

#### COMMISSION

# Twentieth Regular Session

4-8 December 2023

Rarotonga, Cook Islands (Hybrid)

# **Update from the Intersessional Working Group for the Regional Observer Programme**

WCPFC20-2023-IWGROP 2 November 2023

### Paper submitted by IWG-ROP Chair, Secretariat and SPC-OFP

### **Purpose**

- 1. The purpose of this paper presents initial opportunities to streamline and update Regional Observer Programme (ROP) data fields for feedback. It also identifies other areas of work arising from SC19 and TCC19 discussions to be prioritised by CCMs for inclusion in the IWG-ROP Workplan.
- 2. Feedback is sought from IWG-ROP participants and from the Commission at WCPFC20 on priorities and proposed changes to the ROP Minimum Standard Data Fields (MSDF).

#### Review of ROP Minimum Standard Data Fields

- 3. Changes proposed to the existing ROP MSDF set out in **Annex 1** recognise that some data fields could be deleted as they are or could be collected by alternative mechanisms. The proposals are in accordance with 1d. of the IWG-ROP Workplan 2023-2025.
- 4. Other MSDF are not proposed for change. Further work is required by the IWG-ROP to identify the nature of the changes required to achieve the objectives of the taskings from <u>TCC18</u> (refer to Summary Report pages 27-28, 33-34 and 41-42) and <u>WCPFC19</u> (refer to Summary Report pages 77-78 and 80-81).
- 5. Other proposals relating to more substantive taskings to review and modify ROP minimum data fields as set out in sections 1-4 of the <u>Workplan</u> are shown as placeholders in **Annex 1** to indicate areas that will be the subject of future work.
- 6. There are additional areas for future changes to data collection requirements not reflected in the table below. Some were discussed during <u>TCC18</u> and others arose during <u>TCC19</u>, in broader discussions on the ROP as part of the broader Commission data collection and monitoring framework. Future changes reflect the need to consider:
  - a. new measures or changes to measures such as the draft measure on Crew Labour Standards being developed and FADMO-IWG proposals that include stronger FAD monitoring;
  - b. refinements to current data fields collected by ROP observers to allow for more useful consideration of ROP data in the CCFS and in the Compliance Monitoring Scheme processes which includes the currently planned 2023-2024 work that prioritises improvements in ROP minimum standard data fields for Non-target and Associated or Dependent Species (NTADs) to allow for a distinction between an interaction that is of scientific interest from those

- interactions that are a possible infraction in the CCFS which include interactions or actions by the crew that could indicate a potential infringement has occurred;<sup>1</sup>
- c. refinements to ROP minimum standard data fields for sea turtles, seabirds, and mobulids that are relevant to the CMM obligations; and
- d. potential refinements to the shark CMM 2019-04 based on interpretation issues arising during CCM's evaluation of annual reporting for RY2021 and RY2022 during TCC19;
- e. refinements to ensure a balance and appropriate linkages between new measures and standard, specifications and procedures arising from the work of the ERandEM-IWG including taking account of the five data fields that were identified in the WCPFC agreed ER-standards for observer data<sup>2</sup> for consideration in future reviews of ROP minimum data standards:
  - VESSEL IDENTIFIER To support electronic reporting of observer data, to consider the inclusion of WCPFC RFV VID, which is currently encouraged, as mandatory field WCPFC field.
  - ii. EMBARK\_LAT is the actual depart LAT position for the observer trip (if embarking AT SEA)
  - iii. EMBARK\_LON is the actual depart LON position for the observer trip (if embarking AT SEA)
  - iv. DISEMBARK\_LAT is the actual depart LAT position for the observer trip (if disembarking AT SEA)
  - v. DISEMBARK \_LON is the actual depart LON position for the observer trip (if disembarking AT SEA); and
- f. use of the ROP to support Commission data collection and monitoring programmes for developing management measures e.g. harvest strategies (refer to <a href="TCC19 Summary Report">TCC19 Summary Report</a>) analytical discussion e.g. harvest strategies.

