

Current status of the iTRP and management objectives for South Pacific albacore

WCPFC SPA ROADMAP IWG, VIRTUAL, 15 JULY 2022

BACKGROUND



- SPA iTRP adopted (56%SB_{F=0}) at WCPFC15 in 2018
- New stock assessment agreed by SC17 in 2021
- SC17 requested the SSP to:
 - a) recalibrate the WCPFC TRP that would on average achieve the agreed goal of an 8% increase in vulnerable biomass (CPUE proxy) for the southern longline fishery as compared to 2013 levels;
 - b) undertake projections to estimate the constant catch levels that would achieve that recalibrated TRP, on average, over the long-term.

2021 SP ALBACORE ASSESSMENT - MODEL





- Key uncertainty:
 - Movement little tagging information SC17 'down weighted' one element of this axis

TRP RECALIBRATION



- Comparable approach to that used for SKJ TRP re-calibration
 - Project the stock forward stochastically across the model grid (with SC17 weighting)
 - Project for 30 years under 'long term' recruitment
 - Catchability assumed constant
 - Adjust future longline and troll catches relative to 2017-2019 levels so that the weighted average longline vulnerable biomass in the WCPFC-CA = 2013 levels + 8%, under two SC17-specified scenarios:
 - All catches across South Pacific fleets adjusted
 - Only catches for fleets within the WCPFC-CA adjusted
 - Resulting WCPFC-CA stock depletion = new TRP

RESULTING RE-CALIBRATED TRP



Region where	Catch scalar (from	WCPF	WCPFC-	
catch adjusted	2017-19 avg)		Risk	CA catch
		$SB_{2049}/SB_{F=0}$	(SB ₂₀₄₉ /SB _{F=0} < LRP)	
South Pacific wide	0.56	0.68	1%	~40,500 mt
WCPFC-CA	0.50	0.68	2%	~36,200 mt





WCPFC18



- 'noted the advice of the SC17 related to a recalibration of the interim TRP for the SP albacore'
- Discussion focussed on notable catch reduction levels required to achieve the iTRP
- Too great a trade-off to achieve CPUE objectives
- Need to investigate SPA management objectives further acceptable trade-offs between catch reductions and CPUE increases
- SPA IWG Chair requested further analyses
 - Evaluate implications of different future catch levels for stock and fishery

APPROACH



- Project forward under different future catch levels:
 - Ranging from status quo to iTRP level reductions
 - Changes in LL and TR equally
 - Performed a) in WCPFC-CA only, and b) across South Pacific
- Evaluate the implications for stock and fishery

RESULTS – WCPFC-CA CHANGE



Catch	Approx catch (mt)		Vuln	Vulnerable Biomass			FMSY	Depletion		
scalar	WCPFC_CA	Remainder	VB/VB ₂₀₁₃ +8%	VB/VB ₂₀₁₃	VB/VB ₂₀₁₇₋₂₀₁₉	F/ F _{MSY}	Risk	Risk < LRP	Long-term avg	
(cf 2017-		EPO					$F > F_{MSY}$		SB/SB _{F=0}	
2019 avg)									(WCPFC-CA)	
	72,200	15,600	-38%	-33%	-12%	0.27	11%	22%	0.43	
0.9	65,000	15,600	-27%	-22%	+3%	0.23	10%	18%	0.48	
0.8	57,800	15,600	-20%	-14%	+13%	0.21	9%	15%	0.53	
0.7	50,600	15,600	-13%	-6%	+23%	0.17	6%	11%	0.58	
0.6	43,400	15,600	-6%	+2%	+34%	0.14	3%	6%	0.63 50	6%
0.5	36,100	15,600	0%	+8%	+43%	0.12	۱%	2%	0.68	



RESULTS – SP-WIDE CHANGE

Catch	Approx catch (mt)		Vulnerable Biomass			F/F _{MSY}		Depletion	
scalar (cf 2017- 2019 avg)	WCPFC_CA	Remainder EPO	VB/VB ₂₀₁₃ +8%	VB/VB ₂₀₁₃	VB/VB ₂₀₁₇₋₂₀₁₉	F/ F _{MSY}	Risk F > F _{MSY}	Risk < LRP	Long-term avg SB/SB _{F=0} (WCPFC-CA)
l l	72,200	15,600	-38%	-33%	-12%	0.27	11%	22%	0.43
0.9	65,000	14,100	-26%	-20%	+5%	0.22	9%	14%	0.49
0.8	57,800	12,500	-18%	-11%	+16%	0.19	8%	9%	0.55
0.7	50,600	11,000	-10%	-2%	+28%	0.15	3%	5%	0.61
0.6	43,400	9,400	-2%	+6%	+39%	0.12	۱%	1%	0.67
0.5	36,100	7,800	+6%	+15%	+50%	0.10	0%	0%	0.72

WCPFC-CA change only for comparison

Catch	Approx catch (mt)		Vulnerable Biomass			F/F _{MSY}		Depletion	
scalar	WCPFC_CA Remainder		VB/VB ₂₀₁₃ +8%	VB/VB ₂₀₁₃	VB/VB ₂₀₁₇₋₂₀₁₉	F/ F _{MSY}	Risk	Risk < LRP	Long-term avg
(cf 2017-		EPO					$F > F_{MSY}$		SB/SB _{F=0}
2019 avg)									(WCPFC-CA)
0.7	50,600	15,600	-13%	-6%	+23%	0.17	6%	11%	0.58

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SPA-IWG



- Review trade-offs between catch reductions and longline catch rate (VB) increases to clarify management objectives;
- Identify desired additional performance indicators to illustrate other desired management objectives;
- Suggest a tractable sub-set of additional scenarios to be evaluated, as required;
- Consider developing advice for WCPFC19 on implications of different future catch levels for the stock and fishery;
- Note the implications of management applied solely in the WCPFC-CA or across the South Pacific;
- Note recommendation to explore implementing fishery changes through a tested Harvest Strategy management procedure.