

#### **COMMISSION**

### Twenty-First Regular Session

28 November to 3 December 2024 Suva, Fiji (Hybrid)

## **Transhipment IWG Update**

WCPFC21-2024-18 13 November 2024

#### Submitted by the TS-IWG Co-Chairs

Dear TS-IWG,

As we all prepare for WCPC21 in Suva, your TS-IWG co-Chairs thank everyone for their patience.

We've attached four documents for your consideration:

- 1. Negotiating Text to Amend CMM 2009-06 Onscreen at WCPFC21 (same as was on screen at end of TCC20 with post-TCC comments from co-Chairs)
- 2. Track-changed comparison of the Negotiating Text with CMM 2009-06
- 3. Draft Audit Points Checklist.
- 4. TS-IWG draft recommendations to the Commission at WCPFC21

Members should provide any feedback or comments on any documents to the co-Chairs at <a href="mailto:ftngwango@fisheries.gov.vu">ftngwango@fisheries.gov.vu</a> and <a href="mailto:alex.kahl@noaa.gov">alex.kahl@noaa.gov</a>. Any feedback or comments will be circulated to members by the co-Chairs on a rolling basis.

Documents 1 and 2 are similar to what was circulated during TS-IWG meetings on the margins of TCC20, and should look familiar. The Onscreen Negotiating Text contains additional comments from your co-Chairs to guide further discussion.

Documents 3 is required to be submitted for any new proposed obligations and are a good faith effort by your co-Chairs fulfill the checklist. The table at the end of the checklist provides suggestions for aligning existing audit points with proposed revisions to the CMM text. The table also highlights two potential new obligations wherein members may need to consider the necessity of audit points. Another

interesting detail from the table is to note that no audit points or obligations were removed – ensuring the proposed CMM does not weaken transshipment oversight and regulation in the convention area.

Document 4 is the same preliminary text circulated via email during TCC20 and requires review and input from members – especially if the Commission adopts a revised transshipment CMM at WCPFC21 and the TS-IWG considers making recommendations to other IWGs or the Commission itself.

Finally, given the extensive discussions around CMM 2009-06 paragraph 34 (paragraph 31 in the negotiating text) TS-IWG members will need to collectively analyze and discuss the 2013-06 effects of the revised CMM if proposed text is agreed before, or at, WCPFC21.

Depending on feedback received in the coming weeks, your co-Chairs will likely seek time for the TS-IWG to meet in-person at WCPFC21.

Thank you,

Felix and Alex

DOCUMENT 1: REVISED DRAFT NEGOTIATING TEXT TO AMEND CMM 2009-06	

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward



# CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURE ON THE REGULATION OF TRANSHIPMENT

Conservation and Management Measure 2024-XX1

The Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stock in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean,

Acknowledging that effective conservation and management of highly migratory fish stocks is dependent on the provision of accurate reporting of catches of such stocks in the Convention Area;

Recognizing that transhipment at sea is a common global practice, but that unregulated and unreported transhipment of catches of highly migratory fish stocks at sea, in particular on the high seas, contributes to distorted reporting of catches of such stocks and supports IUU fishing in the Convention Area;

Recalling Article 29(1) of the Convention which provides that in order to support efforts to ensure accurate reporting of catches, the members of the Commission shall encourage their fishing vessels, to the extent practicable, to conduct transhipment in port.

Recalling also Article 29(2) and (3) of the Convention that transhipment at a port or in an area within waters under the national jurisdiction of a member of the Commission shall take place in accordance with applicable national laws, and that the Commission shall develop procedures to obtain and verify data on the quantity and species transhipped both in port and at sea in the Convention Area and procedures to determine when transhipment covered by the Convention has been completed;

Recalling further Article 29(4) of the Convention that Transhipment at sea in the Convention Area beyond areas under national jurisdiction shall take place only in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in article 4 of Annex III to the Convention, and any procedures

**Commented [A1]:** In the last sentence of the footnote, this may need to reference Annex II Annual Reports, rather than paragraph 11. Welcome members' review and input.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commission agreed at WCPFC15 (2018) to include a footnote to Annex I and Annex III to reflect the adoption of the WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices. A template was also agreed for paragraph 11 annual reports.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

established by the Commission pursuant to Article 29(3). Such procedures shall take into account the characteristics of the fishery concerned;

Recalling further that Article 29(5) of the Convention prohibits transhipping at sea by purse seine vessels operating within the Convention Area, subject to specific exemptions which the Commission shall adopt in order to reflect existing operations;

Recognizing the importance of economic benefits from port operations to Small Island Developing State CCMs;

Noting that those CCMs with a real interest in undertaking monitoring, control and surveillance activities in the high seas require access to information about transhipment activities in the convention area before they occur.

*Desiring* to establish procedures to obtain and verify data on the quantity and species transhipped in the Convention Area to ensure accurate reporting of catches, and enhance stock assessments of highly migratory fish stocks.

Adopts in accordance with Article 10 of the WCPFC Convention:

#### **SECTION 1 – GENERAL RULES**

- 1. The provisions of this Measure shall apply to all transhipment in the Convention Area of all highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention. CCMs that tranship outside the Convention Area highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention taken in the Convention Area shall provide the information related to those activities, as required in paragraphs 8-11, 38, 39, and 40. Highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention shall not be transhipped at sea by purse seine vessels outside the Convention Area consistent with paragraph 25 of this measure.
- 2. The provisions of this Measure shall not apply to transhipment of highly migratory fish stocks where fish is taken and transhipped wholly in archipelagic waters or territorial seas.
- 3. Transhipment in a port or in waters under the national jurisdiction of a CCM shall take place in accordance with applicable national laws. With the exception of Section 2 (which applies article 29(5) of the Convention), nothing in this Measure shall prejudice the application of national laws when transhipment occurs in areas under the national jurisdiction of a CCM, including the application of more stringent requirements.
- 4. A CCM may notify the Executive Director of its designated port or ports for transhipment. The <u>Secretariat Executive Director shall circulate periodically to all membersmaintain a publicly available list of such designated ports on its website.</u> "Port" includes offshore

**Commented [A2]:** Administrative modernization of the CMM to reduce burden on ED and improve available information for members.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

terminals and other installations for landing, transhipping, processing, refuelling or resupplying;

- Nothing in this Measure shall derogate the obligations on flag states to ensure compliance by vessels flying their flag while operating on the high seas. Each CCM shall take necessary measures to ensure that vessels flying its flag comply with this Measure.
- 6. For the purposes of this Measure, CCMs are responsible for reporting against vessels flying their flag except where the vessel is operated under charter, lease or other similar mechanisms, as an integral part of the domestic fleet of a coastal state in the Convention Area. In such case, the chartering state shall be the CCM responsible for reporting against the vessel.
- 7. Pursuant to paragraph 6, chartering CCMs and flag CCMs will cooperate for the appropriate management of the vessel to ensure compliance.