# Specific Proposals for Changes to the ROP Minimum Standard Data Fields (MSDF)

7. The table in **Annex 1** sets out the data fields from the MSDF as they were approved by the Commission (refer to the <u>WCPFC website</u>). Data field categories are shown in the same order as the MSDF. Fields not suggested for removal have been retained in the table for ease of understanding and can be discussed if required. Areas that will be the subject of future work to review and modify ROP minimum data fields as set out in sections 1 – 4 of the <u>Workplan</u> are shown as placeholders.

# Review of progress on IWG-ROP Workplan 2023-2025 tasks

- 8. The IWG-ROP was established in 2006 in accordance with the terms of reference in <a href="CMM 2006-07">CMM 2006-07</a>. In December 2021at WCPFC19, the Commission supported TCC's recommendation that there was a need to reactivate the IWG-ROP to provide advice to assist in Commission discussions, ensuring the ROP can continue to optimize its contribution to the Commission's independent monitoring and verification programme and achieve the Commission's objectives as set out in the Convention.
- 9. The <u>IWG-ROP Workplan 2023-2025</u> aims to consider tasks within the following four main areas during the 2023 2024/5 period:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For further information see TCC19-2023-09 Use of ROP data in the Compliance Monitoring Scheme (CMS)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.wcpfc.int/doc/data-05/e-reporting\_ssps

- a. Review the existing ROP data collection, to further identify, and discuss improvements to the current processes that support independent monitoring by Observers,
- b. Review the ROP Observer coverage with considerations to increase coverage,
- c. Recommend ROP Standards, and
- d. Consider use of emerging technologies to support Observer work.
- 10. A webpage to support the intersessional activities of the IWG-ROP is where copies of relevant documents circulated by email to the IWG participants will be posted <a href="https://www.wcpfc.int/iwg-rop">https://www.wcpfc.int/iwg-rop</a>.
- 11. In communications to <a href="SC19">SC19</a> and <a href="TCC19">TCC19</a> the IWG-ROP Chair proposed that the priority work plan tasks would include:
  - a. 1(b) "Observer data fields for "Species of Special Interest"; and
  - b. 1(d) "Consider removal of redundant ROP data fields".

**Annex 1** of this paper is intended to provide the basis for intersessional email communications between IWG-ROP participants on these items through late 2023 and early 2024.

## **Next steps**

- 12. The IWG-ROP Chair requests feedback on this paper from IWG-ROP participants and the Commission through WCPFC20. This will be used to update and prioritise the Workplan and to complete initial proposals for changes to MSDF in 2024.
- 13. Work will be initiated on the highest priority areas from early in 2024, with proposals presented to SC20 and TCC20 along with formal proposals for initial changes to MSDF, noting there may be flow-on effects that mean consequential changes to CMMs or other requirements such as the Electronic Reporting Standards for Observers may be needed.

Working Table to facilitate intersessional feedback from IWG-ROP participants in 2023/24 in support of the review the ROP minimum standard data fields (MSDF)

#### Introduction

The following table sets out proposals for initial change to MSDF for feedback and should be read in conjunction with the <a href="IWG-ROP Workplan">IWG-ROP Workplan</a> 2023-2025. and the two sets of <a href="Electronic Reporting Standards for observer reporting">Electronic Reporting Standards for observer reporting</a>, which respectively, provide additional details on the taskings for the IWG and on the data reporting standards and requirements already approved by the Commission.

