## WCPFC SC 20 Agenda 4.3.3.1 (SC20-SA-WP-13) Pacific Bluefin tuna stock assessment



WCPFC SC20

2024/8/16

### Hiromu Fukuda

### **ISC Pacific Bluefin tuna Working Group**







- All works related to the 2024 PBF stock assessment were done by a following members of the ISC PBFWG and invited experts as a team effort;
  - S. Nakatsuka (WG chair)
  - S.K. Chang (WG vice chair) and J.C. Shiao (Taiwan)
  - Y.J. Kwon, J.B. Lee (Korea)
  - M. Dreyfus-Leon, M. Betancourt (Mexico)
  - H.H. Lee, D. Tommasi, S. Teo (U.S.A.)
  - N. Takahashi, T. Ishihara, Y. Tsukahara,
    K. Nishikawa, H. Fukuda (Japan)
  - L. Knittweis, A Hann (NZ, Invited experts)
  - M. Maunder, J. Valero (IATTC)





## **2024 stock assessment of Pacific bluefin tuna**

✤ 2024 PBF stock assessment was conducted by the ISC PBFWG.

- Benchmark stock assessment.
- Data and model preparation meetings were held in Nov. 2022 and Mar. & Nov. 2023.
- Assessment meeting was held in March 2024.
- 24<sup>th</sup> ISC plenary in June 2024 reviewed and adopted the assessment report as the Best Available Scientific Information on the PBF stock.

### **Topics of the presentation**

- 1. Background information
- 2. Assessment modeling
- 3. Model diagnostics
- 4. Assessment results
- 5. Sensitivity analysis
- 6. Future projection
- 7. Stock Status and Conservation information

# 1.1 Review of the previous assessments.

History of the PBF stock assessment

- ✤ 2016 benchmark assessment
  - 19 fleets, 3 terminal indices (Jpn LL (New GLMM, (ZINB)), Twn LL (New GLMM), Jpn troll (GLM))

WCPFC SC20

- $\circ$  New Growth curve based on the otolith data.
- $\circ$  New Composition data (Kor PS, Revised Set Net etc) and initial input sample size
- Time varying selectivity for many fleets.
- ✤ 2018 update assessment
  - Identical model configurations with the 2016 assessment.
- ✤ 2020 benchmark assessment
  - 25 fleets, 3 terminal indices
    - (Jpn LL (Spatio-temporal GLMM), Twn LL (GLMM), Jpn troll (GLM))
  - New size data (US rec, Jpn LL and PS etc)
  - More time blocks
  - Modifications in Dome shape selectivity.
- ✤ 2022 update assessment
  - $\circ$  New Bootstrap method to reduce bias
  - Minor change in the JLL index data curation and associated comp data.
- ✤ 2024 benchmark assessment
  - This assessment.

A high-consistency in past

#### 4 assessments over 8 years.





# 1.2 Major Challenges for the 2024 assessment

## Major unsolved issues in the 2022 PBF stock assessment

- 1. Convergence issue with an alternative productivity assumptions.
  - The model showed inflexibility to change in steepness (Only  $h \ge 0.99$ ).
  - This inflexibility made difficult to account the structural uncertainty for steepness.
  - This was highlighted as an assessment uncertainty at the other forum.
- 2. Small but persistent systematic retrospective pattern in the SSB.
  - The model showed a pessimistic pattern in the retrospective diagnostics.
  - This might be a sign of a biased data or model-misspecification.
  - This was discussed in the SC18 as an assessment uncertainty.

An objective of the 2024 PBF assessment was addressing those unsolved issues while maintaining the internal consistency of the model shown in previous assessments.

## Model convergence issue with an alternative h & M

the MSE work.



### External Requests

Why is the PBF stock assessed under the steepness =0.999 only?

#### Internal Demand To develop more flexible model for

#### Scientific Interests

What is the cause of inflexibility to the alternative productivity assumptions?

- The population is observed at a very low stock size, and the model is fine-tuned to explain data (incl. some high catches) under the current assumption.
  - $\circ\,$  A possible issue could be a low availability of size comp data before 1994.
  - We do not know the size or age of fish actually caught at 1981-1982.1981-1982





## Idea of the short-term model

- Reconstruct the model with necessary data to estimate the scale, recent recruits and catch at age.
  - Relax the model from a mission to explain a high catch at a very low relative stock size.
  - A high contrast in catch and CPUE after 1983, good recruitment index after 1980, and available size data for most of the fleets after 1993.
- Short-term model could replicate the similar biomass scale and trend with the 2022 base-case.
- Short-term model converged for the models with a wider assumptions on productivity (h and M).