**7.bis** Fishing vessels must be identified on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels (RFV) as authorised to tranship to be able to tranship pursuant to this measure.

- 8. A WCPFC Transhipment Declaration, including the information set out in Annex I shall be completed by both the offloading and receiving vessel for each transhipment in the Convention Area, and each transhipment of catch taken in the Convention Area. The Transhipment Declaration shall be sent to the Secretariat electronically[2](preferably) in accordance with the WCPFC E-reporting standards for high seas transhipment.
- 9. CCMs shall report on all transhipment activities covered by this Measure (including transhipment activities that occur in ports or EEZs) as part of their Annual Report in accordance with the guidelines at Annex II. In doing so, CCMs shall take all reasonable steps to validate and where possible, correct information received from vessels undertaking transhipment using all available information such as carrier daily activity logs, catch and effort data, position data, observer reports, electronic mornitoring data, and port monitoring data.
- 10. Notices to the Secretariat under paragraphs 24 and 35.a.iii shall be given [via a means of two-way communication by data (e.g., telex, facsimile, email)] electronically (preferably) in accordance with the WCPFC E-reporting standards for high seas transhipment. The CCMs responsible for reporting against both the offloading and receiving vessels are responsible for providing notices, but may authorise the vessel or the vessel operator to provide notices directly. Notices must include the information set out in Annex III.

**Commented [A3]:** 7.bis seems fundamental to strong MCS of transhipment activity in the Convention Area. If a member is concerned with this provision, It would be helpful to understand circumstances wherein an unauthorized vessel should be able to tranship with the Convention Area.

**Commented [A4]:** Proposed during TCC20. TS-IWG seemed inclined to support. Unless there is strong concern, footnote 2 could become bold with no highlight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [For the purpose of this CMM, "electronically" includes e-mail communication.]

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

[10bis.] Where the geographic location of the highly migratory fish stock catches, reported in Annexes I or III, is reported from the EEZ of a CCM, the Secretariat will provide the relevant Coastal CCM with the transhipment declaration and notices for verification purposes]

- 11. Each CCM shall ensure that vessels they are responsible for **shall** carry **a** WCPFC Regional Observer Programme (ROP) to observe transhipments at sea [on both the receiving vessel and where practicable, also on the offloading vessel] [as follows:
  - a. for transhipments to receiving vessels less than or equal to 33 meters in length, and not involving purse seine\_caught fish or frozen longline caught fish, 100% observer coverage starting on the effective date of this Measure, with the observer(s) deployed on either the offloading vessel or receiving vessel:
  - b.—for transhipments other than those covered by subparagraph (a) and involving only troll-caught or pole-and-line-caught fish, 100% observer coverage starting 1 January 2013, with the observer(s) deployed on the receiving vessel.
  - c. for transhipments other than those covered by subparagraphs (a) and (b), 100% observer coverage starting on the effective date of this Measure, with the observer(s) deployed on the receiving vessel.]
- 12. Observers shall monitor implementation of this Measure and collect the Minimum Data Fields (MDF) for Observer Transhipment Monitoring. The MDF for Observer Transhipment Monitoring shall be submitted electronically to the Secretariat, in accord with the ROP Agreed Minimum Standards and Guidelines. ROP Observers shall also confirm to the extent possible that the transhipped quantities of fish are consistent with other information available to the observer, which may include:
  - a. the catch reported in the WCPFC Transhipment Declaration;
  - b. data in catch and effort logsheets, including catch and effort logsheets reported to coastal States for fish taken in waters of such coastal States;
  - c. vessel position data; and
  - d. the intended port of landing
- 13. Observers shall have full access to both the unloading and the receiving vessel in order to ensure that proper verification of catches can occur. The Commission shall develop guidelines for the safety of observers in moving between vessels as part of the ROP.

Commented [A5]: 10bis does not require additional vessel, flag state, or CCM reporting. 10bis would be implemented by the Secretariat using existing reports, in accordance with WCPFC data rules. Welcome members input on whether to move to bold or remove.

**Commented [A6]:** Some members are interested in a path forward for observing transshipment on both vessels, with EM on one vessel complementing a human observer on the other.

Can members' identify a glidepath to implementing multiobserver requirement, to include EM?

Agenda Item 7

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

- 14. Receiving vessels shall only receive product from one unloading vessel at a time for each observer that is available to monitor the transhipment.
- 15. In assisting members in verifying transhipment events and completeness of Secretariat VMS data, the Secretariat is tasked to, in cooperation with relevant CCMs, on a regular basis provide reported information including VMS analysis to validate high seas transhipment reporting by vessels. This work will begin immediately and key findings summarized by the Secretariat in its annual transhipment report to the Commission.
- 16. Any scheme or process developed and agreed by the Commission for the cross endorsement of observers from other RFMOs as part of the ROP shall apply to this measure.
- 17. The Commission shall provide appropriate financial and technical assistance to developing states, in particular small island developing states, in the implementation of this Measure including in accordance to Article 30.
- 18. The measure shall be reviewed periodically in response to other measures and decisions taken by the Commission and taking into account the implementation of this and other measures.
- **19.** CCMs shall **prohibit its flagged vessels from transhipping** to or from a vessel *that is not on the WCPFC RFV* [to a non-CCM].
- 20. Unless otherwise stated, the restrictions in this measure shall not prevent a vessel from transhipping in cases of force majeure or serious mechanical breakdown that could threaten the safety of the crew or result in a significant financial loss though fish spoilage.
- 21. In such cases, the Secretariat must be notified of the transhipment and the circumstances giving rise to the force majeure within 12 hours of the completion of transhipment. The CCMs responsible for each vessel shall provide the Secretariat with a WCPFC Transhipment Declaration consistent with the requirement under paragraph 8 within 10 days of the transhipment.

#### **SECTION 2 – TRANSHIPMENT FROM PURSE SEINE FISHING VESSELS**

- 22. In accordance with Article 29 (5) of the Convention, transhipment at sea by purse seine vessels shall be prohibited except in respect of exemptions granted by the Commission for:
  - existing group seine operations composed of small purse seine boats (fish hold capacity of 600 mt or less) flagged to Papua New Guinea and Philippines that meet the following conditions:

**Commented [A7]:** This is a domestic implementation obligation on the CCM. Are there instances where an unauthorized vessel would be involved in transshipment covered by this CMM?

If not, perhaps we can accept this provision. If so, can we modify the text to allow for such circumstances?