#### It should be noted:

- a. the column \*\* "How Collected by Observer" indicates the method usually used to collect this information, but other methods of collection may be used; and
- b. where an "Observer Placement Form/format" has been suggested, this refers to the potential for a form/format to be created by observer providers that could collect this information as part of preparations for placement of an observer. For example, data can be taken from existing data such as the Record of Fishing Vessels for use by the observer. The observer can also check this information for any changes or updates. For example, the collection of phone numbers and other communication addresses currently collected by the observer during the trip can be collected at placement, checked once on board, and then ensures the observer provider has the latest communication information of the vessel in case of any emergency or need to communicate with the observer; this will aid with observer safety. This will also add to the currency and therefore quality of data held by the Commission.
- c. Vessel logs contain information on catch details and methods used to catch fish. The "Vessel Master" is often the best source of information on vessel dimensions and gear information, observers must rely on vessel captains and crew to give verbal information or documentation when collecting this information during the trip. The verbal information is difficult for observers to verify; therefore, the vessel captain could supply some of this information on their log sheets which can be checked against the RFV, etc.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
GENERAL VESSEL AND TRIP INFORM	GENERAL VESSEL AND TRIP INFORMATION FOR ALL VESSEL TYPES				
VESSEL IDENTIFICATION					
Flag State Registration Number	Observer asks to check vessel documentation.	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which gives more space to add new required fields		
Vessel Owner/Company	Observer asks to check vessel documentation	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which gives more space to add new required fields		
WCPFC Identification number" WIN markings consistent with CMM 2004-03 WIN format for markings consistent with CMM 2004-03	Observer checks markings on vessel.  The (IRCS) Call Sign (Which is usually the same as the WIN number) of the vessel markings should be consistent with the measurements required by CMM 2004-03	This information is available and collected in the RFV.	If the vessel does not have an IRCS (Call sign) the flag State must create and issue a "WCPFC Identification Number" or WIN number and use this as the vessel identifier. In most cases, the IRCS and WIN are the same identifier.  If required should be checked when placing the observer on the vessel.		
IMO' or Lloyd's Register number 'LR"	Observer asks to check vessel documentation	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field		

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
			from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.  Name of Vessel International Radio Call Sign Hull markings consistent with CMM 2004-03			Retain - No suggested changes
Data field in this section recommended to be added.  • WCPFC RFV VID			Using a vessel identifier field ("VID") removes the redundancy of including all vessel attributes with each trip record and ensures standardisation and consistency through referencing the main Vessel Registry database.
VESSEL TRIP INFORMATION			
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.  Date and time of departure Port of departure Date and time of return Port of return			Retain - No suggested changes

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT			
OBSERVER INFORMATION	OBSERVER INFORMATION					
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.			Retain - No suggested changes			
<ul> <li>Observer name</li> <li>Nationality of Observer</li> <li>Observer Provider- Country or organisation</li> <li>Date Time &amp; Location of observer Embarkation</li> <li>Date, time and location of</li> <li>Disembarkation</li> </ul>			Retain - No suggested changes			
Data Fields in this section recommended to be added:  • EMBARK_LAT  • EMBARK_LON  • DISEMBARK_LAT  • DISEMBARK_LAT			EMBARK_LAT is the actual depart LAT position for the observer trip (if embarking AT SEA)  EMBARK_LON is the actual depart LON position for the observer trip (if embarking AT SEA)  DISEMBARK_LAT is the actual depart LAT position for the observer trip (if disembarking AT SEA)  DISEMBARK LON is the actual depart LON position for the observer trip (if disembarking AT SEA)			

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT			
CREW INFORMATION	CREW INFORMATION					
Identification document-Captain	Observer either asks the captain for his passport or ID details, or if available can get this information from a crew list.	Observers should not need to record what document was used to prove nationality?  Many observers do not ask to see nationality proof.	Crew lists are made up for immigration purposes and have these details for all crew which could be collected at time of placement. This may also help the crewing issues being discussed as can be compared at the beginning and end of trip.			
Identification document-Fishing Master.	Observer either asks the fishing master for his passport or ID details, or if available can get this information from a crew list.	Observers should not need to record what document was used to prove nationality?  Many observers do not ask to see nationality proof.	If needed could be collected on placement, noting that crew lists made up for immigration purposes will sometimes have these details for all crew. which could be collected at time of placement. This may also help the crewing issues being discussed as can be compared at the beginning and end of trip.			
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.  Name of Captain Nationality of Captain Name of Fishing Master Nationality of Fishing Master Other Crew (Nationality) Total Crew on board			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1c.  Additionally in respect of crew information the IWG-ROP will also need to take into consideration the outcome of work being undertaken by the Intersessional Work on Crew Labour Standards			

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
VESSEL ATTRIBUTES			
Length (specify unit)	Observer asks to check vessel documentation or the vessel plan.  Observer cannot verify if length is correct.	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields
Tonnage (specify unit)	Observer asks to check vessel documentation or the vessel plan.  Observer cannot verify if tonnage is correct	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields
Engine power (Specify unit)	Observer can get this in several ways, can get it from engine model number info online if available. Most observers ask the engineer who will tell them the HP.	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.			Retain - No suggested changes
<ul> <li>Vessel Cruising Speed</li> <li>Freezer Type</li> <li>Vessel fish hold capacity</li> </ul>			