The WG agreed to change the model start year from 1952 to 1983 in the 2024 assessment base-case.





## **Retrospective pattern in the 2022 assessment**

- Another long-lasting issue is the systematic retrospective pattern in SSB.
  - $\circ~$  Persistent negative bias in SSB for the models peeling 1 to 10 years data.
  - $\circ$  This pattern was also observed in the comparisons of the SSB among recent 4 assessments.
- This might be a sign in a mis-specification in the model or input data issue.
- This issue was highlighted in the other forum as an uncertainty in the assessment.





#### Comprehensive analysis to address the systematic retrospective pattern





- Estimated SSB at relatively old ages (9+) were robust.
- The data peeling affected to the SSB estimates in particular ages 3-8 and recruitments of 2011 year-class and younger.
- Alternative assumptions for recruitment (*h*, sigmaR, Sum-to-zero constraint) or natural mortality did not solve the issue.

#### Comprehensive analysis to address the systematic retrospective pattern





- Exclusion of size comp data (ASPM-R<sub>est</sub>) got a better retrospective diagnostics.
- Exclusion of the recruitment index (Jpn troll CPUE) also showed a better diagnostics.
- PBFWG agreed to minimize the systematic retrospective pattern in the 2024 assessment by modifying the observation model.

- 2. Assessment modeling
- 2.1 Conceptual model

## **Procedure of the 2024 ISC PBF assessment**



stemational Scientific Committee for Tuna and

## A conceptual model of Pacific bluefin tuna Dynamics

70.0%

EPO

SPO



WCPFC SC20

✤ Modeling



- Changing model start year from 1952 to 1983 (short-term model) (Fukuda 2021).
- Modification in the estimation method for CV of the growth function (Tsukahara et al. 2024).
- Modification in the selectivity parameter specification (Lee 2023).
- Reducing residuals in size composition data by adding the model process or data aggregation with down-weighting (PBFWG 2024).
- Data
  - 2-year data update for all fleets (from July 2021 to June 2023) (Kwon et al. 2024, Dreyfus & Betancourt 2024).
  - Newly available size comp and catch data (Nishikawa & Fukuda 2023).
  - Shortened recruitment index time series (Fukuda et al. 2023).
  - Consideration for the newly developed indices of abundance (Fujioka et al. 2024, Yuan et al. 2024).
  - Consideration for the Index-fleet approach (Tsukahara & Fukuda 2024).



# 2.2 Biology and selectivity

## **Growth (von Bertalanffy growth function)**

vB parameters were estimated outside the model using otolith annual and daily rings data.

K=0.188

Asymptotic length; 249.9 cm Length at Age 0 = 19.05 cm Length at Age 3 = 118.57 cm



CV of length at age was estimated using the ASPM- $R_{fix}$  fitting to the conditional age at length data.

CV at length at Age 0 = 27.8%CV at length at Age 3 = 4.01%



- Population Dynamics
  - Maturity and Stock Recruitment Relationship
    - ✓ 20%, 50%, and 100% maturity at ages 3, 4, 5 and older based on the histological study.
  - Age specific Natural mortality
    - ✓ Based on the tagging study (age 0), other bluefine tuna data (age 1), and a suite of empirical and life-history based methods (age 2 and older fish).

ernational Scientific Committee

- $\circ$  Recruitment
  - ✓ Beverton-Holt SRR (h = 0.999; estimated from life history information).
  - $\checkmark$  0.6 of standardized deviation for the average recruitment deviation (SigmaR).
- Estimated parameters
  - Initial conditions (Initial F, Early recruitments for 10 years),
  - $\circ$  Population scale (logR0) and Main recruitment deviations from 1982 to 2021
  - $\circ$  Catchability of the index
  - Selectivity of fishery

## Area as Fleet Approach with time varying selectivity

- Fleet structure was determined based on the CPUE/country/gear/season, etc.
- Movement of fish among the Fleets were accounted by a selectivity modeling approach.
  - Contact gear selectivity as time invariant length-based selection.
  - Time varying Age-based availability.
    - ✓ Considering annual/seasonal variation of the age-specific movements.