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

- operate in tandem with freezer carrier boat/s to freeze the catch or if operating closer to base with ice carrier boat/s to store the fish,
- operate as one group together with their support vessels such as freezer carrier boat/s and/or ice carrier boat/s.
- iii. undertake transhipment when refrigerated or other ice carrier boats dock alongside catcher boats and tranship fish from the catcher boats
- b. transhipment activities involving New Zealand flagged domestic purse-seine vessels where the fishing activity, transhipment and landing of fish all take place within New Zealand fisheries waters in accordance with New Zealand's existing legal and operational framework for monitoring and control of transhipment activity and the verification of catch.
- 23. CCMs seeking to apply an exemption for a vessel(s) that meets the conditions set out in paragraph 25, shall submit a written request to the Executive Director by 1 July of a given year that includes, at a minimum, the following information:
  - Details about the vessel(s) as they are required to appear on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels under CMM 2018-06 (or its replacement CMM);
  - b. The vessel's history of prior transhipment exemptions,
  - c. The main species and product forms that would be transhipped,
  - d. The areas where transhipments would take place, to as much detail as possible,
  - e. The period of exemption being requested, and
  - f. An explanation for the exemption request.
- 24. The Executive Director shall compile all requests for transhipment exemptions and circulate them to all CCMs at least 30 days in advance of the regular annual session of the Technical and Compliance Committee (TCC). The TCC shall review the requests and make recommendations to the Commission regarding the application of the exemptions in paragraph 26.
- 25. Taking into account the recommendations of the TCC, the Commission, during its regular annual session, shall consider each request and may adopt exemptions in accordance with Article 29(5) of the Convention. The Commission may attach to each granted exemption any conditions or requirements that it determines necessary to achieve the objectives of the

Agenda Item 7

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

Convention, such as limitations on areas, time periods or species, the fishing vessels that may be transhipped to, and any additional requirements necessary for the purposes of monitoring, control and surveillance.

- 26. CCMs shall only authorize those purse seine vessels that have received an exemption by the Commission to engage in transhipment outside of port. CCMs shall issue vessel-specific authorizations outlining any conditions or requirements identified by the Commission or CCM, and shall require that vessel operators carry such authorizations on board at all times.
- 27. The flag State CCM of any such authorized purse-seine vessel that is required to be on the WCPFC **RFV** shall notify the Executive Director that the vessel is authorized to engage in transhipment outside of port, in accordance with the Commission-granted exemption and shall indicate in such notification any limitations, conditions or requirements on its authorization.
- 28. The Executive Director shall maintain and make publicly available, including on the Commission's website, the list of purse seine vessels that have been granted exemptions and are authorized to tranship outside of port, as well as any corresponding conditions or provisions attached to their exemption.
- 29. All purse seine vessels, including those that receive an exemption to tranship at sea under the process described in paragraphs 26 to 30 shall be prohibited from commencing transhipping on the high seas in the Convention Area.

#### SECTION 3 – TRANSHIPMENT FROM FISHING VESSELS OTHER THAN PURSE SEINE VESSELS

- 30. Transhipment from longline, troll and pole and line fishing vessels in national waters shall be managed in accordance with relevant domestic laws and procedures pursuant to paragraph 4.
- 31. There shall be no transhipment on the high seas except where [a CCM] in consultation with the Commission has determined, in accordance with the guidelines described in paragraph 37 below, that it is impracticable for certain vessels that it is responsible for to operate without being able to tranship on the high seas, and has advised the Commission of such.
- 32. Where transhipment does occur on the high seas, the CCMs responsible for reporting against both the offloading and receiving vessels shall, as appropriate:
  - a) advise the Commission of its procedures for monitoring and verification of the transhipments;
  - b) indicate vessels to which the determinations apply.

**Commented [A8]:** Members need to find a path forward on paragraph 31 that modrnizes the determination of impracticability.

One member has proposed an econommic analysis to support the determination.

If members consider such an analysis, it would need to take into account the characteristics of the fishery concerned (per Article 29(4) of the Convention).

Commented [A9]: Does the Commission advise itself? If not, and if paragraph 31 could be agteed to include the proposed "consultation with the Commission", this text seems to keep the act of advising the Commission with the CMM asserting impracticability.

Agenda Item 7

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

- c) Electronically notify the information in Annex III to the Secretariat at least 36 hours prior to each transhipment.,
- d) Electronically provide the **Secretariat** with WCPFC Transhipment Declaration information in Annex I within 10 days of completion of each transhipment<sup>3</sup>; and
- e) Submit to the Commission a plan detailing what steps it is taking to encourage transhipment to occur in port in the future.
- 33. The Commission, through the TCC, shall review the application of the exemptions by relevant CCMs after a period of 3 years and every 2 years thereafter to establish whether monitoring and verification has been effective. After review, the Commission may prohibit transhipment on the high seas by any vessel or vessels in relation to which monitoring and verification of transhipment on the high seas is proven to have been ineffective, or establish or vary any conditions for transhipping on the high seas.
- 34. CCMs shall use the following guidelines when determining the practicability of high seas transhipments
  - a. The prohibition of transhipment in the high seas would cause a significant economic hardship, which would be assessed in terms of the cost that would be incurred to tranship [or land fish] at feasible and allowable locations other than on the high seas, as compared to total operating costs, net revenues, or some other meaningful measure of costs and/or revenues; and
  - b. The vessel would have to make significant and substantial changes to its historical mode of operation as a result of the prohibition of transhipment in the high seas;
- 35. As appropriate, the Commission shall consider whether to prohibit transhipment in areas of high seas in the Convention Area entirely surrounded by the exclusive economic zones of members of the Commission and Participating Territories. This consideration will include a review of the catch and effort reported for fishing vessels in these areas, the information from Transhipment Declarations in these areas and the role of the areas in supporting IUU activities.

Commented [A10]: Default is to retain this oringal text if no agreement can be reached.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The CCM may authorize its vessels to provide the declaration to the Secretariat.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

#### ANNEX I4

#### INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN WCPFC TRANSHIPMENT DECLARATION

- 1. A unique document identifier
- 2. the name of the fishing vessel, IMO, and its WIN,
- 3. the name of the carrier vessel, IMO, and its WIN
- 4. the fishing gear used to take the fish
- 5. the quantity of product<sup>5</sup> (including species and its processed state<sup>6</sup>) to be transhipped
- 6. the state of fish (fresh or frozen)
- 7. the quantity of by-product<sup>7</sup> to be transhipped,
- 8. the geographic location<sup>8</sup> of the highly migratory fish stock catches
- 9. the date [(UTC)], start time [(UTC)], stop time [(UTC)], and location[9] of the transhipment[8]
- 10. If applicable, the name and signature of the WCPFC observer

**Commented [A11]:** Edit byb co-Chairs in the footnote to remove "time" which is redundant to the use of "UTC".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CCMs shall submit information required in Annex I or in accordance with WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Tuna and tuna-like species

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Whole; gutted and headed; gutted, headed and tailed; gutted only, not gilled; gilled and gutted; gilled, gutted and tailed; shark fins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Non tuna and tuna-like species

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Geographic location of catch means sufficient information to identify what proportion of the catch was taken in the following areas: High seas, outside the WCPFC Convention Area, EEZs (listed separately). Location of catches not required for receiving vessel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> [Record date, start time, and stop time- of transhipment in both the ship's time and the UTC time at the same time.] Location of transhipment is to be in decimal Latitude and Longitude to the nearest 0.1 degrees and accompanied by a description of the location, such as high seas, outside the convention area or within a named EEZ.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

11. The quantity of product already on board the receiving vessel and the geographic origin<sup>10</sup> of that product.