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
VESSEL ELECTRONICS (Indicate "Yes or	VESSEL ELECTRONICS (Indicate "Yes or No)				
Global Positioning System (GPS) (Yes/ No)	Observers view instrument and copy details of units.	All vessels have GPS. This field was introduced when GPS was taking over from Sat-Nav.	All vessels have GPS in some way. Not needed to be collected as GPS is on about every piece of electronic equipment on a vessel, phones, etc.		
Weather Facsimile	Observers view instrument and copy details of units.	Introduced when Telex was still being used on board vessels.	Most vessels have a mechanism determining weather, many subscribe to online weather services.		
Sea Surface Temperature (SST) gauge	Observers view instrument and copy details if required.	This was introduced when SST was changing from a paper format to electronic format. SST is included as an add on in different electronic devices.	SST is an application on different marine electronic devices on a vessel.		
Expendable Bathythermograph (XBT)	Observers view instrument and copy details if required	Very few vessels use this device.	This equipment is rare and if recorded could come under the field "Other Electronic Equipment' therefore allowing more space for other fields.		
Satellite Communications Services (Phone/Fax/Email adressés and Numbers. )	Observers view instruments; documentation; asks captain/ radio operator; for numbers etc.	This information is available and collected in the RFV	This information should be collected on placement so both the observer and onshore office have the latest vessel communication numbers.		
Vessel Monitoring System	Observers are asked to identify the system used and the make and model of the units on board	Collected in RFV as well as VMS Registers. Field was introduced to observer collection when VMS started to be placed on vessels.	All vessels have VMS on board and information is available elsewhere. This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields		

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
Data Fields to be considered for possible removal.  Radar Track Plotter Doppler Current Monitor	Observers view instrument and copy details where required	Most vessels that take observers have this all or some of this equipment,  These instruments are not being considered for definite removal, but should be considered	This information could be collected by a placement officer on a placement format. Therefore, removing the field from observer forms which give more space to add new required fields
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.  • Depth Sounders			Retain - no Changes  Additionally in respect of vessel
<ul> <li>Sonar</li> <li>Radio /Satellite Buoys</li> <li>Fishery Information Service</li> <li>Other Electronic Equipment</li> </ul>			electronics the IWG-ROP may consider requesting advice from the Scientific Committee about data fields or information that observers could collect to support fishing effort standardization analyses.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
	LONGLINE IN	NFORMATION	•
VESSEL ATTRIBUTES			
Data Fields in this section recommended to be retained.  • Refrigeration Method			Retain - no Changes
GENERAL GEAR ATTRIBUTES  Data Fields in this section			
<ul> <li>Mainline length</li> <li>Mainline Material</li> <li>Mainline diameter</li> <li>Branch line Materials</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.
SPECIAL GEAR ATTRIBUTES			
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this section</li> <li>Wire Trace</li> <li>Line Shooter</li> <li>Automatic Bait Thrower</li> <li>Automatic Branch Line attached.</li> <li>Hook Type</li> <li>Hook Size</li> <li>Tori line</li> <li>Side setting with bird curtains</li> <li>Weighted branch Lines</li> <li>Shark Lines</li> <li>Blue Dyed Bait</li> <li>Distance between Weight and Hook</li> <li>Deep Setting Line Shooter</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
<ul><li>Management of Offal Discharge</li><li>Strategic Offal Disposal</li></ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.
Fields that could be considered for removal.			
<ul><li>Mainline hauler</li><li>Branch line hauler</li></ul>			
LONG LINE SET INFORMATION			
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this section</li> <li>Date and time of start of set</li> <li>Latitude and Longitude of start of Set</li> <li>Date and Time of end of set</li> <li>Latitude and Longitude of end of Set</li> <li>Total number of baskets or floats</li> <li>Number of hooks per basket, or number of hooks between floats</li> <li>Total number of hooks used in a set.</li> <li>Line shooter speed</li> <li>Length of float-line</li> <li>Distance between branch-lines</li> <li>Length of branch-lines</li> <li>Number of light-sticks</li> <li>Target species</li> <li>Bait Species</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
<ul> <li>Date and time of start of haul</li> <li>Date and time of end of haul</li> <li>Total amount of baskets, floats monitored by observer in a single set</li> </ul>			
INFORMATION ON CATCH FOR EACH SE	т		
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this section         Hook number, between         floats</li> <li>Species code</li> <li>Length of fish</li> <li>Length measurement code</li> <li>Gender</li> <li>Condition when caught</li> <li>Fate</li> <li>Condition when released</li> <li>Tag recovery information</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.
	PURSE SEINE INFOI	RMATION AND DATA	
VESSEL AND RELATED ATTRIBUTES			
Number of onboard support vessels     Aircraft Make/Model, /Colour/Call-sign/Registration			Retain - No suggested changes  The IWG-ROP may consider requesting advice from the Scientific Committee about data fields or information that observers could collect to support fishing effort standardization analyses.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
GEAR ATTRIBUTES			
<ul><li>MSDF in this section are retained.</li><li>Brailer capacity sizes</li></ul>			Retain - No suggested changes
Max Depth of Net	Get information from engineer, or if available from net diagram configuration	There is no way the observer can measure this and must ask the engineer or captain.	As the vessel knows this information could be put on Vessel logs to be supplied by vessel or could be collected at placement of observer.
Max length of Net	Get information from engineer, or if available from net diagram configuration	There is no way the observer can measure this and must ask the engineer or captain.	As the vessel knows this information should be put it on Vessel logs to be supplied by vessel or could be collected at placement of observer
Net Mesh Size	Observer measures main body of the net mesh size.	Observers can measure this but unless there are mesh size restrictions why do they need to measure.	As the vessel knows this information put it on Vessel logs to be supplied by the vessel the observer could be asked to verify the log entry by measuring the net mesh size, also a net plan can be collected at placement
Net Strips	FFA & PNA Observer calculates this from info given or asks the engineer	Field not is not a MDSF. But is on many Forms	Why is this field required if net depth is given, observer has no way of verifying his answer. Could also be put on Vessel log sheet if really required?
INFORMATION ON DAILY ACTIVITIES			
<ul> <li>MSDF in this section are retained.</li> <li>Date and time of start of daily activities</li> <li>Time of activity</li> <li>Latitude and longitude of activity</li> </ul>			Retain - No suggested changes