## 2.3 Input Data

## Catch

- Data collection
  - Submitted from the ISC members  $\bigcirc$ 
    - In unit of number: Catch for farming, Sports.
    - In unit of weight: the rest of fleets.
  - NZ also submitted their catch to the WG.  $\bigcirc$
  - The rest of catch in the Pacific Ocean was  $\bigcirc$ obtained from the WCPFC official statistics.
- •••• Unseen mortality
  - After the implementation of the strict catch upper Ο limit, there would be some unseen mortality due to the post-release mortality and unreported catch.
  - Some member estimated unseen mortality from data. Ο
  - Others assumed 5% of reported catch. Ο
- 100% of reported catch is assumed as unseen mortality Ο for Troll for farming (Fleet 14) due to its nature of fishery. 2024/8/16





## **Abundance index**

- Index for Spawning stock
  - Taiwanese longline (south) CPUE index
    - ✓ Standardized by GLMM
  - Japanese longline CPUE index
    - ✓ Spatio-temporal GLMM
    - ✓ Due to the possible catchability change, this index was terminated in 2019 FY.
- Recruitment index
  - Japanese Troll CPUE index
    - ✓ Standardized by GLM.
    - ✓ Since this index caused pessimistic bias in the SSB estimation, this was terminated in 2010 FY.
  - Jpn recruitment monitoring survey index was used outside the model as a qualitative information.



Honal Scientific Committee

# 3 Model Diagnostics

### **Random perturbations of parameter initial values**

- 140 trials for random perturbation of the parameter initial values for all parameters and 500 trials for random perturbation of the parameter phasing for the selectivity parameters were conducted.
  - Most of the jitter runs estimated logR0 about 9.5, which is similar with the logR0 of MLE (9.49).



The current base case is the best fit model, and there is no evidence of further improvement.



10% Random initial value

## Age Structured Production Model (ASPM)

### ✤ ASPM (R) diagnostics

- To evaluate the consistency between the catch and index observations under the specified productivity assumption.
- $\circ$   $\,$  The model fitted to the catch and adult indices only.
- No recruitment deviation (ASPM) or specified recruitment deviations at those estimated by the base-case (ASPM-R).

#### ✤ Results

- ASPM could depict general trends of spawner indices.
  - ✓ The production model effect (net effect of growth, M, recruit, and catch) can provide information of the population scale.
  - ✓ This is a rationale to prioritize the goodness-of-fit to those spawner indices in this assessment.
- ASPM-R improved the fits to spawner indices.
  - ✓ Specified recruitment deviations, which were mainly informed by the recruitment index in the full model, were consistent with the production model effect in the model.
  - ✓ Recruitment index would provide consistent information about recruitment with the spawner indices.



Year

## Hind-casting using the ASPM model

- ✤ A 7-year hindcasting diagnostics
  - Hind-casting a past 7-year (a generation time) based on a 7-year retrospected model.
  - Assessment period is the fully integrated model, where the forecasting period is the ASPM (no recruitment variation, time invariant selectivity).
  - The assessment model that worked well in the past and predicted the past well indicates that the assessment model has a good prediction skill.

#### Results

- A 7-years hindcasting model using ASPM could predict the past 7 years (from 2016 to 2022) trend of the Twn LL indices with good contrast.
- The PBF assessment model has a good prediction skill because it uses a production function that can accurately describe the average effects of catch-at-age on abundance over a range of stock sizes.





## **Retrospective analysis**

- Retrospective analysis showed a highly consistent estimation of terminal SSB over the past 10 years, with Mohn's rho = -0.06.
- This is a focal point for improvement in the previous assessment, and the PBFWG successfully resolved the negative systematic retrospective pattern by reducing the residuals for the size composition data and eliminating the recruitment index during 2011–2016.
- For recruitment, each data peeling run showed higher and lower terminal recruitments than those estimated by the full data series model.
  - The absence of the recruitment index during 2011– 2022 might cause instability in some terminal recruitment estimates.



Year

## Likelihood profiles over fixed scaling parameter

- Each component marked the lowest likelihood at the range of maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of Log R<sub>0</sub> (9.49).
  - $\circ$  All likelihood components showed very low likelihood values (< 1.5 units) at the log R0 value of MLE.
    - ✓ The base-case model have the desirable property of being internally consistent regarding population scale.

ternational Scientific Committee for Tuna and



### **Goodness of fit to Abundance index**



## Average fits to the size composition data



Honal Scientific Committee for

## 4. Assessment Results

## **Results: Bridging analysis**

Simple 2 years data update showed a rapid recovery to the level higher than 20%SSB0.

ional Scientific Committee

- Changing the model start year did not affect to the estimated trend of SSB.
- The 2024 base-case showed a slightly higher SSB than Model 3.
  - ✓ The model has been revised to reduce the pessimistic retrospective bias seen in the 2022 stock assessment, justifying the slightly higher SSB in the 2024 base-case.