11bis. The quantity of product retained on board the offloading vessel following a transhipment and the geographic origin of that product. {additional suggestion received from N7}

**12.** Did non-[catch] [non-fish] transfer occur? ("yes" or "no")

Commented [A12]: Presumably, this Annex I declaration is only submitted when catch is transferred. Accordinlgy, if members seek to have vessels report whether non-catch was also transferred between vessels during the event, this may be a better framing.

If members cannot reach agreement on this text, suggest

If members cannot reach agreement on this text, suggest developing a TS-IWG recommendation to WCPFC21 for the ER&EM or or IWG-ROP to take this on.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  The origin of product shall be reported by RFMO area and will include the quantity of product from each different area.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

#### **ANNEX II**

#### TRANSHIPMENT INFORMATION TO BE REPORTED ANNUALLY BY CCMs

Each CCM shall include in Part 1 of its Annual Report to the Commission:

- (1) the total quantities, by weight, of highly migratory fish stocks covered by this measure that were transhipped by fishing vessels the CCM is responsible for reporting against, with those quantities broken down by:
  - a. offloaded and received;
  - b. transhipped in port, transhipped at sea in areas of national jurisdiction, and transhipped beyond areas of national jurisdiction;
  - c. transhipped inside the Convention Area and transhipped outside the Convention Area:
  - d. caught inside the Convention Area and caught outside the Convention Area;
  - e. species;
  - f. product form; and
  - g. fishing gear used
- (2) the number of transhipments involving highly migratory fish stocks covered by this measure by fishing vessels that is responsible for reporting against, broken down by:
  - a. offloaded and received;
  - transhipped in port, transhipped at sea in areas of national jurisdiction, and transhipped beyond areas of national jurisdiction;
  - transhipped inside the Convention Area and transhipped outside the Convention Area:
  - d. caught inside the Convention Area and caught outside the Convention Area; and
  - e. fishing gear.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

#### ANNEX III11

#### INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN NOTICES TO THE SECRETARIAT

- 1. the name, IMO, and WCPFC Identification Number (WIN) of the offloading vessel,
- 2. the name, IMO, and WIN of the receiving vessel,
- 3. the product (including species and its processed state) to be transhipped,
- 4. the tonnage by product to be transhipped,
- 5. the date [(UTC)], start time [(UTC)], stop time [(UTC)], estimated or proposed location<sup>12</sup> of transhipment (latitude and longitude to a tenth of a degree with a margin of error of 24 nautical miles), and
- 6. the geographic location of the highly migratory fish stock catches 13 14
- 7. [[Did non fish] transfer occur? ("yes" or "no")]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> CCMs shall submit information required in Annex III or in accordance with WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  Location of transhipment is to be in decimal Latitude and Longitude to the nearest 0.1 degrees with a margin of error of 24 nautical miles and accompanied by a description of the location, such as high seas, outside the convention area or within a named EEZ. Notice can be updated if location changes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Not required for receiving vessels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Geographic location of catch means sufficient information to identify what proportion of the catch was taken in the following areas: High seas, outside the WCPFC Convention Area, EEZs (listed separately). Location of catches not required for receiving vessel.

DOCUMENT 2: TRACK-CHANGED COMPARISON OF THE NEGOTIATING TEXT WITH CMM 2009-06	

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

poseu pam i



# CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURE ON THE REGULATION OF TRANSHIPMENT

Conservation and Management Measure 2009-062024-XX1

The Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stock in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean,

Acknowledging that effective conservation and management of highly migratory fish stocks is dependent on the provision of accurate reporting of catches of such stocks in the Convention Area:

Recognizing that transhipment at sea is a common global practice, but that unregulated and unreported transhipment of catches of highly migratory fish stocks at sea, in particular on the high seas, contributes to distorted reporting of catches of such stocks and supports IUU fishing in the Convention Area;

*Recalling* Article 29(1) of the Convention which provides that in order to support efforts to ensure accurate reporting of catches, the members of the Commission shall encourage their fishing vessels, to the extent practicable, to conduct transhipment in port.

Recalling also Article 29(2) and (3) of the Convention that transhipment at a port or in an area within waters under the national jurisdiction of a member of the Commission shall take place in accordance with applicable national laws, and that the Commission shall develop procedures to obtain and verify data on the quantity and species transhipped both in port and at sea in the Convention Area and procedures to determine when transhipment covered by the Convention has been completed;

Recalling further Article 29(4) of the Convention that Transhipment at sea in the Convention Area beyond areas under national jurisdiction shall take place only in accordance with the

The Commission agreed at WCPFC15 (2018) to include a footnote to Annex I and Annex III to reflect the adoption of the WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices. A template was also agreed for paragraph 11 annual reports.

**Commented [A1]:** In the last sentence of the footnote, this may need to reference Annex II Annual Reports, rather than paragraph 11. Welcome members' review and input.

Formatted: Font: +Body (Calibri), 12 pt

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

terms and conditions set out in article 4 of Annex III to the Convention, and any procedures established by the Commission pursuant to Article 29(3). Such procedures shall take into account the characteristics of the fishery concerned;

*Recalling further* that Article 29(5) of the Convention prohibits transhipping at sea by purse seine vessels operating within the Convention Area, subject to specific exemptions which the Commission shall adopt in order to reflect existing operations;

*Recognizing* the importance of economic benefits from port operations to Small Island Developing State CCMs;

Noting that those CCMs with a real interest in undertaking monitoring, control and surveillance activities in the high seas require access to information about transhipment activities in the convention area before they occur.

Desiring to establish procedures to obtain and verify data on the quantity and species transhipped in the Convention Area to ensure accurate reporting of catches, and enhance stock assessments of highly migratory fish stocks.

