20%	20% risk re LRP	45%	30%	46%

BET long term, equal change



	BE	T: long-term r	ecruitment						
Median	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) SB (%SB _{F=0})		J	Change in fishing from 2019-2021 levels			Equiv.	Equiv.	Equiv.
depletion	from	from 2018-		Longline	SB/SB _{F=}	Notes	SKJ	YFT	SPA
level	2012-2015	2021	Purse		0		SB/SB _{F=}	SB/SB _{F=}	SB/SB _{F=}
(%SB _{F=0})		average	seine		< LRP		* 0	* 0	0
	Average	•							
0.43	+26%	+23%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021	53%	41%	50%
						conditions			
0.30	-12%	-14%	+45%	+45%	16%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	36%	48%
0.34	0%	-3%	+30%	+30%	3%	Avg. 2012-2015	52%	38%	49%
0.37	+9%	+6%	+20%	+20%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	53%	40%	49%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+40%	+40%	10%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus			48%
						FAD closure	53%	39%	
0.46	+35%	+31%	-10%	-10%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000-04	58%	46%	51%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+40%	+40%	10%	10% risk re LRP	50%	36%	48%
0.30	-12%	-14%	+50%	+50%	20%	20% risk re LRP	48%	35%	47%

YFT, equal change



	YF	T: long-term re	ecruitment						
Median depletion level (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2012-2015 average	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0}) from 2018- 2021 average	•	ishing from 21 levels Longline	Risk SB/SB _{F=} 0 < LRP	Notes	Equiv. SKJ SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. BET-R/L SB/SB _{F=0} *	Equiv. SPA SB/SB _{F=0}
0.41	-7%	-13%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	46%/43 %	50%
0.39	-11%	-17%	+10%	+10%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	52%	41%/38 %	50%
0.44	0%	-6%	-10%	-10%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015	55%	46%/44 %	51%
0.48	+9%	+2%	-30%	-30%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%	60%	53%/51 %	52%
0.50	+14%	+6%	-40%	-40%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000- 2004	63%	57%/55 %	52%
0.31	-30%	-34%	+50%	+50%	10%	10% risk re LRP	44%	30%/27 %	47%
0.27	-39%	-43%	+70%	+70%	20%	20% risk re LRP	42%	26%/23 %	46%



		BET: recent rec	Notes	Equiv.	Equiv.	Equiv.			
Median depletion	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0})	•	ishing from 21 levels	Risk		SKJ SB/SB _{F=}	YFT SB/SB _{F=}	SPA SB/SB _{F=}
level (%SB _{F=0})	from 2012-2015 average	from 2018- 2021 average	Purse seine	Longline	SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP		0 [*]	* 0	0
0.46	+35%	+31%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%
0.30	-12%	-14%	+40%	+70%	4%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	34%	46%
0.34	0%	-3%	+40%	+50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015		35%	47%
0.37	+9%	+6%	+40%	+25%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%		37%	49%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+62%	+50%	1%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD closure		34%	47%
0.46	+35%	+31%	+40%	-35%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000- 04		36%	52%
0.29	-15%	-17%	+40%	+85%	10%	10% risk re LRP		33%	46%
0.26	-24%	-26%	+40%	+100	20%	20% risk re LRP		32%	45%

BET long term, CMM levels



	BE	T: long-term r	ecruitment	Notes	Equiv.	Equiv.	Equiv.		
Median depletion	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0})	Change in SB (%SB _{F=0})	•	ishing from 21 levels	Risk		SKJ SB/SB _{F=}	YFT SB/SB _{F=}	SPA SB/SB _{r=}
level (%SB _{F=0})	from 2012-2015 Average	from 2018- 2021 average	Purse seine	Longline	SB/SB _{F=0} < LRP		0*	* 0	0
0.43	+26%	+23%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	41%	50%
0.30	-12%	-14%	+40%	+45%	16%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	36%	48%
0.34	0%	-3%	+40%	+25%	2%	Avg. 2012-2015		37%	49%
0.37	+9%	+6%	+40%	+10%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%		38%	50%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+62%	+25%	8%	Avg. 2012-2015 minus FAD closure		37%	49%
0.46	+35%	+31%	+40%	-45%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000- 04		41%	53%
0.32	-6%	-9%	+40%	+40%	10%	10% risk re LRP		36%	48%
0.30	-12%	-14%	+40%	+50%	20%	20% risk re LRP		35%	47%



	YF	T: long-term r							
Median	$SB(\%SB_{=0})$ SB(\%SB_{=1})		J	ishing from 21 levels	Risk		Equiv. SKJ	Equiv. BET-R/L	Equiv.
depletion	from	from 2018-		Longline	SB/SB _{F=}	Notes			SPA
level	2012-2015	2021	Purse		0		SB/SB _{F=0}	SB/SB _{F=0}	SB/SB _{F=0}
(%SB _{F=0})	average	average	seine		< LRP		, i i		
0.41	-7%	-13%	0%	0%	0%	Base 2019-2021 conditions	53%	46%/43 %	50%
0.39	-11%	-17%	+17%	-10%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 – 10%	50%	43%/40 %	51%
0.44	0%	-6%	+17%	> -50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015		-/-	-
0.48	+9%	+2%	+17%	> -50%	0%	Avg. 2012-2015 + 10%		-/-	-
0.50	+14%	+6%	+17%	> -50%	0%	Avg. depletion 2000- 2004		-/-	-
_*	-	-	+17%	>+100%	10%	10% risk re LRP		-/-	-
-*	-	-	+17%	>+100%	20%	20% risk re LRP		-/-	-