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
Numbers of school floating objects sighted per day	Observer is asked to record every free school or floating object sighted during the day when searching, also record all activities involved with free schools and floating objects. For this to be accurate the observer would need to be on constant watch from 0430 to 1930 every day 15/16 hrs. a day	Information is not reliable as observers do not stay on the bridge all day looking for schools or floating objects. Therefore, figures collected are erroneous and not a true indication.	There are problems with the collection of this field. Observers do not sit on the bridge all day while searching and therefore can miss several school /floating object sightings.  Observers tend to count the number and type of schools they investigate or set on during the day, this information is already included in their daily activity columns.  If fields are needed a time in hours the observer spent looking for these objects should be added
SCHOOL INFORMATION			
All MSDF in this section are retained.  • Method of detection of school • Type of school association			Retain - No suggested changes  The IWG-ROP may consider requesting advice from the Scientific Committee about data fields or information that observers could collect to support fishing effort standardization analyses

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
SET INFORMATION	SET INFORMATION				
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this section Observer's record of date and time of start of set</li> <li>Observers record of date and time of end of set</li> <li>Vessel's record of date and time of start of set</li> <li>Retained catch, by species</li> <li>Discards, by species</li> <li>Tag recovery information</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.		
INFORMATION ON CATCH FOR EACH SET					
All MSDF in this section are retained.			Retain - No suggested changes		
<ul><li>Species code</li><li>Length measurement code</li><li>Length</li></ul>					

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
	POLE-AND-LINE INFORMATION AND DATA				
VESSEL ATTRIBUTES					
MSDF in this section are retained  • Vessel fish hold capacity			Retain - No suggested changes		
GEAR ATTRIBUTES					
MSDF in this section are retained  • Automatic poling devices			Retain - No suggested changes		
INFORMATION ON DAILY ACTIVITIES					
<ul> <li>MSDF in this section are retained.</li> <li>Date and time of start of daily activities</li> <li>Time of activity,</li> <li>Latitude and longitude of activity</li> <li>Type of activity</li> <li>Numbers of school sighted per day</li> </ul>			Retain - No suggested changes		