Adopts in accordance with Article 10 of the WCPFC Convention:

#### **SECTION 1 – GENERAL RULES**

- 1. This measure shall commence as soon as possible and no later than July 1, 2010<sup>2</sup>.
- 2-1. \_\_\_The provisions of this Measure shall apply to all transhipment in the Convention Area of all highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention. CCMs that tranship outside the Convention Area highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention taken in the Convention Area shall provide the information related to those activities, as required in paragraphs 10, 8-11, 38, 39, and 1240. Highly migratory fish stocks covered by the Convention shall not be transhipped at sea by purse seine vessels outside the Convention Area consistent with paragraph 25 of this measure.
- 3-2. The provisions of this Measure shall not apply to transhipment of highly migratory fish stocks where fish is taken and transhipped wholly in archipelagic waters or territorial seas.
- 4.3. Transhipment in a port or in waters under the national jurisdiction of a CCM shall take place in accordance with applicable national laws. With the exception of Section 2 (which applies article 29(5) of the Convention), nothing in this Measure shall prejudice the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Except paragraph 13(c) this Measure shall enter into effect 1 January 2011.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

1

application of national laws when transhipment occurs in areas under the national jurisdiction of a CCM, including the application of more stringent requirements.

- 5-4. A CCM may notify the Executive Director of its designated port or ports for transhipment. The Executive Director Secretariat shall circulate periodically to all members maintain a publicly available list of such designated ports on its website. "Port" includes offshore terminals and other installations for landing, transshipping transhipping, processing, refuelling or resupplying;
- 6-5. Nothing in this Measure shall derogate the obligations on flag states to ensure compliance by vessels flying their flag while operating on the high seas. Each CCM shall take necessary measures to ensure that vessels flying its flag comply with this Measure.
- 7-6. For the purposes of this Measure, CCMs are responsible for reporting against vessels flying their flag except where the vessel is operated under charter, lease or other similar mechanisms, as an integral part of the domestic fleet of a coastal state in the Convention Area. In such case, the chartering state shall be the CCM responsible for reporting against the vessel.
- 8-7. Pursuant to paragraph 76, chartering CCMs and flag CCMs will cooperate for the appropriate management of the vessel to ensure compliance.
- For a carrier vessel that is flagged to a non-CCM and is included on the WCPFC Interim
  Register of non-Member Carrier and Bunker Vessels, the vessel master shall be responsible
  for reporting against the vessel unless it is operating under a charter arrangement.
- 7.bis Fishing vessels must be identified on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels (RFV) as authorised to tranship to be able to tranship pursuant to this measure.
- 10.8. A WCPFC Transhipment Declaration, including the information set out in Annex I shall be completed by both the offloading and receiving vessel for each transhipment in the Convention Area, and each transhipment of catch taken in the Convention Area. Where required in this Measure the The Transhipment Declaration shall be sent to the Executive Director Secretariat electronically [3] [preferably] in accordance with the WCPFC E-reporting standards for high seas transhipment.
- 11.9. CCMs shall report on all transhipment activities covered by this Measure (including transhipment activities that occur in ports or EEZs) as part of their Annual Report in accordance with the guidelines at Annex II. In doing so, CCMs shall take all reasonable steps

**Commented [A2]:** Administrative modernization of the CMM to reduce burden on ED and improve available information for members.

**Commented [A3]:** 7.bis seems fundamental to strong MCS of transhipment activity in the Convention Area. If a member is concerned with this provision, It would be helpful to understand circumstances wherein an unauthorized vessel should be able to transship with the Convention Area.

**Commented [A4]:** Proposed during TCC20. TS-IWG seemed inclined to support. Unless there is strong concern, footnote 2 could become bold with no highlight.

For the purpose of this CMM, "electronically" includes e-mail communication.]

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

5

to validate and where possible, correct information received from vessels undertaking transhipment using all available information such as <u>carrier daily activity logs</u>, catch and effort data, position data, observer reports, <u>electronic mornitoring data</u>, and port monitoring data.

- 12.10. Notices to the Executive DirectorSecretariat under paragraphs 24 and 35.a.iii shall be given [via a means of two way communication by data (e.g., telex, facsimile, email).] electronically (preferably) in accordance with the WCPFC E-reporting standards for high seas transhipment. The CCMs responsible for reporting against both the offloading and receiving vessels are responsible for providing notices, but may authorise the vessel or the vessel operator to provide notices directly. Notices must include the information set out in Annex III.
- [10bis.] Where the geographic location of the highly migratory fish stock catches, reported in Annexes I or III, is reported from the EEZ of a CCM, the Secretariat will provide the relevant Coastal CCM with the transhipment declaration and notices for verification purposes]
- 13.11. Each CCM shall ensure that vessels they are responsible for shall carry observers from the a WCPFC Regional Observer Programme (ROP) to observe transhipments at sea on both the receiving vessel and where practicable, also on the offloading vessel
  - a. for transhipments to receiving vessels less than or equal to 33 meters in length, and not involving purse seinecaughtseine caught fish or frozen longlinecaughtlongline caught fish, 100% observer coverage starting on the effective date of this Measure, with the observer(s) deployed on either the offloading vessel or receiving vessel;
  - b. for transhipments other than those covered by subparagraph (a) and involving only troll-caught or pole-and-line-caught fish, 100% observer coverage starting 1 January 2013, with the observer(s) deployed on the receiving vessel.
  - c.—for transhipments other than those covered by subparagraphs (a) and (b), 100% observer coverage starting on the effective date of this Measure, with the observer(s) deployed on the receiving vessel...]
- 14.12. Observers shall monitor implementation of this Measure and collect the Minimum Data Fields (MDF) for Observer Transhipment Monitoring. The MDF for Observer Transhipment Monitoring shall be submitted electronically to the Secretariat, in accord with the ROP Agreed Minimum Standards and Guidelines. ROP Observers shall also confirm to the extent possible that the transshipped transhipped quantities of fish are consistent with other information available to the observer, which may include:

Commented [A5]: 10bis does not require additional vessel, flag state, or CCM reporting. 10bis would be implemented by the Secretariat using existing reports, in accordance with WCPFC data rules. Welcome members' input on whether to move to bold or remove.

**Commented [A6]:** Some members are interested in a path forward for observing transshipment on both vessels, with EM on one vessel complementing a human observer on the other.

Can members' identify a glidepath to implementing multiobserver requirement, to include EM?

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

6

- a. the catch reported in the WCPFC Transhipment Transhipment Declaration;
- data in catch and effort logsheets, including catch and effort logsheets reported to coastal States for fish taken in waters of such coastal States;
- c. vessel position data; and
- d. the intended port of landing-
- 15.13. Observers shall have full access to both the unloading and the receiving vessel in order to ensure that proper verification of catches can occur. -The Commission shall develop guidelines for the safety of observers in moving between vessels as part of the ROP.
- 16-14. Receiving vessels shall only receive product from one unloading vessel at a time for each observer that is available to monitor the transhipment.
- 15. In assisting members in verifying transhipment events and completeness of Secretariat VMS data, the Secretariat is tasked to, in cooperation with relevant CCMs, on a regular basis provide reported information including VMS analysis to validate high seas transhipment reporting by vessels. This work will begin immediately and key findings summarized by the Secretariat in its annual transhipment report to the Commission.
- <u>17.16.</u> Any scheme or process developed and agreed by the Commission for the cross endorsement of observers from other RFMOs as part of the ROP shall apply to this measure.
- 18.17. The Commission shall provide appropriate financial and technical assistance to developing states, in particular small island developing states, in the implementation of this Measure including in accordance to Article 30.
- 19-18. The measure shall be reviewed periodically in response to other measures and decisions taken by the Commission and taking into account the implementation of this and other measures.
- 1A Transhipment to and from non-CCM Vessels
- 20. CCMs shall take measures to ensure that prohibit its flagged vessels do not tranship from transhipping to or from a vessel flagged to a non-CCM unless that vessel that is authorized by a decision of the Commission, such as:
- 21.19. a non-CCM carrier vessel that is not on the WCPFC Interim Register of non-CCM Carrier

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

toposea patii ioi

and Bunker Vessels established under CMM 2009-01; or RFV [to a non-CCM].