## **Results: Biomass time series**

- SSB declined from 1996 to 2010 and has increased since 2011.
  - SSB at 2022 was about 144,000 mt (23.2% of SSB0).
  - Achieving the  $2^{nd}$  rebuilding target (20%SSB<sub>0</sub>) in 2021.
  - Relatively large confidence interval in a couple of terminal years.
- ✤ Recruitments have fluctuated since 1983.
  - 2016 year-class was estimated to be high.
  - Relatively large confidence interval for 2019–2022.
    - ✓ Lack of reliable recruitment index in the base case model.



## **Results: Biomass and Fishing mortality at Age**

- $\bullet$  Historically, the PBF has experienced a high fishing mortality in particular for fish for ages 0-2.
- After 2010, F gradually decreased, coinciding with the implementation of the first catch upper limits on both sides of the Pacific Ocean (2011 in the WCPFC and 2012 in the IATTC).
- ✤ A substantial decrease in estimated F-at-Age is observed for most of ages after 2015 when the stricter catch upper limits were implemented.
- ☆ An increase in immature fish (0-3 years old) is observed in 2016-2019, likely resulting from reduced fishing mortality on this age group. This led to a substantial increase in SSB after 2019.



## **Results: Fishery Impact plot**

- The impact of the EPO fisheries group was large before the mid-1980s, decreasing significantly thereafter.
- From the mid-1980s to the late 1990s, the WPO coastal fisheries group has had the greatest impact on the PBF stock.
- Since the introduction of the WPO purse seine fishery group targeting small fish (ages 0-1), the impact of this group has rapidly increased, and the impact in 2022 was greater than any of the other fishery groups.
- The WPO longline fisheries group has had a limited effect on the stock throughout the analysis period.
- In 2022, the estimated cumulative impact proportion between WPO and EPO fisheries is about 83% and

WCPFC SC20

7%. respectively.

2024/8/16

WCPO PS (small PBF) **EPO** fisheries WCPO coastal fisheries WCPO tuna PS WCPO longline fisheries WCPO unseen mortality 1000 Expected SSB (x1,000 mt) 800 600 400 200 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 1980 1985 100% 75% Impact 50% 25% 0% 1970 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 **Fishing year**
# 5. Sensitivity Analysis

### Sensitivity runs for alternative observation processes

#### Alternative data weighting

- The harmonic mean of EffN in each fleet was all higher than those input sample size, further down-weighting for the size comps, which had a high gradient in the R0 profile, was tested.
- The base-case model was robust to the additional down weighting to the size composition data.
- Increased unseen mortality
  - The base-case model was robust to the higher unseen mortality (two-times unseen catch).
- ✤ Fitting to the Recruitment index for 2011-2016
  - A sensitivity run fitting to the recruitment index (S3) for entire time-series (1983-2016) was tested.
  - $\circ~$  This model estimated lower recruitment levels in 2013–2022, resulting in lower estimated SSB at the terminal year.
    - $\checkmark\,$  The base-case fits better than this sensitivity to the LL index.
    - $\checkmark$  This confirms a pessimistic bias of the index for eliminated period.

WCPFC SC20





Year

## Sensitivity runs for alternative system dynamics processes

#### **Steepness**

- The total likelihood had the lowest value at around Change in log-likelihood 0.99 and the improvement in the NLL was marginal.
- Both size compositions and recruitment penalty Ο components showed informative gradients on both low and high sides of the steepness, with the lowest NLL value at around 0.97.
- CPUE component showed a one-way decreasing trend in NLL as steepness increased.
- $\circ$  In the run with a lower h (h=0.97), where the recruitment penalty component showed the lowest SSB Relative NLL, relative SSB was slightly lower than the base case (20.1%).
- The PBFWG concluded that further investigation Ο was warranted in this regard, although there was no indication of the critical model misspecification.





## Sensitivity runs for alternative system dynamics processes

#### Natural Mortality

- 20% higher and lower M for age 2+ resulted in higher and lower relative SSB than the base-case model, respectively, as anticipated.
- The PBFWG concluded that the current base-case model exhibits insensitivity to the assumptions regarding natural mortality for age 2 and older.