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
BAITFISHING INFORMATION	BAITFISHING INFORMATION				
<ul> <li>All MSDF in this section are retained</li> <li>Bait species caught</li> <li>Bait Species purchased</li> <li>Estimated weight or quantity of bait caught or used</li> </ul>			Retain - No suggested changes		
SCHOOL INFORMATION					
All MSDF in this section are retained  Type of school association Method of detection of school			Retain - No suggested changes		
INFORMATION ON CATCH PER SCHOO	L FISHED				
All MSDF in this section are retained  • Number of crew poling • Time of start of spraying, • chumming and poling • Time of end of spraying, chumming and poling • Retained catch, by species			Retain - No suggested changes		

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
<ul> <li>Discards, by species</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Tag recovery information</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Species code</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Length measurement</li> </ul>			
code,			
<ul><li>Length</li></ul>			

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT	
SPECIES OF SPECIAL INTEREST  Marine Reptiles, Marine Mammals, Sea Birds, Designated Shark Species, Mobulid Rays				
GENERAL INFORMATION				
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this section Type of interaction</li> <li>Latitude and longitude of interaction</li> <li>Date and time of interaction</li> <li>Species code of marine reptile, marine mammal, or seabird.</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.	

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
LANDED ON DECK			
<ul> <li>Data Fields in this sectionLength</li> <li>Length measurement code</li> <li>Gender</li> <li>Estimated shark fin weight by species.</li> <li>Estimated shark carcass weight by species.</li> <li>Condition when landed on Deck</li> <li>Condition when released</li> <li>Tag recovery information</li> <li>Tag release information</li> </ul>			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.  Additionally in respect of shark data fields the IWG-ROP will also need to take into consideration that TCC19 highlighted there were interpretation issues related to CMM 2019-04 paragraph 9, and specifically around rules and monitoring for any alternative measures to fins naturally attached.
INTERACTION WITH VESSEL OR GEAR ONLY			
Data Fields in this     section Vessel's activity     during interaction			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.
<ul> <li>Condition observed at start of interaction</li> <li>Condition observed at end of interaction</li> <li>Description of interaction</li> <li>Number of animals sighted</li> </ul>			Additionally in respect of shark data fields the IWG-ROP will also need to take into consideration that TCC19 highlighted there were interpretation issues related to CMM 2019-04 paragraph 9, and specifically around alternative measures to fins naturally attached.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT		
	VESSELS & AIRCRAFT SIGHTINGS				
/ESSELS & AIRCRAFT SIGHTINGS					
<ul> <li>All MSDF in this section are retained.</li> <li>UTC. Date &amp; Time of sighting</li> <li>Observers Vessel Latitude and Longitude position</li> <li>Where possible sighted vessel or aircraft Name</li> <li>Where possible sighted vessel or aircraft call-sign</li> <li>Flag of sighted vessel if possible</li> <li>Other vessel markings</li> <li>Type of Vessel (i.e. Purseseine - Long line, etc.)</li> <li>Compass bearing from observers vessels to sighted vessel</li> <li>Estimated distance from observers vessels to sighted vessel</li> <li>Activity of sighted vessel i.e., Fishing, Drifting,</li> </ul>			Retain - No suggested changes.		

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT	
	OBSERVER TRIP MONITORING SUMMARY			
Data Fields in this section			Further work required – refer to IWG-	
Vessel trip summary			ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task  1a.	
Code indications (ie SPC/FFA GEN 3 codes)				

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
	FAD Da	ta Fields	
Data Fields			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1b.  Additionally in respect of FAD data fields the IWG-ROP will also need to take into consideration the outcome of discussions on the Chairs Consultative Draft for CMM 2022-01, and work being undertaken by FAD Management Options IWG.

CURRENT FIELD	HOW COLLECTED **	RATIONALE FOR REMOVAL	ALTERNATIVE AND/OR COMMENT
Minimum Data Fields for Observer Transhipment Monitoring - 2023			
Data Fields			Further work required – refer to IWG-ROP workplan 2023-2025 priority task 1c and 1e.  Additionally, the IWG-ROP will also need to take into consideration the outcome of the Transhipment IWG.

---