- a. a non-CCM fishing vessel that is licensed to fish in the EEZ of a CCM in accordance with a decision of the Commission.
- 22. To retain any authorisation from the Commission relevant to paragraph 20, a non-CCM vessel shall not tranship to or from a non-authorised non-CCM vessel.
- 23. In cases where transhipment involves a non-CCM vessel specified in paragraph 18 20.a, any required communications to the Executive Director, including pre-transhipment notices and transhipment declarations that are required under various sections of this measure, shall be responsibility of the vessel master of the carrier vessel or chartering CCM.

#### 1B Force Majeure or Serious Mechanical Breakdown

- 24.20. Unless otherwise stated, the restrictions in this measure shall not prevent a vessel from transhipping in cases of force majeure or serious mechanical breakdown that could threaten the safety of the crew or result in a significant financial loss though fish spoilage.
- 25.21. In such cases, the Executive DirectorSecretariat must be notified of the transhipment and the circumstances giving rise to the force majeure within 12 hours of the completion of transhipment. The CCMs responsible for each vessel shall provide the Executive DirectorSecretariat with a WCPFC Transhipment Declaration consistent with the requirement under paragraph 108 within 1510 days of the transhipment.

#### SECTION 2 - TRANSHIPMENT FROM PURSE SEINE FISHING VESSELS

- 26.22. In accordance with Article 29 (5) of the Convention, transhipment at sea by purse seine vessels shall be prohibited except in respect of exemptions granted by the Commission for:
  - existing group seine operations composed of small purse seine boats (fish hold capacity of 600 mt or less) flagged to Papua New Guinea and Philippines that meet the following conditions:
    - operate in tandem with freezer carrier boat/s to freeze the catch or if operating closer to base with ice carrier boat/s to store the fish,
    - operate as one group together with their support vessels such as freezer carrier boat/s and/or ice carrier boat/s.
    - iii. undertake transhipment when refrigerated or other ice carrier boats dock alongside catcher boats and tranship fish from the catcher boats

Commented [A7]: This is a domestic implementation obligation on the CCM. Are there instances where an unauthorized vessel would be involved in transshipment covered by this CMM?

If not, perhaps we can accept this provision. If so, can we modify the text to allow for such circumstances?

Agenda X.X

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

8

- b. transhipment activities involving New Zealand flagged domestic purse-seine vessels where the fishing activity, transhipment and landing of fish all take place within New Zealand fisheries waters in accordance with New Zealand's existing legal and operational framework for monitoring and control of transhipment activity and the verification of catch.
- 27.23. CCMs seeking to apply an exemption for a vessel(s) that meets the conditions set out in paragraph 25, shall submit a written request to the Executive Director by 1 July of a given year that includes, at a minimum, the following information:
  - Details about the vessel(s) as they are required to appear on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels under CMM 2004-012018-06 (or its replacement CMM);
  - b. The vessel's history of prior transhipment exemptions,
  - c. The main species and product forms that would be transhipped,
  - d. The areas where transhipments would take place, to as much detail as possible,
  - e. The period of exemption being requested, and
  - f. An explanation for the exemption request.
- 28.24. The Executive Director shall compile all requests for transhipment exemptions and circulate them to all CCMs at least 30 days in advance of the regular annual session of the Technical and Compliance Committee (TCC). The TCC shall review the requests and make recommendations to the Commission regarding the application of the exemptions in paragraph 26.
- 29.25. Taking into account the recommendations of the TCC, the Commission, during its regular annual session, shall consider each request and may adopt exemptions in accordance with Article 29(5) of the Convention. The Commission may attach to each granted exemption any conditions or requirements that it determines necessary to achieve the objectives of the Convention, such as limitations on areas, time periods or species, the fishing vessels that may be transhipped to, and any additional requirements necessary for the purposes of monitoring, control and surveillance.
- 30-26. CCMs shall only authorize those purse seine vessels that that have received an exemption by the Commission to engage in transhipment outside of port. CCMs shall issue vessel-specific authorizations outlining any conditions or requirements identified by the Commission or CCM, and shall require that vessel operators carry such authorizations on

**Bold** is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

C

board at all times.

- 31.27. The flag State CCM of any such authorized purse-seine vessel that is required to be on the WCPFC Record of Fishing VesselsRFV shall notify the Executive Director that the vessel is authorized to engage in transhipment outside of port, in accordance with the Commission-granted exemption and shall indicate in such notification any limitations, conditions or requirements on its authorization.
- 32.28. The Executive Director shall maintain and make publicly available, including on the Commission's website, the list of purse seine vessels that have been granted exemptions and are authorized to tranship outside of port, as well as any corresponding conditions or provisions attached to their exemption.
- 33.29. All purse seine vessels, including those that receive an exemption to tranship at sea under the process described in paragraphs 26 to 30 shall be prohibited from commencing transhipping on the high seas in the Convention Area.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

10

#### SECTION 23 - TRANSHIPMENT FROM FISHING VESSELS OTHER THAN PURSE SEINE VESSELS

- 34.30. Transhipment from longline, troll and pole and line fishing vessels in national waters shall be managed in accordance with relevant domestic laws and procedures pursuant to paragraph 4.
- 35.31. There shall be no transhipment on the high seas except where a CCM [a CCM] in consultation with the Commission has determined, in accordance with the guidelines described in paragraph 37 below, that it is impracticable for certain vessels that it is responsible for to operate without being able to tranship on the high seas, and has advised the Commission of such.
- 36. Where transhipment does occur on the high seas:
- 37.32. , the CCMs responsible for reporting against both the offloading and receiving vessels shall, as appropriate:
  - a) advise the Commission of its procedures for monitoring and verification of the transhipments;
  - b) indicate vessels to which the determinations apply.
  - c) <u>Electronically</u> notify the information in Annex III to the <u>Executive</u> <u>DirectorSecretariat</u> at least 36 hours prior to each transhipment.,
  - d) Electronically provide the Executive DirectorSecretariat with a–WCPFC Transhipment Declaration information in Annex I within 4510 days of completion of each transhipment4; and
  - e) Submit to the Commission a plan detailing what steps it is taking to encourage transhipment to occur in port in the future.
- 38.33. The Commission, through the TCC, shall review the application of the exemptions by relevant CCMs after a period of 3 years and every 2 years thereafter to establish whether monitoring and verification has been effective. After review, the Commission may prohibit transhipment on the high seas by any vessel or vessels in relation to which monitoring and verification of transhipment on the high seas is proven to have been ineffective, or establish or vary any conditions for transhipping on the high seas.
- 39.34. The Executive Director shall prepare draft guidelines for the determination of circumstances where it is impracticable for certain vessels to tranship in port or in waters under national jurisdiction. The Technical and Compliance Committee shall consider these

<sup>4</sup> The CCM may authorize its vessels to provide the declaration to the Secretariat.