Expected variation in recruitment (sigma R)

- A run with higher (1.0) recruitment variation showed an apparently higher NLL (12 NLL units) in the recruitment penalty component than the base case, where a run with a lower recruitment variation (0.52) showed a slightly lower NLL (-1.5 units).
- Estimated SSB was not sensitive to sigmaR, although the population scale was higher in a run with higher sigmaR.



Year

# 6. Future projection

### **Results: projection**

- Status quo (Scenario 1) projects the SSB in 2041 to be higher than 40% of SSB0.
- Scenario 2, which applies the conversion of small fish quota to large fish quota at the current conversion factor of 1.47, projects a similar trend but a higher SSB in 2034.
- Scenario 12, which applies a constant fishing mortality of F30%SPR, shows continuous increase of SSB towards 30%SSB<sub>F=0</sub> with a higher expected catch in 2034 than that of the status quo scenario.



#### **Results: projection (scenarios achieving 20%SSB<sub>0</sub> with 60% of probability)**

- Scenarios 4-11, which were fine-tuned to achieve the 20%SSB<sub>F=0</sub> with a 60% probability in 2041, resulted notably more aggressive catch, and those are exhibiting a decreasing trend from a peak biomass in the late 2020s to the end year of the projection.
  - Catching higher amounts of small fish (i.e. scenario 4) resulted in lower total catch in 2041 than that of a scenario catching lower amounts of small fish (i.e. scenario 5).

WCPFC SC20



	#	Scenario			Fishery		Probability	Expected catch in 2034					
		WCPO		EPO	impact ratio (2034)		(stock > 20%SSB0	WCPO		EPO		<b>.</b>	
		Small	Large	Comm	WCPO	EPO	in 2041)	Small	Large	Comm	Sp	Total	
	4	60% up	60% up	60% up	82%	18%	61%	6,540	12,969	6,332	926	26,767	
	5	SQ	180% up	180% up	71%	29%	60%	4,383	20,799	11,224	1,055	37,459	
	6	20% up	163% up	108% up	78%	22%	60%	5,394	19,989	8,330	1,035	34,749	
	7	30% up	131% up	92% up	80%	20%	63%	5,739	17,717	7,673	1,026	32,156	

#### **Results: projection (scenarios tuning fishery impact ratio between EPO:WCPO)**

WCPFC SC20

- ✤ Assumed future fishery impact ratio affect the future catch balance between EPO and WCPO.
  - Scenario 8 and 9.



		Scenario			Fishery		Probability	Expected catch in 2034					
	#	WCPO		EPO	impact ratio (2034)		(stock > 20%SSB0	WCPO		EPO			
		Small	Large	Comm	WCPO	EPO	in 2041)	Small	Large	Comm	Sp	Total	
	8	30% up	30% up	190% up	69%	31%	61%	5,508	10,420	11,556	950	28,434	
	9	55% up	55% up	80% up	79%	21%	63%	6,620	12,456	7,196	953	27,224	
	10	10% up	130% up	190% up	70%	30%	60%	4,707	17,667	11,589	1,025	34,989	
	11	40% up	120% up	80% up	80%	20%	61%	6,006	17,233	7,205	1,000	31,444	

## **Results: projection (Additionally requested scenarios)**

tRFMOs requested some additional harvesting scenarios to be analyzed by the future projection.

- SSB for All requested scenarios 13–18 were distributed between the scenario 1 (status quo) and scenario 10.
  - The additionally requested harvesting scenarios were forecasted to show a continuous increase of future SSB throughout the projection period.



	#	Scenario			Fishery		Probability	Expected catch in 2034					
		WCPO		EPO	impact ratio (2034)		(stock > 20%SSB0	WCPO		EPO		<b>-</b>	
		Small	Large	Comm	WCPO	EPO	in 2041)	Small	Large	Comm	Sp	Total	
	13	Status quo	+50% up	+50% up	77%	23%	98%	4,193	12,033	5,993	1,400	23,619	
	14	+5% up	+50% up	+50% up	78%	22%	97%	4,416	12,039	5,993	1,359	23,807	
	15	+10% up	+50% up	+50% up	79%	21%	96%	4,639	12,045	5,992	1,318	23,994	
	16	+20% up	+50% up	+50% up	82%	18%	92%	5,086	12,051	5,988	1,237	24,362	
	17	+5% up	+70% up	+70% up	78%	22%	94%	4,428	13,541	6,789	1,305	26,062	
	<b>₩8</b> ₽	+20% <sup>FC ရွှ</sup> ်ဥ <sup>20</sup>	+100 %up	+100% up	80%	20%	75%	5,118	15,884	8,001	1,144	30,146	