**Commented [A8]:** Members need to find a path forward on paragraph 31 that modrnizes the determination of impracticability.

One member has proposed an econommic analysis to support the determination.

A CCM-reported analysis (perhaps developed in consultation with the Secretariat and SPP?) would be helpful towards understanding transshipment under the Convention. If members consider agreeing to such an analysis, it would need to take into account the characteristics of the fishery concerned (per Article 29(4) of the Convention) and other provisions of Article 29.

**Commented [A9]:** Does the Commission advise itself? If not, and if paragraph 31 could be agteed to include the proposed "consultation with the Commission", this text seems to keep the act of advising the Commission with the CMM asserting impracticability.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

11

guidelines, amend as necessary, and recommend them to the Commission for adoption in 2012. In the meantime, CCMs shall use the following guidelines when determining the practicability of high seas transshipments transhipments

- a. The prohibition of transhipment in the high seas would cause a significant economic hardship, which would be assessed in terms of the cost that would be incurred to tranship tranship or land fish-1 at feasible and allowable locations other than on the high seas, as compared to total operating costs, net revenues, or some other meaningful measure of costs and/or revenues; and
- b. The vessel would have to make significant and substantial changes to its historical mode of operation as a result of the prohibition of transhipment in the high seas;

40.35. When adopting the Guidelines referred to in paragraph 37As appropriate, the Commission shall consider whether to prohibit transhipment in areas of high seas in the Convention Area entirely surrounded by the exclusive economic zones of members of the Commission and Participating Territories. This consideration will include a review of the catch and effort reported for fishing vessels in these areas, the information from Transhipment Declarations in these areas and the role of the areas in supporting IUU activities.

**Commented [A10]:** Default is to retain this oringal text if no agreement can be reached.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

\_12

#### ANNEX I<sup>5</sup>

#### INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN WCPFC TRANSHIPMENT DECLARATION

- 1. A unique document identifier
- 2. the name of the fishing vessel, IMO, and its WIN,
- 3. the name of the carrier vessel, IMO, and its WIN
- 4. the fishing gear used to take the fish
- 5. the quantity of product<sup>6</sup> (including species and its processed state<sup>7</sup>) to be transhipped
- 6. the state of fish (fresh or frozen)
- 7. the quantity of by-product<sup>8</sup> to be transhipped,
- 8. the geographic location<sup>9</sup> of the highly migratory fish stock catches
- 9. the date [(UTC)], start time [(UTC)], stop time [(UTC)], and location [19] of the transhipment-[19]
- 10. If applicable, the name and signature of the WCPFC observer

**Commented [A11]:** Edit byb co-Chairs in the footnote to remove "time" which is redundant to the use of "UTC".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CCMs shall submit information required in Annex I or in accordance with WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Tuna and tuna-like species

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Whole; gutted and headed; gutted, headed and tailed; gutted only, not gilled; gilled and gutted; gilled, gutted and tailed; shark fins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Non tuna and tuna-like species

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Geographic location of catch means sufficient information to identify what proportion of the catch was taken in the following areas: High seas, outside the WCPFC Convention Area, EEZs (listed separately). Location of catches not required for receiving vessel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Record date, start time, and stop time of transhipment in both the ship's time and the UTC at the same time. Location of transhipment is to be in decimal Latitude and Longitude to the nearest 0.1 degrees and accompanied by a description of the location, such as high seas, outside the convention area or within a named EEZ.

**Bold** is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

13

11. The quantity of product already on board the receiving vessel and the geographic origin<sup>11</sup> of that product.

11bis. The quantity of product retained on board the offloading vessel following a transhipment and the geographic origin of that product. {additional suggestion received from NZ}

12. Did non-[catch] [non-fish] transfer occur? ("yes" or "no")

Commented [A12]: Presumably, this Annex I declaration is only submitted when catch is transferred. Accordinlgy, if members seek to have vessels report whether non-catch was also transferred between vessels during the event, this may be a better framing.

be a better framing.

If members cannot reach agreement on this text, suggest developing a TS-IWG recommendation to WCPFC21 for the ER&EM or or IWG-ROP to take this on.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  The origin of product shall be reported by RFMO area and will include the quantity of product from each different area.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

14

#### ANNEX II

#### TRANSHIPMENT INFORMATION TO BE REPORTED ANNUALLY BY CCMs

Each CCM shall include in Part 1 of its Annual Report to the Commission:

- (1) the total quantities, by weight, of highly migratory fish stocks covered by this measure that were transhipped by fishing vessels the CCM is responsible for reporting against, with those quantities broken down by:
  - a. offloaded and received;
  - transhipped in port, transhipped at sea in areas of national jurisdiction, and transhipped beyond areas of national jurisdiction;
  - transhipped inside the Convention Area and transhippedoutsidetranshipped outside the Convention Area;
  - d. caught inside the Convention Area and caught outside the Convention Area;
  - e. species;
  - f. product form; and
  - g. fishing gear used
- (2) the number of transhipments involving highly migratory fish stocks covered by this measure by fishing vessels that is responsible for reporting against, broken down by:
  - a. offloaded and received;
  - b. transhipped in port, transhipped at sea in areas of national jurisdiction, and transhipped beyond areas of national jurisdiction;
  - transhipped inside the Convention Area and transhipped outside the Convention Area;
  - d. caught inside the Convention Area and caught outside the Convention Area; and
  - e. fishing gear.

Bold is provisionally agreed.

To be updated for consistency upon adoption.

Substantive clarification proposed for adoption if not explicitly opposed and/or new edit suggestions

Co-Chairs' proposed path forward

15

#### ANNEX III12

#### INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN NOTICES TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SECRETARIAT

- 1. the name, IMO, and WCPFC Identification Number (WIN) of the offloading vessel,
- 2. the name, IMO, and WIN of the receiving vessel,
- 3. the product (including species and its processed state) to be transhipped,
- 4. the tonnage by product to be transhipped,
- 5. the date and [(UTC)], start time [(UTC)], stop time [(UTC)], estimated or proposed location<sup>13</sup> of transhipment (latitude and longitude to a tenth of a degree with a margin of error of 24 nautical miles), and
- 6. the geographic location of the highly migratory fish stock catches 14 15

6.7. [[Did non-fish] transfer occur? ("yes" or "no")]

Formatted: Font: Calibri, Strikethrough

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> CCMs shall submit information required in Annex III or in accordance with WCPFC E-reporting Standards for high seas transhipment declarations and high seas transhipment notices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Location of transhipment is to be in decimal Latitude and Longitude to the nearest 0.1 degrees with a margin of error of 24 nautical miles and accompanied by a description of the location, such as high seas, outside the convention area or within a named EEZ. Notice can be updated if location changes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Not required for receiving vessels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Geographic location of catch means sufficient information to identify what proportion of the catch was taken in the following areas: High seas, outside the WCPFC Convention Area, EEZs (listed separately). Location of catches not required for receiving vessel.

# DOCUMENT 3: TRACK-CHANGED COMPARISON OF THE NEGOTIATING TEXT WITH CMM 2009-06

# Audit Points Checklist for Draft Revised CMM on Transshipment

1. To whom does the obligation apply? Set out any proposed exceptions or exclusions.

#### All CCMs

2. What is the scope of the new obligations (i.e., does it apply to a particular geographical area, fishery, stock, species of special interest?)

#### To all vessels engaged in transshipment activity, under the Convention.

3. Are there existing obligations that should be assessed in combination with any of the proposed new obligations? If so, name the CMM and paragraph(s), or other Commission obligation.

#### See table at end of checklist

4. Which proposed new obligations will require submission of Reports (R) or Implementation Statements (I), impose Limits (L), or have Deadlines (D)? Please fill out the relevant section(s) for each of the proposed new obligations.

#### See table at end of checklist.

Some existing obligations need to be modified and two new implementation obligations would require consideration of whether audit points are applicable (if agreed by members).

# <u>Table of CMM 2009-06 Audit Points and</u> Location of Obligations in Draft Revised CMM

(with track edits for potential necessary changes)

CMM	Obligation	Category	Audit Point Status
2009-06	Location in New		for Proposed New CMM
Obligation	Proposed CMM		(including track edits to existing Audit Point
(paragraph)	(paragraph)		where appropriate)
02	01	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
04	03	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
05	04	RP	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
N/A	7 bis	IM	Would need to be developed.
11	9	DL	No Audit Point developed for 2009-06
11	9	RP	The Secretariat confirms receipt by the CCM in AR Pt 1 of
			the required information in the prescribed format
			contained at Annex II of CMM 2009-06, and confirms that
			the report includes the required information for all CCM
			transhipment events in the Convention Area of all HMFS
			covered by the Convention, as well as HMFS taken in the

			Convention Aven and translational and the the Convent
			Convention Area and transhipped outside the Convention
			Area, in accordance with paras <del>10, 11, and 12</del> <u>8, 9, and 10</u>
12	44	15.4	of CMM 20 <u>24-xx</u> 09-06.
13	11	IM	CCM submitted a statement in AR Pt 2 that: a. confirms
			CCM's implementation through adoption of a national
			binding measure of the requirement for vessels the CCM is
			responsible for to carry observers from the WCPFC ROP to
			observe transhipments at sea. b. includes information on
			level of observer coverage achieved according to paragraph
			11relevant vessel category (paragraphs 13a, 13b, or 13c of
			CMM 2009-06). c. describes how CCM is monitoring and
			ensuring that vessels it is responsible for are carrying
			observers from the WCPFC ROP to observe transhipments
			at sea; how the CCM is monitoring and ensuring it is
			meeting its observer coverage requirements, and how CCM
			responds to potential infringements or instances of non-
			compliance with this requirement The Secretariat confirms
			that the CCM reported in its high seas transhipment
			declarations that there was an ROP observer on board the
			CCM's offloading vessel or the receiving vessel and
			whether an observer or electronic monitoring was used on
21/2	40	10.0	the offloading vessel.
N/A	19	IM	Would need to be developed.
24	21	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
25	22	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
25(b)	22 (b)	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
26	23	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
29	26	QL	The Secretariat verifies the information reported by the
			CCM in AR Pt 2 and confirms that the CCM's allowable limit
			of PS vessels transhipping outside of port has not been
			exceeded. *Note additional reporting obligations for
			COVID19-related requirements: The Secretariat has
			received information in AR Pt 2 of the CCM's approach to
			implementation of the suspension from 20 April 2020 to 15
	27		March 2022.
30	27	RP	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
32	29	IM	No change to 2009-06. Audit Points are "Not Applicable"
34	31	QL	The Secretariat confirms that none of the vessels for which
			the CCM is responsible has engaged in high seas
			transhipment, unless the CCM indicated in the WCPFC
			Record of Fishing Vessels or by other means of communication that the vessel or vessels are authorized
			pursuant to paragraph 37-34 of CMM 20092024-06-XX to
25 -/::\	22.5		engage in high seas transhipment activities.
35 a(ii)	32 b	RP	The Secretariat confirms that CCM indicated its flagged
			vessels authorized to tranship on the high seas, including
35 a (iii)	32 c	DL	by completing the relevant data field in the RFV data.  No Audit Point developed for 2009-06

35 a (iii)	32 c	RP	Where a CCM (or chartering CCM) has indicated that it has authorized its flagged vessels to engage in high seas transhipment and indicated such authorization in its RFV, the Secretariat confirms that it has electronically received the corresponding WCPFC high seas transhipment notification in respect of each CCM-authorized vessel in accordance with Annex III of CMM 20092024-06-XX or WCPFC ER Standards for high seas transhipment.
35 a (iv)	32 d	DL	No Audit Point developed for 2009-06
35 a (iv)	32 d	RP	Where a CCM (or chartering CCM) has indicated that it has authorized its flagged vessels to engage in high seas transhipment and indicated such authorization in its RFV, the Secretariat confirms that it has electronically received the corresponding WCPFC high seas transhipment declaration in respect of each CCM-authorized vessel in accordance with Annex I of CMM 2009-06 or WCPFC ER Standards for high seas transhipment.
35 a (v)	32e	RP	The Secretariat confirms that the CCM submitted a plan that details the steps CCM is taking to encourage its vessels to transship in ports in the future.

## **DOCUMENT 4: Draft TS-IWG Recommendations for WCPFC21**

- 1. The TS-IWG recommended to WCPFC21 that the Secretariat provide an analysis of vessel and observer reporting related to transshipment activity in the Convention Area, including the percentage of transshipment reports received via email and other means.
- 2. In support of paragraph 34, the TS-IWG recommended that the Commission undertake the development of a methodology that would standardize economic analyses of transshipment at sea within the Convention Area.