# 7. Stock status and Conservation information

### **Stock Status**

PBF spawning stock biomass (SSB) has increased substantially in the last 12 years. These biomass increases coincide with a decline in fishing mortality, particularly for fish aged 0 to 3, over the last decade. The latest (2022) SSB is estimated to be 23.2% of  $SSB_{F=0}$  and the probability that it is above  $20\%SSB_{F=0}$  is 75.9%. Based on these findings, the following information on the status of the Pacific bluefin tuna stock is provided:

WCPFC SC20

- 1. No biomass-based limit or target reference points have been adopted for PBF, but the PBF stock is not overfished relative to  $20\%SSB_{F=0}$ , which has been adopted as a biomass-based reference point for some other tuna species by the IATTC and WCPFC. SSB of PBF reached its initial rebuilding target (SSB<sub>MED</sub> =  $6.3\%SSB_{F=0}$ ) in 2017, 7 years earlier than originally anticipated by the RFMOs, and its second rebuilding target ( $20\%SSB_{F=0}$ ) in 2021; and
- 2. No fishing mortality-based reference points have been adopted for PBF by the IATTC and WCPFC. The recent (2020-2022) F%SPR is estimated to be 23.6% and thus the PBF stock is not subject to overfishing relative to some of F-based reference points proposed for tuna species, including F20%SPR.



2024/8/16

After the steady decline in SSB from 1996 to the historically low level in 2010, the PBF stock has started recovering, and recovery has been more rapid in recent years, coinciding with the implementation of stringent management measures. The 2022 SSB was 10 times higher than the historical low and is above the second rebuilding target adopted by the WCPFC and IATTC, which was achieved in 2021. The stock has recovered at a faster rate than anticipated when the Harvest Strategy to foster rebuilding (WCPFC HS 2017-02) was implemented in 2014. The fishing mortality (F%SPR) in 2020-2022 is at a level producing 23.6%SPR. According to the requests from WCPFC and IATTC, future projections under various scenarios were conducted. The projection scenarios and their results, the figure of projection results, "future Kobe plot", and "future impact plot" are provided as Tables 3-5, Figures 12, 13, and 14, respectively. In addition, the results of additional projections which were requested by the JWG of IATTC-WCPFC NC is provided in Appendix 2 of the stock assessment report (ISC 2024 Annex13).

Based on these findings, the following information on the conservation of the Pacific bluefin tuna stock is provided:

## **Conservation information (punch-line)**

- 1. The PBF stock is recovering from the historically low biomass in 2010 and has exceeded the second rebuilding target (20%SSB<sub>F=0</sub>). The risk of SSB falling below 7.7%SSB<sub>F=0</sub> (interim LRP for tropical tunas in IATTC) at least once in 10 years is negligible;
- 2. The projection results show that increases in catches are possible. However, the risk of falling below the second rebuilding target will increase with larger increases in catch;
- 3. The projection results assume that the CMMs are fully implemented and are based on certain biological and other assumptions. For example, these future projection results do not contain assumptions about discard mortality. Discard mortality may need to be considered as part of future increases in catch; and
- 4. Given the uncertainty in future recruitment and the influence of recruitment on stock biomass as well as the impact of changes in fishing operations due to the management, monitoring recruitment and SSB should continue. Research on a recruitment index for the stock assessment should be pursued, and maintenance of a reliable adult abundance index should be ensured. In addition, accurate catch information is the foundation of good stock assessment.

## Epilogue

- $\circ~$  Another benchmark assessment for PBF stock was completed.
  - $\checkmark$  The model could carry its advantages seen in the previous assessments.
  - ✓ The WG improved the model in terms of the temporal consistency, residuals in the size and index, and flexibility to the alternative productivity assumption.

WCPFC SC20

- $\circ~$  High prediction skill was shown based on the production function informed by the data.
  - $\checkmark$  Consistent and comprehensive data collected by the members.
- High consistency and good prediction skill of the model demonstrated a consistency of the results between the projections based on the past assessment and the latest assessment estimates.
  - $\checkmark$  Powerful tool for the management decision making.

